
**Title 40 CFR Part 191
Subparts B and C
Compliance Recertification
Application
for the
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant**

Appendix PEER-2004



**United States Department of Energy
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant**

**Carlsbad Field Office
Carlsbad, New Mexico**

Appendix PEER-2004

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

FOREWORD

Appendix PEER-2004 contains primarily the documents related to peer reviews performed after the submission of the Compliance Certification Application (CCA). The documents included in CCA Appendix PEER are not repeated in this Appendix, with one exception. All documents related to the Conceptual Models Peer Review (CMPR), contained in Appendix PEER are copied in Appendix PEER-2004. This is because the peer review panel, whose work was reported in CCA Appendix PEER, conducted three supplementary peer reviews after the submission of the CCA. Also, two peer reviews performed in 2003, while conducted by new peer review panels, are related to the CMPR panel’s work performed in 1996-97.

In addition to the peer reviews conducted in accordance with NUREG-1297 in specific compliance with 40 CFR section 194.27 (a), CCA Appendix PEER included reviews performed by a number of review and oversight groups. This appendix includes additional reviews performed by the National Academy of Sciences (NAS), International Atomic Energy Authority (IAEA) and Nuclear Energy Authority (NEA) of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), Institute for Regulatory Science (RSI), and Environmental Evaluation Group (EEG).

Chapter 9 of the Compliance Recertification Application (CRA) contains discussion of the peer review process and results, including detailed summaries of the peer reviews conducted since the submittal of the CCA in 1996.

Appendix PEER-2004 is divided into 38 attachments. These attachments break the appendix into major topical areas. Within each attachment there may be several documents of interest, as outlined in the Table of Contents.

1
2

This page intentionally left blank

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

Table of Contents

PEER-2004 1.0 Conceptual Models Peer Review (1996-97)

 PEER-2004 1.1 Plan and Reports

 PEER-2004 1.1.1 Conceptual Models Peer Review Plan (3/28/96)

 PEER-2004 1.1.2 Conceptual Models Peer Review Report (July 1996)

 PEER-2004 1.1.3 Conceptual Models Supplementary Peer Review Report (December 1996)

 PEER-2004 1.1.4 Conceptual Models Second Supplementary Peer Review Report (January 1997)

 PEER-2004 1.1.5 Conceptual Models Third Supplementary Peer Review Report (April 1997)

 PEER-2004 1.2 Supplementary Information

 PEER-2004 1.2.1 Determination of Peer Review Member Independence

 PEER-2004 1.2.2 Thompson memo (7/24/96)-Long-term Performance of Panel Closures

 PEER-2004 1.2.3 Larson memo (1/24/96)-Fracture Models

 PEER-2004 1.2.4 Lord memo (1/29/96)-Variable Porosity and Permeability in Anhydrite Material

 PEER-2004 1.2.5 Papenguth Presentation (5/21/96) – Colloidal Actinide Retardation

 PEER-2004 1.2.6 Stoelzel paper (7/19/96)- Comparison of Effects of Brine Pocket Size

 PEER-2004 1.2.7 Bergland paper (7/19/96)-Tensile Strength of Degraded Waste

 PEER-2004 1.2.8 Bennett memo (8/20/96)-Heat Generation Processes at WIPP

PEER-2004 2.0 Salado Conceptual Models Peer Review (2003)

 PEER-2004 2.1 Plans and Report

 PEER-2004 2.1.1 Salado Flow Conceptual Models Peer Review Plan (February 7, 2003)

 PEER 2004 2.1.2 Salado Flow Conceptual Models Peer Review Report (May 2002)

 PEER-2004 2.1.3 Salado Flow Conceptual Models Peer Review Report (March 2003)

 PEER-2004 2.2 Supplementary Information

 PEER-2004 2.2.1 Determination of Salado Flow Peer Review Member Independence

- 1 PEER-2004 3.0 Spalling Conceptual Models Peer Review (2003)
- 2 PEER-2004 3.1 Plan and Report
- 3 PEER-2004 3.1.1 Spallings Conceptual Models Peer Review Plan
- 4 PEER-2004 3.1.2 Spallings Conceptual Models Peer Review Report
- 5 PEER-2004 3.1.3 Revised Spallings Conceptual Models Peer Review
- 6 Report
- 7 PEER-2004 3.2 Supplementary Information
- 8 PEER-2004 3.2.1 Determination of Spallings Peer Review Member
- 9 Independence
- 10 PEER-2004 4.0 Other Reviews Since CCA Submission
- 11 PEER-2004 4.1 National Academy of Sciences (NAS)
- 12 PEER-2004 4.1.1 The Waste Isolation Pilot Plant: A Potential
- 13 Solution for the Disposal of Transuranic Waste
- 14 (October 1996)
- 15 PEER-2004 4.1.2 Improving Operations and Long-Term Safety of the
- 16 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, Final Report (April
- 17 2001)
- 18 PEER-2004 4.1.3 Characterization of Remote-Handled Transuranic
- 19 Waste for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, Final
- 20 Report (July 2002)
- 21 PEER-2004 4.2 International Atomic Energy Authority (IAEA)/ Nuclear Energy
- 22 Authority (NEA/OECD)
- 23 PEER-2004 4.2.1 International Peer Review of the 1996 Performance
- 24 Assessment of the US Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
- 25 (WIPP) (April 1997)
- 26 PEER-2004 4.3 Institute for Regulatory Sciences (RSI)
- 27 PEER-2004 4.3.1 Requirements for Disposal of Remote-Handled
- 28 Transuranic Wastes at the Waste Isolation Pilot
- 29 Plant (2001)
- 30 PEER-2004 4.3.2 Desirability of Performing Certain Transuranic
- 31 Waste Characterization Tests (August 2003)
- 32 PEER-2004 4.4 Environmental Evaluation Group (EEG)
- 33 PEER-2004 4.4.1 EEG-62: Fluid Injection of Salt Water Disposal
- 34 and Enhanced Oil Recovery as a Potential Problem
- 35 for the WIPP: Proceedings of a June 1995
- 36 Workshop and Analysis (August 1996)
- 37 PEER-2004 4.4.2 EEG-64: Review of the Draft Supplement to the
- 38 WIPP Environmental Impact Statement, DOE/EIS-
- 39 0026-S-2 (April 1997)

1	PEER-2004 4.4.3	EEG-66: Individual Radiation Doses from
2		Transuranic Waste Brought to the Surface by
3		Human Intrusion at the WIPP (February 1998)
4	PEER-2004 4.4.4	EEG-68: Evaluation of the WIPP Project's
5		Compliance with the EPA Radiation Protection
6		Standards for Disposal of Transuranic Waste
7		(March 1998)
8	PEER-2004 4.4.5	EEG-69: Sensitivity Analysis of Performance
9		Parameters Used in Modeling the Waste Isolation
10		Pilot Plant (May 1998)
11	PEER-2004 4.4.6	EEG-75: Evaluation of Risks and Waste
12		Characterization Requirements for the Transuranic
13		Waste Emplaced in WIPP During 1999 (May 2000)
14	PEER-2004 4.4.7	EEG-77: Plutonium Chemistry Under Conditions
15		Relevant for WIPP Performance Assessment.
16		Review of Experimental Results and
17		Recommendations for Future Work. (September
18		2000)
19	PEER-2004 4.4.8	EEG-82: Evaluation of Proposed Panel Closure
20		Modifications at WIPP (December 2001)
21	PEER-2004 4.4.9	EEG-83: Identification of Issues Relevant to the
22		First Recertification of WIPP (September 2002)
23	PEER-2004 4.4.10	EEG-85: Analysis of Emplaced Waste Data and
24		Implications of Non-Random Emplacement for
25		Performance Assessment for the WIPP (May 2003)
26	PEER-2004 4.4.11	EEG-86: Contact Handled Transuranic Waste
27		Characterization Requirements at the Waste
28		Isolation Pilot Plant (September 2003)