

WFO 44173

**REGIONAL GEOLOGICAL PROCESSES  
AFFECTING RUSTLER HYDROGEOLOGY**

Prepared for:

Westinghouse Electric Corporation  
P.O. Box 2078  
Carlsbad, New Mexico 88221

Prepared by:

Dennis W. Powers  
Consulting Geologist  
HC 12, Box 87  
Anthony, Texas 79821

Robert M. Holt  
IT Corporation  
5301 Central Avenue NE, Suite 700  
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87108

April 1995

**Information Only**

SNLF-A-1.1.4.3-REPS

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This executive summary is prepared for the general reader rather than as a strict summary of the technical material. The executive summary includes broader information about the context of the study and general implications. It also includes limited explanations of the technical approach not included in the abstract. While this report was written mainly for the professional geologist, the technical community at large should be able to follow the thrust of the arguments with occasional reference to the American Geological Institute Glossary of Geology to understand some technical terms.

The Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) in southeastern New Mexico is being constructed to isolate transuranic radioactive waste from U.S. defense programs from the environment by emplacing it within the bedded salt of the Permian Salado Formation. The Culebra Dolomite Member of the Permian Rustler Formation is the most significant hydrological unit overlying the Salado. Geological processes operating since Rustler deposition have contributed to the evolution of Culebra hydrological properties. These properties are being extensively evaluated.

This report addresses the timing, magnitude, and areal extent of these regional geological processes with respect to the Culebra. In another document, we will more directly address the relationship between hydrological properties and factors such as overburden or thickness of halite in the Rustler. While these geological processes have been considered at one level or another in previous reports for the WIPP, we address some in much more detail, and all are cast in terms of their effects on the Culebra.

Evaporites in the Delaware Basin have partially been dissolved, and Culebra hydrological properties have commonly been associated with dissolution of halite from either the Rustler or the Salado. The analyses have not always been provided in detail, and we have reexamined both the Salado and the Rustler.

The upper half of the Salado was subdivided into intervals for comparison of geophysical logs from the area. From the WIPP site to the southeast, the intervals change little in thickness and represent the depositional sequence. West of the WIPP site, the upper interval of the Salado (from Marker Bed 103 to the top of the Salado) declines greatly in thickness across a

horizontal distance of about 2 miles (about 3 kilometers). The zone of thinning underlies Livingston Ridge, the eastern boundary of Nash Draw, and very closely parallels its trend. The zone then runs to the southeast. Several of the Nash Draw drillholes used for hydrological monitoring lie on this trend or west of it, and they show the effects of subsidence after dissolution. Along the southern part of the mapped area, highly variable thicknesses signify considerable Salado dissolution in the Big Sinks and Phantom Banks areas. Furthermore, the dissolution in the southern part of the map area has reversed the eastward dip on the Culebra and created an anticline (the "Remuda Basin anticline") that trends from the Remuda Basin to the southeast.

The structure contour map of the Culebra indicates some of the tectonic activity that has affected the unit and can change hydrologic characteristics. The Culebra shows a general eastward dip like the formations under the evaporites, but it is further deformed locally. Northeast of the WIPP site, at the location of drillhole ERDA 6, the Castile Formation has been deformed, and the Culebra has been arched into an anticline. The effects of this deformation extend to the northeastern corner and to the eastern side of the WIPP site. South of the WIPP site, the Remuda Basin anticline formed from a combination of regional eastward dip and westward reversal of dip caused by subsidence over an area of Salado dissolution. More subtle structural changes across the WIPP site have been isolated by comparing the present Culebra structure to the estimated regional structure—a regular eastward dip of about 1°. The main feature is a negative deviation, along the north side of the WIPP site, from this estimated regional structure. The feature is larger than can be accounted for by halite dissolution, and the Dewey Lake is thicker in the same area, indicating that it apparently down-warped moderately before late-Cenozoic erosion. This analysis, comparing structure to an estimate of regional structure, is limited because of assumptions, but it also has power to delineate subtle activity superimposed on regional structure.

Geophysical logs of the Rustler were carefully interpreted to map the presence of halite within three members of the formation. In contrast to some earlier studies, the unnamed lower member was separated into two mudstone/halite units to emphasize the location of halite immediately under the Culebra. Some earlier studies depended more on cuttings and core for information. Our work may indicate halite when it is absent, whereas studies depending on cuttings and core may miss some halite. There is general agreement, however, between the two methods. By mapping the areal extent of halite in the Rustler members, we will be able in a later document to determine how well halite thickness correlates with

hydrologic parameters. In past studies, we have reported the results from shaft mapping, core descriptions, and geophysical log interpretations of the Rustler. On the basis of these studies, we concluded that halite was mainly distributed according to sedimentary processes rather than later dissolution. If so, this would minimize the effects of Rustler halite dissolution on Culebra hydrology.

The rocks at the WIPP were buried more deeply in the past, but part of the overburden has been removed by erosion. These stress changes can create or enhance fracture porosity within beds such as the Culebra. We have reconstructed some possible loading and unloading histories based on the geology of the site and region. The most likely sequence is that Triassic rocks loaded about 400 meters (about 1,300 feet) more before a lengthy period with some erosion and little deposition. Near mid-Cenozoic time, the rocks in the basin were tilted to the east. After that, the rocks across the site were eroded to a wedge-like shape, during what was likely the highest rate of unloading. Surrounding areas have thick deposits of Cretaceous rocks, but there is little to indicate thick Cretaceous deposits across the WIPP site. Data from hydrocarbon maturation more weakly indicate greater burial as well.

In this report, different regional geological processes were examined in some detail with respect to the Culebra. In a later report, these and other data will be integrated to try to correlate Culebra hydrology more closely with pertinent geological factors.

## PREFACE

Through our studies of the Permian Rustler Formation (Holt and Powers, 1988; Powers and Holt, 1990), we began to discern different processes contributing to the development of Rustler hydrogeology. We proposed (e.g., Beauheim and Holt, 1990) some alternatives for Rustler hydrology that emphasized a history to this development. To better understand Rustler hydrology, we also believed it necessary to understand better both the underlying and overlying units and the geological history of the units since the Permian.

We began several specific studies of geology and hydrology related to the Rustler and attempted to integrate the information into a single, comprehensive volume. Like some other documents for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant project, this volume has been cited while in draft (commonly as Holt et al., in preparation), and various figures have been used or modified for use in other documents. Several topics included in the draft have now been published or made available in other formats (e.g., Beauheim and Holt, 1990). As a result, the main topics not yet available are the geology and paleohydrology related to the Gatuña (Powers et al., in review), regional geological processes affecting Rustler hydrology (this report), and a summary paper on Rustler hydrogeology. These are being prepared and printed as separate documents, and all are expected to be available during the first half of 1995.

Besides depositional processes and features, we recognize several other processes that may contribute to the pattern of Rustler hydrogeology. This report broadly assesses several of these processes, based on new or additional information.

# Table of Contents

---

List of Tables .....	vii
List of Figures .....	viii
Abstract .....	1
1.0 Introduction .....	3
2.0 Salado Dissolution .....	7
2.1 Background Information on the Salado .....	7
2.2 Salado Stratigraphy .....	7
2.3 General Salado Geology in the Northern Delaware Basin .....	10
2.4 General Methods to Evaluate Salado Dissolution .....	15
2.5 Salado Thickness (Isopach) Information .....	18
2.5.1 Broad Patterns .....	18
2.5.2 Subintervals of the MB 123/124 to Vaca Triste Interval .....	24
2.5.3 Subintervals of the Vaca Triste to Salado Interval .....	24
2.5.4 Discussion of Thickness Data .....	33
2.6 Cross Section Data .....	34
2.6.1 Cross Section Details .....	34
2.6.2 Discussion of Cross Section Information .....	42
2.7 Summary of Evidence About Salado Dissolution .....	44
3.0 Structural Disturbance of the Culebra .....	46
3.1 Background Information .....	46
3.2 Data Sets and Methods .....	46
3.3 General Culebra Structure Elements .....	46
3.4 Discussion of Culebra Structural Features .....	51
3.5 Summary of Evidence about Culebra Structure .....	57
4.0 Rustler Halite Dissolution .....	59
4.1 Background Information and History .....	59
4.1.1 Alternate Hypotheses of Halite Distribution .....	59
4.1.2 Reported Halite Distributions .....	62
4.2 Methods .....	62
4.3 Halite Margins in the Rustler Formation .....	64
4.4 Discussion .....	66
4.5 Summary of Evidence About Rustler Halite Distribution .....	70

## Table of Contents (Continued)

---

5.0	Loading and Unloading History of the Culebra .....	71
5.1	Background Information .....	71
5.2	Present Depth to Base of Culebra .....	71
5.3	History of Loading and Unloading of the Culebra .....	75
5.4	Other Inferences About Loading and Unloading History .....	80
5.5	Summary of Loading and Unloading History .....	81
6.0	Conclusions .....	82
7.0	References .....	84

### Appendix A—Data for Drillholes in Holt and Powers (1988) Plus Additional Drillholes Interpreted by Powers

A-1—Locations for Rustler Formation Data Points

A-2—Table of Depths to Selected Marker Beds of the Salado Formation

A-3—Table of Data on Depth to Rustler Units

A-4—Table of Data for Depths to Dewey Lake and Santa Rosa Formations

### Appendix B—Rustler Formation Stratigraphic Data from Richey (1989)

B-1—Drillhole Name and Location Data from Richey (1989)

B-2—Table of Rustler Formation Data from Richey (1989)

### Appendix C—Comparison of Data Sets from Richey (1989) and Holt and Powers (1988 and Supplement)

C-1—Table of Identical Drillholes in Richey (1989) and Holt and Powers (1988) Supplemented by Drillholes Recently Interpreted by Powers

C-2—Statistical Comparison of Rustler Data Sets

## List of Tables

---

<i>Table</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
1	Typical Log Responses for Salado Rocks	15
2	Statistics Regarding Thickness of Interval from the Vaca Triste to the Top of Salado	18

## List of Figures

---

<i>Figure</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
1	General Stratigraphic Column Northern Delaware Basin	4
2	Approximate Edge of Ochoan (Rustler, Salado, and Dewey Lake Formation) Evaporites Superimposed on Broad Tectonic Elements	6
3	Salado Stratigraphy	9
4	Vaca Triste Log Signatures Near Continental King Well, Paduca Field	11
5	Reference Geophysical Signatures and Selected Contacts for Upper Salado Formation Near the WIPP Site	17
6	Well-Control Base Map	19
7	Well-Control Base Map of the Paduca Field	20
8	Isopach from the Base of MB 123/124 to the Base of the Vaca Triste	22
9	Isopach from the Top of the Vaca Triste to the Top of the Salado	23
10	Isopach of the Combined MB 123/124 Interval	25
11	Isopach from the Top of MB 123 to the Base of the Union Anhydrite	26
12	Isopach from the Top of the Vaca Triste to the Base of MB 109	27
13	Isopach from the Top of MB 109 to the Base of MB 103	29
14	Isopach of MB 103	30
15	Isopach from the Base of MB 103 to the Top of the Salado	31
16	Isopach of the Vaca Triste Sandstone	32
17a	Selected Acoustic Logs T23-25S	35

## List of Figures (Continued)

---

<i>Figure</i>	<i>Title</i>	
17b	Selected Acoustic Logs T23-25S	36
17c	Selected Acoustic Logs T23-25S	37
18	Acoustic Logs and Natural Gamma (T23S)	38
19	Acoustic Logs and Natural Gamma (T24S)	39
20	Acoustic Logs and Natural Gamma (T25S)	40
21	Zone of Upper Salado Thinning	45
22	Structure Contour Map of Culebra Dolomite Base	47
23	Main Structural Elements of Culebra Dolomite	49
24	Well-Control Base Map Modified from Figure 6 to Include Additional Culebra Data	50
25	Difference in Elevation Between Present Culebra Base and Estimated Regional Structural Trend	52
26	Isopach of the Dewey Lake Formation	55
27	Isopach of the Santa Rosa Formation	56
28	NE-SW Cross Section through "Remuda Basin Anticline" South of WIPP	58
29	Log Character of Rustler Mudstone/Halite Intervals	60
30	Isopach Map of the Rustler Formation in the Vicinity of the WIPP Site Showing Dissolution Zones	63
31	Halite Margins in Rustler	65
32a	Depth to Base of Culebra	72
32b	Depth to Base of Culebra	73

**List of Figures** (Continued)

---

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Title</b>	
33	General Topography of the Study Area	74
34	Loading and Unloading History Estimated for Base of Culebra (AIS Reference Point)	78

## **Abstract**

---

The Culebra Dolomite Member of the Permian Rustler Formation is a hydrological unit that significantly affects performance analysis of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP). Regional geological processes following deposition of the Culebra contributed to its hydrological properties. We focused on dissolution, tectonics, and loading/unloading to determine the area, magnitude, and timing of their effects on the Culebra.

Though the Salado Formation has been extensively dissolved in the western Delaware Basin, drillhole data in the area around the WIPP show that the site has not been affected. The upper Salado thins more abruptly to the west of the WIPP, along the margin of Nash Draw, and to the southeast. Several Nash Draw boreholes have been drilled on this zone of thinning.

The Culebra has been deformed by regional tectonics, evaporite deformation, and dissolution of underlying rocks. The eastward dip (approximately  $1^\circ$ ) is its main tectonic feature. At ERDA 6, a drillhole northeast of the site, the underlying evaporites have deformed, arching the Culebra well above the regional trend and forming an anticline. South of the WIPP, an anticline (the "Remuda Basin anticline") has formed where dissolution of the Salado to the west reversed the eastward regional dip. Across the WIPP site, more subtle changes in structural position of the Culebra are believed to have formed from variations in regional tectonics or from evaporite deformation, rather than from dissolution of Rustler halite.

Geophysical logs were interpreted to determine the areal extent of halite in various Rustler members in the vicinity of the WIPP. In contrast to some earlier studies, the unnamed lower member was divided into two separate mudstone/halite units. Though we believe halite in the Rustler is mainly distributed according to depositional processes, the data will permit the hydrologic parameters of the Culebra to be compared more directly with variations in thickness and other factors.

The Culebra has been physically perturbed by loading and unloading since the Permian. It is most likely that approximately 400 meters (approximately 1,300 feet) of rocks were added during the Triassic. Little, if any, additional load was added until the late Cenozoic. The bevelled edges of the Dewey Lake and Santa Rosa Formations suggest that they were eroded since regional tilting occurred about mid-Cenozoic, which may have been the most rapid

period of unloading experienced by the Culebra. Evidence based on hydrocarbon formation in formations below the evaporites suggests greater loading and unloading.

## 1.0 Introduction

---


The Permian Rustler Formation (Figure 1) of southeastern New Mexico overlies Permian evaporite beds of the Salado Formation. The Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) is a facility designed to dispose of transuranic wastes (from U.S. defense programs) in the Salado. The Rustler has been intensely studied (e.g., Beauheim and Holt, 1990; Beauheim et al., 1991; Reeves et al., 1991) as a potential pathway for waste should any mechanism release waste upward from the disposal horizon.

Most of the available field work on Rustler hydrology has focused on determining in situ properties of water-bearing units (mainly the Culebra Dolomite Member) through various borehole tests. There are continuing efforts to try to understand how well the hydrologic data at boreholes represent the formation or individual units. Last, but not least, the data and generalizations about the hydrology of the Culebra have been used to assess the performance of the WIPP in isolating waste, given certain assumptions about failure scenarios.

Studies of Rustler geology mainly began from the need to describe the geology of WIPP shafts and were accelerated by differences in interpretation of the distribution of halite in the formation. Depositional features were studied and interpreted (Holt and Powers, 1988), and we began to recognize additional geological processes that contributed to the development of Rustler hydrology. Here we will concentrate on those processes, exclusive of deposition, that we believe most contribute to Rustler hydrology.

A number of regional processes likely have affected the hydrogeology of the Rustler by developing or enhancing the fracture permeability of water-bearing units, especially the Culebra. Pervasive processes, such as regional tilting, may have introduced areally extensive and relatively uniform strain, and regionally extensive processes; such as dissolution of the Salado, may have local consequences around the WIPP. In the analysis that follows, we emphasize the regional processes likely to have some local effect on hydrologic characteristics of the Culebra. In order of discussion, these are dissolution of the Salado, tectonic or other deformation of the Culebra, dissolution of Rustler halite, and the unloading history of the Culebra.

Only a few stratigraphic units are discussed in this report (Figure 1). The Salado and Rustler are considered in more detail, and some additional stratigraphic information is presented in



**LEGEND**


-  Hiatus
-  Unconformity

**Figure 1**  
**General Stratigraphic Column Northern Delaware Basin**

later sections, as needed. (For further information on the background of the stratigraphic nomenclature, refer to Powers and LeMone [1990]. Lucas and Anderson [1993a,b] have proposed some changes in stratigraphic nomenclature for this area that we are not using until their utility is established.) Within the Delaware Basin (Figure 2), the Salado overlies the Permian Castile Formation, also an evaporite deposit, and underlies the Rustler Formation, a mixed clastic and evaporite unit (Holt and Powers, 1984, 1986a, 1986b, 1988; Powers and Holt, 1990). The overlying Permian Dewey Lake Formation and Triassic Santa Rosa Sandstone (also referred to in reports respectively as the Dewey Lake Red Beds and the Dockum Group or the Triassic undivided) are considered briefly for certain processes, especially unloading. The Mio-Pliocene Ogallala Formation of the High Plains is useful for estimating some bounds to erosion and unloading. The Miocene to Pleistocene Gatuña Formation is also briefly referred to, though it is considered separately as it relates to geological history and possible recharge (Powers and Holt, 1995). The Rustler Formation has been evaluated in detail in Holt and Powers (1988).

Data developed for this report and existing data sets used to supplement our work have varying metric and English units. The units used in differing sources are generally adopted as primary units, and conversions are provided. Conversions may be rounded, especially if an estimate is the beginning point. Thus, 300 m may show a conversion of 1,000 ft. Not all units, however, are converted. A contour line value may not be converted, and some repetitive values have been deliberately left without a conversion.

Drillhole data used in and developed for this report have a history that is demonstrated by the appendix organization. Many drillholes were initially interpreted for the Rustler study (Holt and Powers, 1988), and these drillholes provide a substantial part of our database. Appendix A presents this database, supplemented by some additional drillholes and interpreted for additional stratigraphic units. Appendix A-1 presents basic identification and location data for each drillhole. Appendices A-2, A-3, and A-4 present depth data, respectively, for the Salado, Rustler, and Dewey Lake and Santa Rosa. Appendix B reports similar location and identification data (B-1) and depths for Rustler units (B-2) provided by Richey (1989). Appendix C is a comparison of the Rustler data between the Holt and Powers data set (Appendix A-3) and the Richey data set (Appendix B-2). Topics are not introduced in the text exactly in the order of appendix information.



Ochoan Series:  
 Dewey Lake Formation  
 Rustler Formation  
 Salado formation  
 Castile Formation

*Modified from Jones (1972). C, M, L are Carlsbad, Midland, and Lubbock.  
 Study Area is Hachured*

**Figure 2**  
**Approximate Edge of Ochoan**  
**Evaporites Superimposed on Broad Tectonic Elements.**

**Information Only**

## **2.0 Salado Dissolution**

---

It has long been recognized that the Salado Formation has been variably dissolved, with the greatest effects occurring west of the Pecos River. Removing the thick salt deposits of the Salado significantly disrupts the overlying units, including the Rustler Formation. Within this section, we review the general geology of the Salado, including WIPP information bearing on the depositional history of the unit. Specific data on the thickness of the Salado have been developed and help to ascertain both the location of, and the potential for, disruption of the overlying units by dissolution.

### **2.1 Background Information on the Salado**

The Permian Salado Formation of southeastern New Mexico and west Texas is well known as the major domestic source of potash used as fertilizers in the United States. The Salado was deposited over a large area of the Permian Basin in New Mexico and west Texas (Figure 2), and Lowenstein (1988) considers the Salado a saline giant because of its areal extent. Over much of the area, Salado strata are readily traceable. The dominant mineral is halite, but marker beds are mainly sulfate minerals. These marker beds, consisting of anhydrite and polyhalite (or gypsum at shallow depths), are continuous over large areas. The U.S. Geological Survey (Jones et al., 1960) numbered the more prominent of these marker beds downward from 100 to 144.

The Salado displays features that have been interpreted to have formed in shallow water in a desiccating basin (Gard, 1968; Jones, 1972; Lowenstein, 1982, 1988; Holt and Powers, 1990, 1991). Depositional features from WIPP shafts have been described and interpreted by Holt and Powers (1990, 1991), indicating that water-table levels changed frequently while beds were being deposited. Argillaceous beds and features are analogous to young evaporites exposed at Death Valley, California.

### **2.2 Salado Stratigraphy**

The Castile and Rustler Formations were named by Richardson (1904) for outcrops at Castile Spring and the Rustler Hills, respectively, in Culberson County, Texas. The principal evaporite rocks are below the Rustler and were divided by Cartwright (1930) into two units: the lower and upper Castile Formations. Lang (1935) later proposed that the upper Castile Formation of Cartwright should be called the Salado Formation after Salado Wash in northern Loving County, Texas. Lang (1935) restricted the name Castile to the lower section.

Pre-Rustler evaporites belonging to the Salado were noted by Lang (1935) to generally have more than 1 percent  $K_2O$ , as well as polyhalite. Adams (1944) states that the Salado was defined by Lang (1935) ". . . to include all pre-Rustler evaporites containing more than 0.5 of 1 percent of potash," though this limit is not in various publications by Lang (1935, 1937, 1939, 1942) covering the Salado. A stratotype of the Salado was designated (Lang, 1935) in the Pinal Dome Means No. 1 well (southeast corner, Section 23, Block C-26, P.S.L.) in eastern Loving County, Texas. Lang (1939) considered the difficulties in designating the base of the Salado on any of several criteria:

- The base of salt over the Capitan reef
- The base of potash, including polyhalite
- The top of banded anhydrite
- The contact between anhydrite and Capitan limestones.

This was, at least partially, resolved when Lang (1942) described the anhydrite overlying Capitan reef rocks and defined the contact as the base of the Salado. Lang named this basal member of the Salado the Fletcher Anhydrite after the U.S. Potash Fletcher No. 1 core test (Section 1, T.21S., R.28E.) in Eddy County, New Mexico. By this definition, the Castile Formation was restricted to the Delaware Basin area inside the Capitan reef. Later investigators (Jones et al., 1973, p. 15; Bachman, 1984) suggest that the Fletcher Anhydrite Member may interfinger with anhydrites normally considered part of the Castile Formation elsewhere in the Delaware Basin. In a recent paper, Madsen and Raup (1988) agree with earlier proposals (e.g., Bachman, 1984) that the Castile was exposed along the western margin of the Delaware Basin before the Salado was deposited.

Several additional members or beds within the Salado have been formally or informally proposed and are used with varying frequency (Figure 3). Kronlein (1939) named the McNutt potash zone after the 250-foot (ft) (76-meter [m]) thick interval with soluble potash salts first demonstrated in the Snowden-McSweeney V.N. McNutt No. 5 drillhole. The Cowden Anhydrite Member was included in the lower Salado by Lang (1942); the Cowden was designated by Giesey and Fulk (1941) in a well in the North Cowden field in Ector County, Texas. In the northern Delaware Basin, a zone of halite below the Cowden has informally been called the infra-Cowden. Within this salt unit, Lang (1942) designated the La Huerta Siltstone Member for a 5-foot-thick (about 1.5-m-thick) red siltstone that Lang felt is common over the Capitan reef area. The name comes from La Huerta townsite, north of Carlsbad, where Lang expected the siltstone would crop out if present at the surface. In the northern Delaware Basin, a widespread unit was named the Vaca Triste Sandstone Member (Adams,




Figure 3  
Salado Stratigraphy

Information Only

1944) for Vaca Triste Draw. The type section was designated at depths between 1,555 and 1,565 ft (474 and 477 m) in the Continental King No. 1 well (Section 26, T.25S., R.32E.) in Lea County, New Mexico. Adams (1944) reports 15 ft (4.6 m) of anhydrite immediately underlying the Vaca Triste. Geophysical logs from the vicinity of Continental King No. 1 display a prominent siltstone bed at about the same depth, but there is no discernible anhydrite or sulfate unit beneath it (Figure 4). These geophysical log signatures provide a standard for the Vaca Triste, though it cannot be conclusively demonstrated to be the Vaca Triste as described by Adams (1944). The log signatures provided are similar in form and stratigraphic position to the Vaca Triste as identified in other areas (e.g., Jones et al., 1960).

Kronlein (1939) introduced a numbering system for halitic and sulfatic units within the upper Castile (Salado), but this scheme was not specifically adopted. Jones et al. (1960) provide general geophysical log responses and corresponding lithologic logs for the Salado in the potash resource area in the northern Delaware Basin and on the Northwestern Shelf. Jones et al. (1960) clarified and established informal marker bed and ore zone terminology (Figure 3) that has become standard and is used here. In addition, Jones et al. (1960) commented on the variability of marker bed thickness and lithology on the shelf north of the Delaware Basin and in the northern Delaware Basin area.

### ***2.3 General Salado Geology in the Northern Delaware Basin***

The total Salado section in the eastern part of the Delaware Basin (Figure 2) consists of about 2,000 ft (600 m) of evaporites. The Salado is about the same thickness at the WIPP site in the northern part of the basin. The Salado can be considerably thinner (1,000 ft [300 m]) northwest of the WIPP site, near the potash mines.

Over the western part of the Delaware Basin, however, the Salado consists of yellowish-brown to reddish-brown, poorly consolidated argillaceous and silty sediment and blocks of gypsum that are commonly bright reddish brown. The gypsum blocks are attributed informally to incongruent solution of polyhalite beds, as most polyhalite beds are orange to reddish-brown from disseminated iron oxide. The blocks also are considered to be alteration products of one or another of the major marker beds of the Salado. These outcrops are thin, and they have been studied little because they are usually considered a residue from nearly complete dissolution of the Salado.

Between these extremes, the Salado may be thinner than it normally is in or near the depocenter, varying due to deposition, dissolution, or some combination of the two. Holt and

11




Figure 4  
Vaca Triste Log Signatures near Continental King Well, Paduca Field.

Information Only

Powers (1988), following Bachman (1974), demonstrated that in the Nash Draw area, dissolution removed as much as 180 ft (55 m) of upper Salado, causing collapse upward that has affected the overlying units, including the Culebra Dolomite Member of the Rustler Formation. The inference by Bachman (1974) that this occurred since formation of the Mescalero caliche is no longer maintained as correct (Bachman, 1980, p. 85), while the removed thickness is likely underestimated. The hydrologic character of the Culebra has been altered by this process in the Nash Draw area.

For this study, the upper Salado is more systematically examined over a larger area, and the objective is to assess the amount of soluble minerals that may have been removed from the upper Salado in the vicinity of the WIPP site. The objective requires that we estimate the reasonable variation in original deposition that may have occurred and the relative time of removal. A series of isopach maps of selected upper Salado beds and intervals between them demonstrates the variable thickness. Combined with analysis of geophysical log signatures and selected structure contour maps, these isopachs permit an initial assessment of how past and/or future dissolution of the upper Salado may affect Rustler hydrogeology.

From the general vicinity of the Pecos River eastward, the subsurface Salado thickens toward an area near the eastern margin of the Delaware Basin. Additional sulfate marker beds can also be distinguished in the upper Salado in the eastern Delaware Basin. Jones et al. (1960) and Bachman (1974) described how, from east to west, the Rustler lies on successively deeper marker beds of the Salado. Vine (1963) attributed the relationship to dissolution of the upper Salado. Jones et al. (1973) note that a solution residue of the Salado would probably be lumped with basal Rustler mudstones on the basis of geophysical logs. Holt and Powers (1984, 1988) recognize erosion and channeling in basal mudstones of the Rustler at the WIPP site. At least some pre-Rustler erosion and dissolution of the upper Salado probably have occurred. Based on outcrops, Adams (1944) considered the Salado/Rustler contact to be erosional.

The present relationship of the upper Salado to the basal Rustler was developed apparently both by pre-Rustler solution and erosion (that continued as the basal Rustler was being deposited) and later episodes of dissolution (that may be largely Cenozoic and are probably continuing at some level today). We are still unable to separate basinwide the relative contributions of these two episodes, and we generally ascribe the effects to later dissolution, though this overestimates the effects during this time.

Between sulfate marker beds, intervals of halite are mixed variously with polyhalite, anhydrite, siliciclastics, and some potash minerals. In this study, siliciclastic beds, mudstones, or argillaceous halite were distinguished by geophysical log responses (mainly natural gamma ray and sonic or acoustic velocity logs). These argillic rocks are commonly continuous over the width of the basin like the numbered sulfatic marker beds (Powers et al., 1988), although early mapping in the WIPP underground ([TSC-D'Appolonia], 1983; Powers and Hassinger, 1985) and more recent mapping in a shaft (Holt and Powers, 1991) demonstrated that the argillaceous units are laterally disrupted on a scale of 1 m or less (about 3 ft) by syndepositional processes. The argillaceous units have not been numbered or named, probably because they are not as distinctive individually in cores or cuttings, with the exception of the Vaca Triste Sandstone Member (Adams, 1944) that is taken as the upper boundary of the McNutt potash zone. The siliciclastic beds are also helpful in diagnosing the effects of dissolution and the extent of lateral facies changes within the Salado.

Within Nash Draw, much of the upper Salado has been removed by dissolution (Bachman, 1974; Holt and Powers, 1988). Erosional features in the basal Rustler mudstones, however, also suggest that the upper Salado section in the central to western parts of the Delaware Basin may have been lost through erosion and/or solution before the Rustler was deposited. The section may not have been reduced simply due to dissolution in the more recent geological past. Three separate processes may contribute to the generally observed contact relationships between Rustler and Salado: dissolution, pre-Rustler erosion and dissolution, or Salado facies/depositional changes. Dissolution has been considered as the significant process (e.g., Jones et al., 1973; Bachman, 1974; Anderson, 1978; Vine, 1963; Lambert, 1983), though Adams (1944) reported a nonconformity at the Salado/Rustler contact. The prevailing assumption has been that upper Salado marker beds, lithofacies, and thickness were deposited uniformly through the area of the Pecos River Valley. This assumption tends to maximize the volume estimate of halite and other rocks removed by dissolution.

As rock is dissolved in the subsurface, void space is created, and the overlying rocks tend to collapse and fracture (see review in Holt and Powers, 1988). Such fracturing and collapse has been hypothesized to contribute to the hydrologic characteristics of the Culebra Dolomite Member of the Rustler Formation (e.g., Gonzalez, 1983; Mercer, 1983; Beauheim, 1988). Holt and Powers (1988) suggest that rocks overlying a dissolution zone are affected in proportion to the thickness of dissolved rock. A realistic estimate of the thicknesses of removed Salado and Rustler rocks may correlate with present hydrologic properties (and predict future characteristics) of the Culebra better than conservative estimates. Holt and

Powers (1988) reconstructed depositional environments of the Rustler, providing a more realistic (and lower) estimate of the extent of recent Rustler dissolution. Most of the Rustler halitic units were affected by synsedimentary dissolution much more than by dissolution after the Rustler was deposited. The upper Salado needs to be reexamined as well to provide a more realistic estimate of recent dissolution.

In this study, the upper Salado relationships to the Rustler are reexamined to provide insight into possible effects of facies changes and pre-Rustler erosion and solution, as well as more recent or post-Rustler dissolution. For an initial approach, the loss of upper Salado is estimated from the change in thickness from the base of the Rustler to specific marker beds (e.g., Marker Bed [MB] 103). Jones et al. (1960) indicated how geophysical logs could be used in the study of the Delaware Basin evaporites, and they concluded that some marker beds vary considerably in thickness and composition, based on cores and geophysical logs. A database was not established by Jones et al. (1960). A more recent study (Adams, 1970) of the Salado based on geophysical logs and cores provided some information similar to this study and demonstrated the utility of using geophysical logs.

Facies changes in the Salado are estimated first by carefully examining marker beds and intermarker beds in areas near the Salado depocenter, southeast of the WIPP site. Closely spaced and numerous geophysical logs permit us to study continuity of marker beds, thickness and log character variability, and lithologic changes of intermarker beds. Logs from the depocenter and margins serve as a beginning point and standard of comparison for logs in the critical area to the west where the upper Salado begins to thin.

Syn depositional erosion and dissolution may be the most difficult to assess. Larger, mappable channel forms, similar to those mapped in the Salado in shafts at the WIPP (Holt and Powers, 1986b), may or may not exist throughout the area; we are unlikely to interpret them using geophysical logs in the rest of the basin. Truncated marker beds at the top of the Salado need to be evaluated for evidence of dissolution and erosion. Elsewhere, Salado sulfates crop out, having apparently survived extensive solution. We might, therefore, expect sulfate to accrete to the base of the residue unit; erosion should remove and truncate beds. Sulfates could be dissolved completely, and the result may be indistinguishable from erosion. Carefully reconstructed cross section and log signatures should provide better evidence of the extent of facies changes, pre-Rustler erosion and solution, as well as more recent dissolution of the Salado in the northern Delaware Basin.

## 2.4 General Methods to Evaluate Salado Dissolution

Isopachs and cross sections are based mainly on geophysical log data and are tied stratigraphically to the marker bed system within the Salado (Jones et al., 1960). Marker beds of the upper Salado are dominantly sulfatic (anhydrite and polyhalite, or gypsum where altered near the surface). In the deeper subsurface, anhydrite dominates, though polyhalite [ $K_2MgCa_2(SO_4)_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ ] is also a common mineral. These two rock types, for example, have high acoustic velocities and densities (Table 1). Polyhalite has a high natural gamma signature from the decay of  $^{40}K$ , and the hydrogen absorbs neutrons. Gamma ray and acoustic logs are relatively common in the Delaware Basin through the upper Salado and Rustler. Because of their characteristics and abundance, gamma ray logs combined with acoustic (sonic), density, or neutron logs were chosen, in that order of preference.

**Table 1**  
**Typical Log Responses for Salado Rocks**

Rock Type	Natural Gamma (API Units) <sup>a</sup>	Acoustic Travel Time (in microseconds per ft)	Density (in grams per cubic centimeter)	Neutron
Halite	10 <sup>b</sup>	~70	2.0-2.1	High
Argillaceous halite	10-30	70-80	<2.1	Low-Medium
Mudstone	20-50	>80	<2.0	Low
Gypsum	10 <sup>b</sup>	60-70	~2.4	Low
Anhydrite	10 <sup>b</sup>	~55	~2.9	High
Polyhalite	10-100 <sup>b</sup>	~55	~2.8	Low

<sup>a</sup>API (American Petroleum Institute) units for natural gamma are normalized to 100 API units as the log response for a North American mid-continent black shale.


<sup>b</sup>Reflects baseline value on most logs.

The alleged dissolution residues of the upper Salado consist in large part of sulfates and insoluble silicates. Facies changes in the interbeds between marker beds may be inferred if the natural gamma in thick halites is insufficient to account for the thickness of the laterally equivalent silicate "residue." There are too few data to provide quantitative calibration of log responses, but some empirical notions of log response are useful (Doveton, 1986). The gamma reading from the "residue" times the thickness of the "residue" should be similar to

the product of the "undissolved" lateral equivalent and its thickness, assuming natural gamma in "undissolved" halite units is entirely due to insoluble silicates. A 10-ft (3-m) "residue" registering 40 API units should have an "original" equivalent of, for example, 20 ft (6 m) at 20 API units, or 40 ft (13 m) at 10 API units. [Because  $^{40}\text{K}$  in polyhalite or sylvite can contribute natural gamma in the "undissolved" unit, these assumptions can lead to overestimating silicates in the undissolved section. A spectral gamma log would help distinguish  $^{40}\text{K}$  from other mineral sources of natural gamma.] Some of the Salado beds near the WIPP facility horizon have been analyzed in the laboratory, indicating low acid-insoluble content (e.g., average of 0.6 weight percent; Stein, 1985). These samples could be compared to log responses if additional calibration is desired. This study provides the first known examination of possible facies changes of the upper Salado across this area.

For baseline data, MB 103, MB 109, MB 123/124, Union anhydrite, and Vaca Triste Sandstone Member were identified on logs. [MB 123 and MB 124, and any distinguishable interval between them, are considered a single unit in this report and will be written as MB 123/124. The base of the combined unit is also referred to as the base of MB 124.] The base and top of each unit were selected on the basis of combined gamma ray and sonic or density logs. The sonic and density are most responsive to the sharp basal contact that most marker beds display. The upper contact may be less sharp than the base; reference signatures of selected contacts (Figure 5) provide a standard. From these data, isopach maps of selected marker beds, interbeds, or combined units were constructed. The intervals from the Salado/Rustler contact to different marker beds are also of principal concern, as the upper Salado is the area believed initially attacked by dissolution. Several cross sections were constructed to show systematic log changes, or the lack thereof, to indicate possible facies changes. Data from selected areas were examined statistically to highlight expectable variations in thickness in units like these (Table 2).

The Salado study was confined to approximately 35 townships around the WIPP site (Figures 6 and 7). The suite of geophysical logs used to interpret the Rustler Formation for this area (Holt and Powers, 1988) was supplemented with some additional logs to fill in areas with sparse coverage. The interpretive methods and the quality assurance procedures are similar to those for the Rustler (Holt and Powers, 1988). All logs were interpreted by one individual (D. W. Powers). Data were transferred to data sheets and verified independently. Commercial software (Rbase 3.1, a product of Microrim, Inc.) was used by Powers to create a



**LEGEND**  
 \ \ \ ANHYDRITE  
 x x x POLYHALITE

**Figure 5**  
 Reference Geophysical Signatures and Selected Contacts  
 for Upper Salado Formation Near the WIPP Site

**Information Only**

**Table 2**  
**Statistics Regarding Thickness of Interval**  
**from the Top of Vaca Triste to the Top of Salado<sup>a</sup>**

T.23S., R.32E. $n = 28$ $\bar{x} = 520 \text{ ft}$ $\sigma_{n-1} = \pm 19 \text{ ft}$	T.23S., R.33E. $n = 13$ $\bar{x} = 507 \text{ ft}$ $\sigma_{n-1} = \pm 9 \text{ ft}$
T.24S., R.32E. $n = 28$ $\bar{x} = 577 \text{ ft}$ $\sigma_{n-1} = \pm 35 \text{ ft}$	T.24S., R.33E. $n = 19$ $\bar{x} = 562 \text{ ft}$ $\sigma_{n-1} = \pm 34 \text{ ft}$
For all four townships:  $n = 88$ $\bar{x} = 546 \text{ ft}$ $\sigma_{n-1} = \pm 39 \text{ ft}$	

<sup>a</sup>Refer to Figure 6 for township locations and isopach contours. Refer to Figure 5 for the stratigraphic interval. There are 107 drillholes within these townships; not all have data on this interval.

relational database and to manipulate basic data to formats required for various maps. Maps and data were verified independently as well. The data are presented in Appendix A.

### **2.5 Salado Thickness (Isopach) Information**

To understand the patterns of thickness variations of the Salado in the area around the WIPP site, we compare first the broad patterns from two thick intervals (the upper and middle parts) of the Salado. We later describe in more detail the changes in thickness by comparing thinner subintervals of the Salado defined by intervals between some significant marker beds. There is no difference in methods for these comparisons.

#### **2.5.1 Broad Patterns**

Two isopach maps illustrate the broader patterns of upper Salado thickness. An isopach map from the top of the Vaca Triste to the top of the Salado expresses the broad patterns of thinning that are of interest for the Rustler geohydrology. An isopach map from the base of MB 124 to the base of the Vaca Triste shows the middle of the Salado quite well. The




Figure 6  
Drillhole Base Map  
**Information Only**




Figure 7  
 Drillhole Base Map  
 of the Paduca Field

isopach map of this lower unit, in comparison to the upper Salado, shows a larger region less affected by dissolution and indicates depositional variations in thickness as a pattern for the Salado. Maps of specific interbeds (e.g., MB 109 to MB 103) have also been constructed to provide additional detail in support of these broader patterns.

The isopach of the interval from the base of MB 123/124 to the base of Vaca Triste (Figure 8) shows that this interval is approximately 250 to 300 ft (76 to 91 m) thick under the WIPP site area. This interval thickens to the southeast, toward an apparent depocenter, at a rate of approximately 10 ft/township (approximately 3 m/10 kilometers [km]). In the apparent depocenter, in the area of T.24-26S., R.32-33E., the MB 123/124 to Vaca Triste interval is generally over 300 ft (91 m) thick. In the northeast corner of the map area, over and behind the Capitan reef margin, the MB 123/124 to Vaca Triste interval is slightly thinner (less than 250 ft [76 m]). Small areas of this interval in the vicinity of Big Sinks and Phantom Banks are significantly thinner than either the depocenter or site areas. Higher units in this same area are more seriously disrupted, as described in following sections. Within the map area, the best defined and sharpest thinning of the MB 123/124 to Vaca Triste interval occurs in the southwest corner of T.25S., R.32E., at the southwest end of the Paduca oil field. This thinning trend is also generally observed southwest of the oil field in stratigraphically higher intervals.

The interval from the top of the Vaca Triste to the top of the Salado (Figure 9) is approximately 450 to 520 ft (137 to 158 m) thick in the vicinity of the WIPP site. The interval may be starting to thin approximately 50 ft/mile (mi) (9.5 m/km) off the northwest corner of the site, but the data are relatively few. In general, the Vaca Triste to Salado interval thickens to the southeast of the site toward a probable depocenter in the area of T.24-26S., R.33E. As in the MB 123/124 to Vaca Triste interval, the rate of thickening is of the order of 10 ft/township (3 m/10 km). In the depocenter, the interval is commonly greater than 550 ft (168 m) thick. As in the MB 123/124 to Vaca Triste interval, the Vaca Triste to Salado interval also is thinner in the northeastern part of the map area, over and behind the Capitan reef margin. In the southwest part of the map, in the Phantom Banks and Big Sinks areas, the Vaca Triste to Salado interval is usually less than 300 ft (91 m) thick, though there are a few exceptions. The Vaca Triste to Salado interval thins sharply northwest-southeast from the Paduca oil field area (T.25S., R.32E.) through the southeast arm of Nash Draw. The interval thins through this zone at an apparent rate of approximately 50 ft/mi (9.5 m/km); this




Figure 8  
 Isopach from the Base of MB 123/124 to the Base of the Vaca Triste

**Information Only**



rate differs markedly from the rate of thickening from the site area towards the apparent depocenter (about 0.3 m/km).

### **2.5.2 Subintervals of the MB 123/124 to Vaca Triste Interval**


Within the MB 123/124 to Vaca Triste interval, two subintervals were examined for thickness trends. The combined MB 123/124 interval (Figure 10) is approximately 15 to 20 ft (4.6 to 6 m) thick in much of the map area; the most prominent variation is a slightly thicker (greater than 20 ft [6 m]) area in and around the WIPP site. In the southern part of the map area near Phantom Banks, MB 123/124 appears to be thinner, though the data are neither extensive nor very consistent in this area. Many of the other map patterns, where the thickness exceeds 20 ft (6 m), are areally quite limited and may not be significant departures from the "normal" thickness, given limits to precision of these data (see Holt and Powers, 1988, for a discussion of limits to log data).

The interval from the top of MB 123/124 to base of Union anhydrite (Figure 11) is broadly similar to the MB 123/124 interval. Much of the central map area ranges from 50 to 60 ft (15 to 18 m) thick. The WIPP site area and an area east-northeast of the site are thicker (60 to 70 plus ft [18 to 21 plus m]). A small area near the southeastern side of the map area also exceeds 60 ft (18 m) thickness. A thinner area (less than 40 ft [12 m]) dominates the south central margin of the mapped area, and it appears more reliable than, though similar to, the thinning in that area of MB 123/124.

### **2.5.3 Subintervals of the Vaca Triste to Salado Interval**

Several subintervals of the Vaca Triste to Salado interval reveal additional details of the trends previously discussed.

The isopach from the top of Vaca Triste to the base of MB 109 (Figure 12) is approximately 160 to 180 ft (49 to 55 m) thick in the WIPP site area. Thickness increases slightly immediately south of the site as well as in the southeastern part of the map (from about 180 ft to more than 200 ft locally [about 55 to 61 m]). North and northeast of the site, the Vaca Triste to MB 109 interval thins slightly across the reef margin. The isopach data are not very systematic in the southwestern part of the map area. Overall, there is no well-defined southeast-northwest thinning trend as observed in the broader Vaca Triste to Salado interval (Figure 9) that includes Vaca Triste to MB 109.




0 2 4 MILES  
SCALE

Contour Interval = 5 feet

Dashed contours represent areas of limited stratigraphic control

Figure 10  
Isopach of the Combined MB 123/124 Interval  
**Information Only**





0 2 4 MILES  
SCALE

Contour Interval = 10 feet Dashed contours represent areas of limited stratigraphic control

Figure 11  
Isopach from the Top of MB 123 to the Base of the Union Anhydrite

Information Only



Contour Interval = 20 feet

Dashed contours represent areas of limited stratigraphic control

**Figure 12**  
**Isopach from the Top of the Vaca Triste to the Base of MB 109**


27  
**Information Only**

The isopach of the interval from the top of MB 109 to the base of MB 103 (Figure 13) ranges from approximately 100 to 125 ft (30 to 38 m) thick in the vicinity of the WIPP site. This interval generally thickens from the site to the southeast. Most of T.24-26S., R.32-33E. is approximately 125 to 150 ft (38 to 46 m) thick, with a few exceptions. A slightly thicker area in T.24S., R.32E. exceeds 200 ft (61 m), while the immediately surrounding boreholes exceed 150 ft (46 m). The northeastern part of the map area is thinner than the site area, as in many other isopach maps, but the amount of thinning is not great. The southern and southwestern parts of the map are also thinner, though local variability is great. Some "normal" thicknesses of the MB 109 to MB 103 interval border much thinner areas of this interval. The sharply defined thinning zone trends northwest-southeast across the map, as it does on the map (Figure 9) of the thicker Vaca Triste to Salado interval, which includes MB 109 to MB 103. The zone of thinning also trends across the northern half of the Paduca oil field (T.25S., R.32E.). The MB 109 to MB 103 interval thins approximately 25 to 50 ft/mi (4.7 to 9.5 m/km) across this zone.

MB 103 varies little throughout the map area (Figure 14). It is generally 10 to 20 ft (3 to 6 m), and the variations appear neither systematic nor particularly meaningful.

The interval from the base of MB 103 to the top of Salado is approximately 175 to 200 ft (56 to 61 m) thick in the site vicinity (Figure 15). A few data points indicate that the interval thins immediately west and northwest of the site. As in previous interbed intervals, MB 103 to Salado thickens from the site vicinity to the southeast (T.24S., R.32-33E.; T.25S., R.33E.), where the MB 103 to Salado exceeds 200 ft (61 m). The southwestern end of the map area reveals a much thinner interval, as does part of the southeastern boundary. There is also slight thinning of the MB 103 to Salado interval from the site to the north and northeast across the Capitan reef margin. The northwest-southeast trending zone of rapid thinning of the MB 103 to Salado parallels the similar zone in MB 109 to MB 103 interval, but it is displaced further northeast. As in other intervals for which isopachs were constructed, the thinning occurs at approximately 50 ft/mi (9.5 m/km).

None of the isopach intervals previously discussed includes the Vaca Triste Sandstone Member of the Salado. A separate isopach (Figure 16) for the Vaca Triste shows that the unit is generally between 10 to 20 ft (3 to 6 m) thick. In the vicinity of the WIPP site and area to the north of the site, the Vaca Triste is less than 10 ft (3 m) thick, but some additional explanation is appropriate. These data are mostly from the potash holes drilled for the WIPP project (Jones, 1978). The logs used for this information are natural gamma and an





0 2 4 MILES  
SCALE

Contour Interval = 50 Ft  
125' Contour added For Clarity

Dashed Contours Represent Areas Of  
Limited Stratigraphic Control

**Figure 13**  
Isopach from the Top of MB 109 to the Base of MB 103

**Information Only**



Contour Interval = 10 feet

Dashed contours represent areas of limited stratigraphic control

Figure 14  
Isopach of MB 103

**Information Only**





Figure 15  
Isopach from the Base of MB 103 to the Top of the Salado

Information Only



Contour Interval = 10 Ft

Dashed Contours Represent Areas Of Limited Stratigraphic Control

Figure 16

Isopach of the Vaca Triste Sandstone

# Information Only

uncalibrated density log. The density log does not display the same signature as commercial acoustic logs for this interval, and it appears that the Vaca Triste is underestimated on the density log relative to the commercial acoustic or sonic logs used for the rest of the area. Not enough overlapping logs of both types are available to truly demonstrate this possible explanation. The Vaca Triste is an important marker unit. Among other things, it demonstrates the great areal continuity of some clastic-rich units within the Salado Formation.

#### **2.5.4 Discussion of Thickness Data**

Isopach maps are traditionally valued because variations in the thickness of an individual unit can reveal information about the tectono-sedimentary regime during deposition of the unit and about events such as erosion before the overlying beds are deposited. The value of such maps is diminished when soluble evaporites are included in isopach units, because the thickness may be greatly reduced by dissolution long after overlying units are deposited. The maps included in this report still appear to contain information about some depositional trends that are useful in estimating the areas affected by dissolution and volumes removed.

We first observed generally that most of the mapped intervals are thickest in the east to southeast part of the map area. The same halitic intervals are also slightly thinner over and behind the Capitan reef margin to the north. The thickest area is interpreted as a depocenter because it is thickest and because it is located in approximately the same position as the Rustler depocenter (Holt and Powers, 1988). These same upper Salado units in the WIPP site area are slightly thinner but show no evidence of recent or continuing dissolution (Holt and Powers, 1984, 1986b, 1988, 1991) based on shaft descriptions and core observations.

Compared to halitic units of the Rustler, the upper Salado depocenter appears to be broader and flatter. In the northern and northeastern part of the map area, over the Capitan reef, thinner upper Salado intervals seem to show some effects of this boundary.

Upper Salado intervals show a relatively narrow (generally approximately 1 to 3 mi [1.5 to 5 km]) zone where the interval thins dramatically compared to the broader depocenter. The width and rate of thinning compare reasonably well to a similar zone of the Tamarisk Member of the Rustler Formation (Holt and Powers, 1988). The major difference is that cores of the Rustler show evidence of a large facies tract of syndimentary dissolution without collapse of overlying units. Cores from Nash Draw, where this zone of thinning upper Salado occurs, show fracturing and collapse of overlying sediments, demonstrating post-Rustler dissolution. This margin of the upper Salado appears to be dominated by

dissolution; we assume the rest of the margin southeast of the WIPP site is similar, though textural evidence is not available to confirm this assumption.

The upper Salado dissolution margin from the WIPP site to the southeast (Figure 15) trends subparallel to the strike line of the Culebra (Holt and Powers, 1988, figure 4.17; see Figure 22 in this report). If this margin of upper Salado salt is attacked further by dissolution, the Culebra transmissivities should be disturbed (increased) along strike to slightly downdip to the south-southeast of the site. This could provide a considerably different path for fluid transport than is usually assumed, as in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (U.S. Department of Energy, 1980).


The third major observation and discussion point is that the Salado logs are often not interpretable in the west and southwest section of the map area. Where an individual geophysical log is interpretable, it is generally difficult to interpret the resultant isopachs. This western and southwestern section is disturbed by dissolution, including karst. Erosion before, during, and after deposition of the Miocene to Pleistocene Gatuña Formation has also affected the Rustler in this area.

## **2.6 Cross Section Data**

Cross sections have been constructed from geophysical logs to evaluate continuity of major marker beds and lesser interbeds and to evaluate any possible facies changes within the Salado halite interbeds. Major marker beds clearly are continuous over large areas, as is easily shown on acoustic logs (e.g., Figure 17a-c). This is a necessary basis for using marker beds to establish changes in thickness of various intervals, as described in the previous section. Thin clastic beds, such as those between MB 117 and the Vaca Triste (Figure 17b-c), are continuous on the same general scale as the sulfate marker beds (Powers et al., 1988). Major facies changes, if present in the halitic units between marker beds, could greatly change the estimated effects of evaporite dissolution in the upper Salado. Cross sections incorporate both natural gamma and sonic/acoustic measurements; these data permit major lithologic changes to be discriminated. The upper Salado is emphasized, as the most important changes in thickness for our evaluation occur above MB 109. Middle Salado beds provide a reference by which to judge upper Salado changes.


### **2.6.1 Cross Section Details**

The intervals from MB 123/124 to Union anhydrite and from the Union to the Vaca Triste, including marker beds, in east-west cross sections (Figures 18, 19, and 20; locations on



See Figure 6 for Drillhole Locations


Figure 17a  
Selected Acoustic Logs T23-25S  
**Information Only**



See Figure 6 for Drillhole Locations

Figure 17b  
Selected Acoustic Logs T23-25S  
**Information Only**

T25S-R32E



37

See Figure 6 for Drillhole Locations


Figure 17c  
Selected Acoustic Logs T23-25S

**Information Only**

For each drillhole  
natural gamma is on the left,  
acoustic log is on the right.

*Gamma*  
Increases

*Acoustic*  
Increases



**Information Only**

**Figure 18**  
Acoustic and Natural Gamma Logs  
(T23S)

For each drillhole  
natural gamma is on the left,  
acoustic log is on the right.

Gamma  
Increases

Acoustic  
Increases




Figure 19  
Acoustic and Natural Gamma Logs  
(T24S)

Information Only

For each drillhole  
natural gamma is on the left,  
acoustic log is on the right.

Gamma  
Increases

Acoustic  
Increases




Figure 20  
Acoustic and Natural Gamma Logs  
(T25S)

Information Only

Figures 6 and 7) vary little in thickness or lithology, except at the western end of each cross section. Thin clastic units below the Vaca Triste are traceable just as the main marker beds are. The main difference is that the western end of the section through Paduca field (Figure 20; T.25S., R.32E.) is not interpretable below the Vaca Triste as a continuous section of anhydrite marker beds and interbeds. Gamma is much increased through the entire interval up to about the position of the Vaca Triste, probably indicating increasing siliciclastic content. There is no particular evidence to suggest the increased gamma is due to potassium mineralization. Lower marker beds appear to be unaffected. A broader interval, including this high gamma section, appears to have a normal thickness, indicating the high gamma section is not a recently formed solution residue. The high gamma rock section appears to substitute for the normal sulfate and halite units below the Vaca Triste. The initial data are consistent with a depositional feature rather than recent dissolution because the overall section is not reduced in thickness.

The intervals from the Vaca Triste to MB 109 and from MB 109 to MB 103 (Figures 18, 19, and 20) do not vary greatly except in thickness from east to west. Thin clastic beds are traceable in the intervals, but it does not appear that clastic content is changing greatly from east to west. Nor does there appear to be any particular concentration of insoluble siliciclastic residues in the interval as it becomes thinner toward the west. The geophysical log data seem to favor equally either deposition or dissolution as the causes of thinning of the interval across these areas.

The interval from MB 103 to the Salado (Figures 18, 19, and 20) does thin markedly to the west in the southern cross sections. The interval is thinning from the top down. Some material should be accreting to the base of the Rustler, as postulated by Jones et al. (1973), if the section is being reduced by dissolution. An additional gamma bulge, seemingly at the base of the Rustler, occurs on some logs not in cross sections, but there is no identified systematic signature associated with the accreted material. In addition, a few interpreted logs not in cross sections show polyhalite in MB 103 at about the position where there is no discernible halite above MB 103. It is more common to find that MB 103 has lost all sign of polyhalite, while there is a complete, or at least thick, section of halite between MB 103 and the Salado/Rustler contact. MB 103, probably as gypsum, persists over a large area where halite is absent above the marker bed.

Overall, the cross sections indicate no significant, or at least not interpretable, facies changes in the halitic interbeds that might strongly favor depositional processes as an explanation of

larger lateral thickness changes. The best defined lateral lithologic change is the lack of polyhalite in MB 103 away from the depocenter area.

### **2.6.2 Discussion of Cross Section Information**

A major objective for drawing cross sections was to examine the evidence for facies changes and to compare or contrast this evidence with thickness changes. Facies changes are recognized when a distinguishable and interpretable lithologic change occurs laterally within a rock unit. Depositional systems everywhere display beds that attenuate away from the depocenter, more or less dramatically (e.g., alluvial fans versus some carbonate shelf regimes). Erosion can significantly affect thickness of beds that are subaerially deposited or exposed. Thickness changes in evaporites cannot be attributed uniquely to dissolution any more than to deposition or erosion. Lithofacies are, however, a universal consequence of depositional systems, and under appropriate conditions, evaporite lithofacies may be properly attributed to depositional systems rather than postdepositional dissolution. For example, Holt and Powers (1988) believe that textural and geophysical log evidence establish that large facies tracts of the Rustler Formation were unaffected by post-Rustler dissolution, contradicting earlier interpretations of thinning Rustler halitic/mudstone beds due to dissolution of halite. As core of the Salado is not available beyond the vicinity of the WIPP site, log signatures in cross sections are used to investigate the possibility of facies changes in the upper Salado.

The most important evidence of facies changes would consist of systematic changes in the halitic units of the Salado, indicating a depositional margin and/or depositional thinning. A lateral increase in clay content without change in bed thickness would be reasonable evidence of depositional variations. Depositional facies can also be signalled in halitic beds if the cumulative thickness of argillaceous material increases in areas that are thin compared to areas where the same bed is thicker. (Natural gamma logs can be used to assess cumulative thickness of argillaceous material.) Although such evidence is consistent with a facies change, it would not rule out dissolution as a factor. A situation like this would be inconsistent with the assumption that little or no lateral change occurs, which is used to justify the single working hypothesis of dissolution.

In the upper Salado, some facies changes do occur. It is clear, for example, that potassium is not uniformly distributed laterally within Salado beds. Adams (1970) showed the general distribution of potassium minerals in different ore zones, although he did not call these facies

changes. Within our data, potassium is unevenly distributed in sulfate marker beds (Figures 18, 19, and 20).

MB 103 is more polyhalitic toward the east in log cross sections from T.23S. (Figure 18). (Polyhalite is inferred from combined high acoustic velocities and high natural gamma.) Marker beds in general appear to be somewhat more polyhalitic toward the thicker parts of interbeds (toward the inferred depocenter). This characteristic might be related to deposition/early diagenesis, and it should be examined further, as polyhalite may also be construed as an indicator that dissolution has not occurred.

Polyhalite is locally to regionally absent from marker beds, while anhydrite or gypsum remain. Polyhalite should dissolve incongruently while halite is dissolving, leaving anhydrite or, more likely, gypsum. Incongruent solution of polyhalite probably does account for lateral changes in some marker beds from polyhalite to gypsum or anhydrite. Blocks of orange to reddish gypsum crop out in the western Delaware Basin; these blocks are attributed informally to incongruent solution of polyhalite.

MB 103 (Figures 18, 19, and 20) demonstrates that incongruent solution is not a unique origin for these lateral changes, as the polyhalitic to nonpolyhalitic anhydrite occurs where the marker bed is overlain by a thick halitic uppermost Salado. Because halite is still present, it is very doubtful this change is the result of post-Salado dissolution. Elsewhere, the margin of salt between MB 103 and the Rustler is near the transition in MB 103 from anhydrite to polyhalitic anhydrite. These latter occurrences show only that the "loss" of polyhalite may indicate either postdepositional incongruent dissolution or some early diagenetic process; it is not unique to either process.

At this time, there is little evidence to be derived from the geophysical log cross sections that shows lithofacies in the upper Salado varying in the areas where the halitic beds dramatically thin. The physical situation overall is quite similar to that in the Rustler, but without physical evidence such as core textures, Salado halite dissolution remains the simplest concept consistent with available data. To the west of the WIPP site, especially in Nash Draw, physical evidence from cores proves brittle collapse of upper Salado and lower Rustler coincides with abruptly thinning zones; there it should be concluded that the upper Salado has been partially dissolved to provide space for the collapse of overlying beds and solution residues.

By extension from the Nash Draw evidence, it is reasonable at this time to assume the zone of abrupt thinning of the upper Salado has been affected by dissolution. Perhaps more important, this zone should be the present and future point of attack on the upper Salado salt, resulting in proportional collapse of the overlying Salado/Rustler rocks. The Culebra should be expected to show an attendant change in hydrologic properties along this margin.


### **2.7 Summary of Evidence About Salado Dissolution**

Geophysical logs of the upper Salado were correlated and interpreted to provide the data for isopach maps of several intervals between marker beds or other prominent contacts. Cross sections were constructed from geophysical logs so that possible facies changes could be identified. The study area covers approximately 35 townships that include the WIPP site.

The isopach maps reveal a broad depocenter for the Salado located in the eastern to southeastern part of the study area (Figure 21). Away from the depocenter, upper Salado units thin gradually; the shafts at the WIPP site are located in these thinner zones. A more sharply defined region of thinning of the upper Salado trends from the Nash Draw area, west of the WIPP site, to the south-southeast (Figure 21). This zone is most likely attributable to dissolution, as cores of the upper Salado from Nash Draw in this zone reveal collapse and brecciation of overlying units. Without textural evidence, we cannot discount totally the possibility that this margin also represents a depositional margin similar to the Tamarisk Member of the Rustler Formation. We assume that it is due to dissolution because of the Nash Draw evidence. The eastern margin of Nash Draw along Livingston Ridge closely parallels the contours of upper Salado thickness (Figures 13 and 21), and we believe that upper Salado dissolution controls much of the ridge shape in that area.

The cross sections display little evidence of lithofacies changes within halitic beds of the upper Salado. Closely spaced, as well as dispersed, data points show continuity of major marker beds, as well as of thin clastic beds.

The apparent dissolution margin of the upper Salado units is the most likely continuing and future point of attack for dissolution. The overlying Culebra should be affected by significant dissolution, causing collapse and fracturing that are expected to increase transmissivities along the zone. The path for transport of radionuclides may be affected by this process.



Modified from Figure 15

Figure 21  
 Zone of Upper Salado Thinning  
**Information Only**

## **3.0 Structural Disturbance of the Culebra**

---

### **3.1 Background Information**

The hydrologic character of Rustler units, especially the Culebra Dolomite Member, may be strongly affected by deformation due to tectonic or dissolution processes. In this chapter, we examine the existing structure of the Culebra, describing the basic structural features regardless of origin. In addition, other units are compared for thickness and structure to try to sort out, as much as possible, the timing of events and features related to tectonics from those caused by evaporite dissolution. The data on areal distribution of Rustler halite are presented in Chapter 4.0, however, where the contrasting concepts of dissolution and syndepositional processes are examined.



### **3.2 Data Sets and Methods**

The structure contour map of the base of the Culebra Dolomite Member (Figure 22) presented here is based on the data from Holt and Powers (1988), some additional data acquired for this work, and data from Richey (1989) that clarifies important areas, especially in R.29E. and R.30E. The data are differentiated because the procedures followed for the Richey (1989) data are not known to us. (See Appendix A for a discussion of the data sources and Appendix C for a comparison of data sets.) The data set provided by Richey (1989) has been prepared as tables in Appendix B.

The broad regional structure of units below and above the Rustler are a beginning point for determining tectonic effects. To estimate the changes in Culebra structure due to either dissolution or tectonic processes, it is necessary to reconstruct the earlier configuration of the Culebra and underlying units. Key assumptions and data guide this reconstruction. The effects of dissolution are assessed based on two analyses: (1) the determinable facts of changes in thickness of halite-bearing units and (2) the independent (of thickness) interpretation of whether salt was deposited and, if so, when the evidence suggests it was removed (Chapter 4.0). In a third paper, we will examine directly the strength of the relationship between thickness changes in Rustler salt (commonly attributed to dissolution) and changes in hydrologic parameters in the Rustler Formation.

### **3.3 General Culebra Structure Elements**

Though the structural features of the Delaware Basin have developed through time and have affected many geological units, we focus here on the Culebra Dolomite Member. It is the



**I** Marks general areas of incomplete contouring  
 Dashed Contours Represent Areas Of Limited Stratigraphic Control  
 See Figure 24 For Borehole Identifiers

• Represents Borehole and Data as Reported in Holt and Powers (1988) or interpreted from additional geophysical log data  
 x Represents Borehole and Data as Reported in Richey (1989)

Contour Interval = 100'

**Figure 22**  
**Structure Contour Map of Culebra Dolomite Base**  
**Information Only**

main hydrological unit of interest overlying the Salado Formation, and it displays the broad structural elements (Figures 22, 23, and 24) relevant here:

1. Structure that approximates regional structure of sub-Castile units (i.e., north-south strike, east dip of approximately 100 ft/mi or 20 m/km) in the area at and south of the WIPP site
2. An anticline at ERDA 6 (southeast part of T.21S., R.31E.) plunging to the southeast
3. An anticline (the "Remuda Basin anticline") from the Remuda Basin area (southeast part of T.23S., R.29E.) plunging southeast toward the Paduca field
4. The steep and regular structural gradient on the southwest flank of the Remuda Basin anticline
5. Flat to chaotic to closed structures at the southern margin of the map (and into Texas).

In our earlier work on the Rustler (Holt and Powers, 1988, figure 4.17), the data were more restricted in some areas. We were only able to show the ERDA 6 anticline and the normal site structure (similar to regional dip). The other features (items 3, 4, and 5 above) coincided with too few data points to reliably interpret their existence. With sparse data on a regional map of the top of the Rustler Formation, Hiss (1976) shows the broad outlines of all of the features named above. Borns and Shaffer (1985), also using a somewhat restricted regional data set for southeastern New Mexico, show the first four features on structure contour maps of the top of Salado and the top of the Rustler. As their maps are truncated at the southern edge of T.25S., some of the area of the flat to chaotic closed structures was not considered by Borns and Shaffer (1985).

Using the data set from Richey (1989), Davies (1989, figure 15) also shows the same features, though his map includes some areas in which data points are not properly contoured. The structure contour map of the Culebra by Brinster (1991, figure II-17) is also based on data from Richey (1989). It more broadly reflects the same structural features, but the map is so flawed by errors in data placement and contouring, especially in the western part, that it should not be used for any interpretive or modeling purpose. A set of replacement maps has been provided as an addendum by Brinster to correct earlier flaws.





Figure 23  
 Main Structural Elements of Culebra Dolomite  
**Information Only**



• Represents Borehole and Data as Reported in Holt and Powers (1988) or interpreted from additional geophysical log data  
 x Represents Borehole and Data as Reported in Richey (1989)

Modified from Figure 6

**Figure 24**  
**Drillhole Base Map for Culebra Data**

### **3.4 Discussion of Culebra Structural Features**

Throughout much of the northern Delaware Basin, stratigraphic units underlying the evaporite section consistently strike nearly north-south and dip to the east at a rate of approximately 100 ft/mi (approximately 20 m/km). The Bell Canyon to Castile contact is the best known example. In the eastern part of the basin, the evaporite units generally mirror this structure. All pre-Cenozoic units show the effects, leading to the interpretation that the broad basinal dip postdates the Paleozoic rocks. We expect, therefore, that disruptions of this pattern in the Rustler Formation around the WIPP site should be clues to postdepositional effects such as dissolution and deformation.

On the basis of these assumptions, estimated regional structure contour lines on the base of the Culebra Dolomite Member have been constructed to reflect an earlier configuration with a dominantly north-south strike and eastward dip (Figure 25). Areas of presently equal elevation north and south of the WIPP site have been used as "anchor points" for these structure contours. South of the WIPP site, these anchor points are located where the Salado does not display any significant thinning (Figures 9 and 15). North of the WIPP site, the anchor points are less secure, because the evaporites are deformed in some areas and the upper Salado is thinner. The data further north are generally consistent with the estimated regional structural trend (Figure 25), and the strike lines are generally consistent with subevaporite strike. We recognize the limitations in these assumptions.

The difference between the present elevation of the Culebra base and the estimated regional structural trend has been calculated for each borehole in the WIPP site area where data are available. The structural changes are estimated to the nearest 10 ft (3 m), as there is no justification for any additional precision, given the initial assumptions about the regional structure. The difference has been contoured to emphasize areas that may have undergone more recent changes or deformation differing from uniform eastward dip.

The Rustler displays increasing thickness from west to east across the site area, and the thickness changes are closely related to the amount of halite in three members of the formation (Snyder, 1985; Holt and Powers, 1988). Holt and Powers (1988) have previously attributed the lateral thickness and mineralogical changes to nondeposition and syndepositional dissolution of halite during the Permian. Depositional patterns would not greatly change the later dip superimposed on the Rustler and surrounding units, while it is




Figure 25  
 Difference in Elevation Between Present Culebra Base  
 and Estimated Regional Structural Trend

expected that later events, including dissolution, would be reflected. The patterns of this map (Figure 25) are interesting and require careful thought.

Across the WIPP site area, the estimated regional structure contour lines on the Culebra (Figure 25) show a general north-south trend, though the azimuth is slightly west of north. The departures from the estimated regional structure range from minus 200 ft along the edge of Nash Draw to plus 450 ft at ERDA 6.

The center of the WIPP site shows slightly depressed structure, but most of this is not expected to be significant, given the assumptions to estimate the regional structure. It may be that several tens of feet positive or negative are not significant. The underlying Salado is not thinner under this area (e.g., Figure 15). The lower part (M-1/H-1) of the unnamed lower member has halite (see Chapter 4.0, Figure 31). The upper part (M-2/H-2) of the unnamed lower member does not have halite across this area of slightly depressed structure; neither does the area south of the WIPP boundary, where the southern "anchor points" for regional structure are located. If the central depressed structure was interpreted as due to dissolution of the halite below the Culebra, it would have to be later than the area to the south or both areas would have been affected equally. Another problem is that the difference in thickness of the M-2/H-2 interval between the shafts (e.g., Holt and Powers, 1991) and borehole P-18 to the east is only approximately 20 to 25 ft (see Jones, 1978, for P-18 data) and for many boreholes could account for less than half the estimated structural difference. The range of differences between estimated regional structure and present structure in this central area is generally minus 30 to minus 60 ft and is marginal for drawing significant conclusions.


Northeast of the site, the Culebra appears to have been lifted as much as 450 ft (137 m) above estimated regional structure. The change in structure trends northwest-southeast, and it maps the flank of the anticlinal structure due to the intense deformation of the Castile and overlying formations observed in ERDA 6 (Anderson and Powers, 1978; Jones, 1981a; Sandia National Laboratories and U.S. Geological Survey, 1983). The effects of the deformation at ERDA 6 are evident to the northeast corner of the WIPP area and possibly along the eastern boundary. (In a rectangular 10 mi<sup>2</sup> area just east of the WIPP boundary, there are estimated to be 60 additional oil or gas wells that are not included in our data, and these could greatly enhance the available information on this area if suitable geophysical logs were obtained by the companies.)

A large negative feature, exceeding minus 100 ft (minus 30 m) departure from the estimated regional structure, occurs west of the WIPP site. A salient, with minus 110 to minus 140 ft (minus 34 to minus 43 m) difference, extends across the northwestern corner of the site to include WIPP 11 and DOE 2. There is little doubt that dissolution and collapse of the upper Salado have affected the westernmost data points in this negative area, as the cores from Nash Draw holes show varying degrees of brecciation in the lower to middle Rustler. The uppermost Salado (MB 103 to the top of Salado, Figure 15) shows 150 ft (46 m) or more of thinning along Livingston Ridge. The Salado is not thinner in the area of the salient feature, and the lower unnamed member has far too little halite to account for it, even if all halite within M-2/H-2 was dissolved. Another explanation is needed.

In the area of the salient, Castile structure has been significantly disturbed by evaporite deformation (Powers et al., 1978; Borns et al., 1983; Borns, 1987). It seems likely that the Culebra has been lowered locally as part of these structural disturbances. A structure contour map on selected Salado marker beds could probably clarify this possibility. Thickness maps of the overlying units, the Dewey Lake and Santa Rosa Formations (Figures 26 and 27), may also help explain this salient. The Dewey Lake isopach displays a "reverse salient" where the 500-ft isopach trends to the west over part of the same area as the salient shown on Figure 25. The Santa Rosa isopach shows a broader westward bulge in the same general area. These two formations help resolve the change in structure on the Culebra.

The Dewey Lake is not an evaporite deposit, and its thickness is not going to be diminished by dissolution. In addition, the Dewey Lake, in general, shows relatively uniform change in thickness from east to west, with isopach contours roughly north-south. Both units thin to the west like a wedge. Based on available data, the approximate western margin of the Santa Rosa is also approximately the eastern margin where the Dewey Lake begins to thin. The units have been bevelled in response to erosion after the units were tilted downward to the east. The eastward dip on these formations is approximately 100 ft/mi (19 mi/km), and the westward rate of thinning is similar.

The westward salient on the 500-ft isopach for the Dewey Lake indicates that the structural depression on the Culebra occurred prior to erosion. The units appear to have been warped differently in that area and not uniformly tilted to the east. The subsequent beveling results in slightly thicker deposits being preserved in the area of the Culebra depression. This interpretation would be enhanced with additional structure contour maps on these units.



Dashed contours represent areas of limited stratigraphic control  
 Contour Interval = 100 feet






Figure 26

Isopach of the Dewey Lake Formation

Information Only



Contour Interval = 100 feet  
Dashed contours represent areas of limited stratigraphic control

Figure 27

Isopach of the Santa Rosa Formation

Information Only

There may be alternate explanations required in the area of Big Sinks and Phantom Banks, though the disturbance of the entire section in that area makes detailed inferences suspect.


The Remuda Basin anticline trends southeast from the Remuda Basin area toward Paduca field. In this report, no structure contours were constructed on units within the Salado Formation. Borns and Shaffer (1985) present maps with somewhat sparse data in this area, which could be interpreted to show this anticline present in various units within the Salado. It is not visible in the structure of the top of the Bell Canyon (Delaware Mountain Group), indicating it is confined to the evaporite formations. The data on Castile Formation units are too sparse to decide if the structure is or is not present in that formation.

A cross section has been constructed perpendicular to the Remuda Basin anticline to show the structural relationship to lower units (Figure 28). The northwest flank of the anticline shows the regional dip to the east from the base of the Castile to the top of the Rustler. The northwest to southeast trend to the anticline is caused by the zone where the upper Salado is thinned along a similar trend. The southwest flank of the anticline is formed mainly by changes in thickness of the Salado. The base of Castile dips uniformly to the east through this area, and the thickness changes are probably mostly due to dissolution.


Flat to chaotic structure in the southern map area, south of the Remuda Basin anticline, is consistent with an area undergoing dissolution, and the cross section demonstrates that the Salado is the main unit being dissolved.

### ***3.5 Summary of Evidence about Culebra Structure***

The Culebra shows gross structural changes northeast of the WIPP because of evaporite deformation. Across the site, subtle structural changes from regional background exist that can best be explained as a response to evaporite deformation rather than dissolution of Rustler and Salado evaporites. Further south, the Remuda Basin anticline formed from a combination of eastern regional dip, dissolution along the upper Salado, and greater dissolution of Salado to the southwest to reverse the regional dip.



58



See Figure 6 for cross section location

Figure 28  
NE-SW Cross Section through "Remuda Basin Anticline"

Information Only

## **4.0 Rustler Halite Dissolution**

---

### **4.1 Background Information and History**


The three nondolomite members of the Rustler Formation have beds of halite (Figure 29) in an area east and south of the WIPP site. Some halite exists in both the unnamed lower member and in the Tamarisk Member within the WIPP site; the Forty-niner Member is devoid of halite within the WIPP site. Halitic parts of all three members are thicker than equivalent nonhalitic beds. Was halite dissolved after the Rustler was deposited to produce thinner, nonhalitic beds? Or are these beds lacking halite because of different depositional conditions?

Here we reconsider briefly the principal arguments that have already been presented, and we present maps of existing halite margins. From this information, we can hypothesize generally what effects dissolution may have, or may have had, on Rustler hydrology. The final report in this series (see Preface) will explicitly examine the correlation between Rustler hydrological parameters and the thickness of halitic members, as well as other factors.

Project history and studies regarding shallow dissolution in southeastern New Mexico have been extensively analyzed by Powers (in review). That evaluation is helpful in understanding how the WIPP has recognized and approached issues related to shallow dissolution, but it does not propose that the project should adopt one conceptual model of Rustler halite distribution over another.

#### **4.1.1 Alternate Hypotheses of Halite Distribution**

The prevailing hypothesis has been that halite was deposited relatively uniformly in each member of the Rustler across the WIPP area, and that the halite was later removed from some areas by dissolution. Jones et al. (1960, figure 1) considered each halitic unit to have a laterally equivalent residue after dissolution of halite. Vine (1963) and Jones et al. (1973) also proposed that halite was dissolved from the Rustler, and Jones et al. (1973) believed most of the halite was dissolved in later Cenozoic times. Powers et al. (1978) reviewed the information and previous work available for the WIPP project, following the line of thinking established in previous work. Lambert (1983) also reviewed the available information on, and hypotheses about, dissolution of the evaporite formations of the Ochoan Series, revising some of the proposed mechanisms for dissolution.



See Figure 6 for Drillhole Locations

**Figure 29**  
Log Character of Rustler Mudstone/Halite Intervals  
**Information Only**

Through this period, the principal evidence for dissolution of halite was lack of halite in thinner units. To be sure, Jones et al. (1960) and Vine (1963) report residues and solution breccias in these members, but in little detail. Outcrops and near-surface parts of the Rustler in Nash Draw and further south exhibit karst and collapse features from solution of Rustler and Salado rocks. Near-surface sulfatic rocks are hydrated, and both the Culebra and Magenta Dolomite Members yield some water of varying salinity. Taken together, the pieces of evidence have convinced a number of geologists and hydrologists that water has percolated into or through the Rustler and that halite has been removed extensively from the Rustler since it was deposited.

As the Rustler was exposed, mapped, and described in detail in the waste handling shaft (Holt and Powers, 1984), bedding and sedimentary structures were revealed in units previously attributed to dissolution residues at the site (e.g., Jones, 1981b). During a more extended study of shafts, cores, and geophysical logs through the Rustler, Holt and Powers (1988) found considerable stratigraphic, textural, and diagenetic evidence indicating that halite pan to saline mudflats existed during deposition. Halite and gypsum grew displacively in facies tracts adjacent to the halite pan, and halite, especially, was removed syndepositionally from areas more distal to the halite pan at the depocenter. Incipient soil textures and probable fluvial deposits characterize the more distal facies tracts. At the site, facies tracts that had no halite deposited, or that had halite removed syndepositionally, show little or no fracturing or brecciation of overlying units. In Nash Draw, the upper Salado has been attacked by dissolution, causing collapse and brecciation of the overlying units. Holt and Powers (1988) show that this brecciation overprints synsedimentary dissolution in the Rustler; halite no longer existed in that part of the Rustler by the time the sediments were lithified. They concluded that little halite has been removed from the WIPP site area since the Rustler was deposited.

By this hypothesis of deposition and syndepositional dissolution, the Rustler Formation developed facies tracts with halite margins at about the present limits to halite. The depositional margins are the likely places, then, where halite might be modified by dissolution. Significant dissolution could further strain or result in collapse and fracturing of the overlying beds, affecting the hydrology of units such as the Culebra and Magenta. To further determine the areas of the Culebra and Magenta most likely to be affected if there were dissolution along these halite margins, the margins as they now exist were plotted in more detail.

#### **4.1.2 Reported Halite Distributions**


Mercer (1983) reported, on a map, the extent of halite beds within the Rustler Formation around the WIPP site area based on information from R. P. Snyder. Mercer (1983) interpreted the thickness changes of the Rustler Formation as due to both depositional and dissolution processes, following the interpretation of Jones et al. (1973). Depositional changes were considered by Jones as the source of lesser thickness changes from north to south in the eastern part of the area. Later, Snyder (1985) prepared a map (presented here as Figure 30) of a smaller area around the WIPP site that appears very similar to part of the map in Mercer (1983). The map shows halite margins within the Rustler Formation based mainly on the evidence of the interpretation of geophysical logs for unit thicknesses and presence of halite. These two maps, based on work by Snyder, are sources for some of the variety of figures in documents presenting and interpreting margins of Rustler halite.

The other source of maps of halite margins is traced to the map of Beauheim (1987) in which he combined information from Snyder (1985; also cited by Beauheim as personal communication from Snyder) and Powers (cited by Beauheim as personal communication). The map by Powers differs in some areas from Snyder and covers much of southeastern New Mexico. The geophysical logs used for that effort have been reexamined and newly interpreted to provide the map of halite margins in this report. The basis for interpreting the geophysical logs is the same as for the earlier map, and the results are very similar. In this report, the interpretive rationale and data are presented, and the map is based only on these data.


There is no question that the interpreted significance of halite margins in the Rustler Formation differs greatly between Snyder and Powers, as traced through related publications. Snyder (1985) clearly attributes the major changes in thickness of the Rustler and areas of no halite as due to post-Rustler dissolution. Holt and Powers (1984, 1986b, 1988) first question the extent of post-Rustler dissolution and then attribute the major changes in thickness to synsedimentary precipitation and dissolution along the halite pan margin. The general positions of the margins are similar for both investigators, and the differences in details are not the source of differences in interpretation.

#### **4.2 Methods**

Geophysical logs, mainly natural gamma and acoustic logs, were inspected to interpret the thicknesses of halite, sulfate, and mudstone of four intervals within the Rustler. Log characteristics of these lithologies were reviewed in Holt and Powers (1988). The acoustic



-  No halite in Rustler Formation
-  Halite missing above Culebra Dolomite
-  Halite missing above Magenta Dolomite
-  No halite missing



(Hachures indicate closed lows)  
(From Snyder, 1985, figure 4)

**Figure 30**  
**Isopach Map of the Rustler Formation in the Vicinity of the WIPP Site Showing Dissolution Zones**

and natural gamma logs from one borehole at WIPP (WIPP 22) and a commercial well a few miles east demonstrate some of the halite to mudstone relationships (Figure 29). The thicknesses were estimated because the log characteristics do not provide a unique identification and proportion of minerals in mixed lithologies. Mixed halite and mudstone has been examined in core and compared to logs to provide a basic estimate of proportions, and the remaining logs were interpreted by Powers on the basis of this somewhat subjective standard. The estimates were plotted on a base map, and the present margins for halite in various members were based on these interpretations. The lower unnamed member was divided into two mudstone/halite units based on position above or below the first anhydrite below the Culebra (Figure 29). The lower unit has also been designated as H-1/M-1 in some of our reports, because halite is common across the site area in the unit. Mercer (1983) and Snyder (1985) do not divide the lower unnamed member; their margin is more nearly equivalent to our lower unit (M-1/H-1). The amount of halite was estimated for the M-1/H-1, M-2/H-2, Tamarisk mudstone (M-3/H-3), and Forty-niner mudstone (M-4/H-4) intervals (Figure 29).


Our method produces margins that are probably more extended in some areas than are margins based on a method that requires a bed of relatively pure halite to be present to be counted or a method that requires return of cuttings or core with observable halite. Our method probably interprets some areas as having halite in which none is present. Conversely, it also includes areas with halite that are overlooked by a very conservative method.

We note, as in other chapters, that many holes have been drilled around the WIPP site for oil and gas exploration in recent years, and geophysical logs from these drillholes have not been acquired and interpreted to extend our information. There may be relevant details to be gleaned, especially east of the WIPP site.

#### **4.3 Halite Margins in the Rustler Formation**

The halite margin for M-1/H-1 broadly parallels the other Rustler halite margins, but it is several miles west of the other margins (Figure 31). The halite margin in M-1/H-1 also generally parallels the zone of abrupt thinning of the upper Salado (MB 103 to Salado interval) (Figure 15). The M-1/H-1 halite margin is closer to the thinning margin of the Salado than to the halite margins of higher units in the Rustler.

The halite margins for units M-2/H-2, Tamarisk mudstone, and Forty-niner mudstone are generally closely spaced over the map study area (Figure 31). The M-2/H-2 margin is



Halite is present east of line and within enclosed areas.

- Forty-niner Halite Margin
- - - Tamarisk Halite Margin
- M-2/H-2 Halite Margin
- - - M-1/H-1 Halite Margin

Figure 31

Halite Margins in Rustler

# Information Only

generally the westernmost, and the Forty-niner halite margin is easternmost. At some locations, margins from higher units will "cross over" the margins of lower units. Though the margins are both closely spaced and generally parallel, there is a major difference between the Tamarisk and the other two units. The Tamarisk mudstone (and equivalent halitic units) has a much greater range of thickness, and, within the map area, may include 180 ft (55 m) of halite. The margin appears correspondingly much more abrupt in transition.

There is a final caution to overinterpreting the data on halite in the Rustler based on more detailed evidence. WIPP 19 was drilled just north of the center of the WIPP site (I20 on Figure 6). Neither geophysical logs interpreted here nor data summarized in Snyder (1985) indicate that halite is present in the Forty-niner. Nonetheless, a thin section prepared from core of the Forty-niner mudstone in WIPP 19 shows that halite is still present at that location (see Holt and Powers, 1988, plate 23). Gross methods used here and elsewhere are clearly not the best indications of halite in small quantities.

#### **4.4 Discussion**

The significant question about these margins is whether they are due to dissolution or limits to deposition. Snyder (1985) follows much of the earlier discussion of halite in the Rustler, concluding that thinning and absence of halite in the different members is largely a consequence of post-Rustler dissolution of halite. Snyder (1985) also concludes that the Rustler section was subsequently locally inflated by expansion of anhydrite to gypsum during hydration accompanying dissolution. The interpretation is based largely on thickness changes and the physical evidence of dissolution in the area of Nash Draw.

From shaft, core, and geophysical log data of the Rustler, Holt and Powers (1988) concluded that the halitic units of the Rustler were deposited in halite pan and adjacent environments. The Forty-niner Member mudstone (M-4/H-4) at the WIPP shows cross-cutting relationships as evidence of current transport. The same unit in drillhole DOE-2 includes beds described as "claystone and siltstone . . . alternating very thin beds, wavy bedding and scour and fill structures" (Mercer et al., 1987, p. 270). These are the most distant facies (in terms of depositional environments) from the halite pan that are represented in cores from the Rustler mudstones. The Tamarisk Member mudstone (M-3/H-3) exhibits smeared intraclast textures from syndepositional dissolution of halite in halitic mudflat deposits where exposure is greater and solution more intense than in depositional environments closer to the halite pan. This facies tract is extensive. In the site area, the Tamarisk mudstone is generally unfractured, and the overlying beds are largely undisturbed, consistent with syndepositional dissolution. In the

Nash Draw area, the mudstones in the Rustler are considerably disturbed and fractured, as are lower units including the Culebra. There, upper Salado has been dissolved, causing fracture and collapse of much of the Rustler and overprinting the earlier, syndepositional textures.

Holt and Powers (1988) interpreted limited and equivocal evidence from some cores of the Rustler as possible indicators of continuing or more recent dissolution of Rustler halite. Beauheim and Holt (1990) showed small map areas consistent with Holt and Powers (1988). Based on mapping of the Tamarisk and Culebra in the air intake shaft (Holt and Powers, 1991), we believe these core features are syndepositional and do not interpret the halite margins at the WIPP site to have been affected by significant post-Permian dissolution of Rustler halite. Areas of Rustler halite have been or are being attacked where Salado halite has been or is being attacked.

Structural patterns for units of the Rustler (Figure 22) (see also Holt and Powers, 1988, figures 4.16, 4.17, 4.19) are similar in some areas to patterns of Rustler member halite margins, as well as to isopachs of the entire Rustler (Holt and Powers, 1988, figure 4.15) and unnamed lower member and Tamarisk Member mudstone/halite (Holt and Powers, 1988, figures 4.7 and 4.11, respectively). In particular, margins of the upper three Rustler halite members (Figure 31) northeast of the WIPP site swing around an area that coincides with the structural deformation at ERDA 6. Is this coincidence, dissolution controlled by structure, or structure controlled by halite distribution? Several lines of evidence are relevant.

All units of the Rustler are structurally deformed in this area (northeast corner, T.22S., R.31E.). The base and top of the Rustler (Holt and Powers, 1988, figures 4.16 and 4.19, respectively) are similarly deformed, both in areal extent and vertical uplift. The Culebra (Figure 22) is relevant here and representative of the structure within the Rustler. The structural high trends from northwest to southeast approximately along the topographic high known as the Divide and Antelope Ridge. The structure plunges to the southeast and is generally indistinguishable or greatly subdued in the vicinity of the southern half of T.22S., R.34E.

The thickness of the Forty-niner Member is not apparently affected over the main part of the structure. It thickens modestly on the northeast flank of the anticline. The Forty-niner Member thickens towards the depocenter, which is located in the area of San Simon Swale and Sink.

The Tamarisk mudstone/halite unit is approximately 100 ft (30 m) thinner over the area of the ERDA 6 structure as compared to the thicker depocenter to the southeast. In addition, the isopach contour lines of this unit also follow the form of the plunging anticline.

The isopach map (Holt and Powers, 1988, figure 4.7) of the unnamed lower member shows thinning, of the order of 50 ft (15 m), in an area that cuts across the structure at ERDA 6 and also shows some similarity in form along the plunging southeast end of the structure.

The total Rustler isopach shows a change of 100 plus ft (30 plus m) from the top of the structure to the nose to the southeast (Holt and Powers, 1988, figure 4.15).

Dissolution after deformation is probably limited, at most, to the nose of the anticline, as core from AEC 8 (Section 11, T.22S., R.31E.) indicates predeformation syndepositional loss of halite from upper Rustler units, while the lower Rustler still includes halite. The original halite margin may have trended near AEC 8 and ERDA 6. The pattern of parallel structure contours could be developed either by deformation of a unit with lateral facies changes or through partial solution of halite across the structure. The evidence at the location of AEC 8 suggests that part of the structure very likely developed where halite was missing syndepositionally from the Rustler. The area of the nose of the structure, east of the WIPP site, may have undergone postdepositional dissolution. We have no core data from the area to differentiate between dissolution and syndepositional processes.

The Dewey Lake Formation (Figure 26) shows no thickness pattern apparently related to the structure at ERDA 6. Any change in Rustler thickness was fully compensated either during the deposition of the Rustler or the change in thickness occurred after the Dewey Lake was deposited. (Basic data for the Dewey Lake and Santa Rosa are presented in Appendix A-4.)

The Santa Rosa (Dockum Group) (Figure 27) shows thinning that partially mimics the structure of the underlying rocks at ERDA 6. Data are insufficient to decide whether this indicates erosion partially as a result of uplift or whether the thinning is part of the general erosional truncation of the unit from east to west. As with the Dewey Lake, there is no indication of thicker Santa Rosa that would imply sedimentary compensation for dissolution of the underlying Rustler prior to or during deposition of the Santa Rosa.

It seems most likely that the general pattern of the halite margins developed more or less coincidentally like the structural deformation pattern at ERDA 6. Core evidence of halite

cement in the upper Rustler north of the WIPP site is most helpful; such features are not always detectable by geophysical logs, but they offer further evidence that late-stage dissolution is limited in that area. More recent dissolution may have occurred to conform the halite margin to the structure pattern around the nose of the anticline; we have no direct evidence either way. It seems unlikely, given the evidence of extensive deformation much deeper in the evaporites (Anderson and Powers, 1978; Jones, 1981a), that the structural deformation was controlled in any way by the distribution of halite in the Rustler.

There may be hydrologic consequences to the Rustler from evaporite deformation, but they are not determinable with our data. We do not at this time interpret the halite patterns in the Rustler near ERDA 6 as being due to post-Rustler dissolution, nor do we expect hydrologic consequences at that location due to dissolution. The area of the structural nose, nearer the center of T.22S., R.32E., is a more likely location for changes in hydrologic parameters, but there are no hydrologic data from that area.

From T.23S., R.31E., to the southeast, the halite margins in all Rustler units generally trend from northwest to southeast. This trend parallels the Remuda Basin anticline (Figure 23) as well as the trend of isopachs of the upper Salado units in this area. By analogy to the similar tract at the WIPP site, we would argue that this area southeast of the WIPP site lacks halite mainly due to syndepositional processes while the Rustler was being deposited. For the most part, this area does not exhibit signs of thickness inflation related to dissolution of the underlying Salado, as in Nash Draw and southwest in the Big Sinks to Phantom Banks area.

The trends of Rustler halite margins (Figure 31) are diverted in an area from the northeast quarter of T.24S., R.31E., to near the center of T.23S., R.32E. (near Bootleg Ridge). The southwestern end of this trend is located near Engle's Well.

There is no known structure underlying this trend of Rustler halite margin that appears related. In this same location, the isopachs on the interval from the top of MB 103 to top of Salado (Figure 15) show similar but muted diversions from their trends in the area.

There are no cores from this location where Rustler halite margins are diverted from their broader trend, and the change in upper Salado thickness is small. We do not rule out post-Permian dissolution of halite in this area, but we discount it considerably based on our experience.

#### **4.5 Summary of Evidence About Rustler Halite Distribution**

There is good agreement between the differing methods used here to determine halite distribution in various members of the Rustler and the methods used earlier by Snyder (1985) for the same purpose. The methods used here are more likely to result in interpreting some halite where there may not be any; we believe Snyder's methods might miss halite where there is some. Both interpretations have limits, as indicated by the fact that the Forty-niner mudstone in WIPP 19 cores has halite not detected by either approach. Different investigators (e.g., Ferrall and Gibbons, 1979; Barrows et al., 1983) have attempted to use WIPP 19 as evidence of Rustler dissolution of various kinds..

We differ from Snyder's distribution in a few areas, especially in the unnamed lower member around the northwest corner of the site area. We distinguished two separate mudstone/halite units and separated the distributions of halite. Our lower unit (M-1/H-1) indicates halite much further west, near the western boundary of the WIPP site and part of Livingston Ridge. Our second unit (M-2/H-2) is distributed very much like higher units. Snyder (1985) mapped halite in the lower unnamed unit as a whole, and his distribution is similar to our distribution for M-1/H-1 in the western part of the site. In the northeast corner of T.22S., R.30E., we suggest that halite in M-1/H-1 may be present at the Livingston Ridge boundary. This extends over the zone of thinning of the upper Salado (Figure 15). We cannot further resolve this based on the available data, though this is an area where geophysical log interpretation may exaggerate the presence of halite.

How the Rustler halite distribution is or is not relatable to measured and inferred point values of hydrological parameters of the Culebra Dolomite Member will be examined in the final report of this series. Other factors, including those discussed in this document, will also be examined in that final report to try to provide a comprehensive picture of Rustler hydrogeology.

## **5.0 Loading and Unloading History of the Culebra**

---

### **5.1 Background Information**


The last regional process being considered as an influence on the hydrology of the Culebra is loading and unloading during the geological history since deposition of the unit. Loading and unloading may have had considerable and variable effect on the fracture permeability of the unit through time. The highly variable present depth to the Culebra is an indicator of the modern effects of unloading. In addition, the history of loading and unloading of the Culebra at the vicinity of the WIPP site has been estimated to provide a guide to when permeability features may have developed. Differential loading and unloading of the unit are expected to create different fracturing systems, affecting the local to regional hydrogeologic characteristics of the Culebra. The sedimentary loading, depth of total burial, and erosion events combine in a complex history that we try here to reconstruct from regional geological trends and local data.

A similar, though simpler, loading history was constructed by Borns (1985, figure 10) to assist his interpretation of the features of MB 139 within the Salado Formation. His loading history is based mainly on stratigraphic history within Powers et al. (1978). We have re-examined the basic stratigraphic data and indicate alternatives we are unable to dismiss.

### **5.2 Present Depth to Base of Culebra**

An additional form of an isopach map displays the depth, from the surface, to the base of the Culebra (Figure 32a-b). The map was created by plotting and contouring the log depth to the base of the Culebra from the log reference point, which is usually the Kelly bushing of the drilling rig. The map is not quite a true representation of depth, as the reference point may vary from ground surface to a point as much as approximately 20 ft (6 m) above ground surface. The trends will vary little if the data are corrected, but the reader should be aware of this difference.

In the site area, and to the south and southeast for approximately two townships, the depth contours are relatively uniformly spaced and trend from north to south to southeast to northwest. These reflect the general eastward dip on the Culebra in much of this area (see Holt and Powers, 1988, figure 4.17) combined with the general westward slope of the surface. The outline of the 600-ft contour of depth corresponds generally to the shape of the 3,500-ft elevation contour on the topographic surface (Figure 33). Relatively close spacing between



I Area of incomplete contouring



• Represents Borehole and Data as Reported in Holt and Powers (1988) or interpreted from additional geophysical log data

x Represents Borehole and Data as Reported in Richey (1989)

Figure 32a  
Depth to Base of Culebra

# Information Only





Contour Interval 100 feet

Scaled from Hobbs, NM Sheet 1954, rev. 1973 and Carlsbad, NM Sheet 1954 rev. 1962

Figure 33  
General Topography of the Study Area

Information Only

700- and 800-ft depth contours and wide spacing between 800- and 900-ft depth contours at the WIPP site result from the increasing thickness of the Tamarisk Member of the Rustler Formation from west to east.

In T.24S., R.30-31E., the depth to the base of Culebra (Figure 32a) has been altered by structural and topographic changes. A topographic ridge trending from the northwest to the southeast from Centinela Mound through Twin Wells ranch area (central part of T.24S., R.31E.) causes a westward bulge in the 700- and 800-ft depth contours and a separation from the 900-ft depth contour. Slightly further west, the depth contours at 700- and 800-ft wrap around back to the northwest. These depth contours correspond to the Remuda Basin anticline (Figures 22 and 23). Lesser depths at the southeastern corner of the map area (Figure 32a) are the result of combined lower topography and northward dip on the Culebra into the Rustler depocenter. Very deep Culebra in the Phantom Banks area (T.26S., R.30E.) is apparently related to the Balmorhea-Loving trough (Maley and Huffington, 1953), salt dissolution, and extensive deposits of the Gatuña Formation (Powers and Holt, 1995).

### **5.3 History of Loading and Unloading of the Culebra**

The loading and unloading history of the Culebra since deposition has been estimated as overburden based on inferences from various local and regional geological trends and data (Figure 33). The history is presented with several alternatives, depending on the inferences that are drawn, ranging from minimal to upper bound estimates. The estimates are made with a reference point and depth to the Culebra at the air intake shaft (AIS) (Holt and Powers, 1991).

The present depth to the Culebra from the top of the Dewey Lake at the AIS is 205 m (672 ft). The overlying Triassic rocks are 8-m (26-ft) thick at the AIS; together with the Dewey Lake, these sediments indicate a minimum of approximately 213 m (698 ft) of load on the Culebra. It is highly unlikely that the Culebra at the site has a history of rather constant loading of this 213-m (698-ft) thickness, with very little change since the Permian (Figure 33).

Given the maximum local thickness of the Dewey Lake, the maximum early load (end of Permian) was no more than approximately 240 m (787 ft). Approximately 35 m (115 ft) of Dewey Lake might then have been eroded during the early Triassic before additional sediments were deposited. The actual Triassic thickness at the AIS is approximately 8 m (26 ft). Northeast of the WIPP site (T.21S., R.33E.), Triassic rocks (Dockum Group) have a

maximum local thickness of approximately 373 m (1,233 ft). This thickness is a reasonable estimate of the maximum thickness also attained at the WIPP site prior to the Jurassic Period. At the end of the Triassic, the total thickness at the WIPP site may have then attained approximately 586 m (1,863 ft) in two similar loading stages of a few million years each, over a period of approximately 50 million years.

The Jurassic outcrops nearest to the WIPP site are in the Malone Mountains of west Texas. There is no evidence that Jurassic rocks were deposited at or in the vicinity of the WIPP site. As a consequence, the Jurassic is considered a time of erosion or nondeposition at the site, though erosion is most likely. The Jurassic is not considered a time of major eustatic sea level changes (e.g. Vail et al., 1977), and a broad erosional plain apparently developed in this area without major relief. An arbitrary erosion rate averaging approximately 10 m/million years is sufficient to erode the inferred thickness of 365 m of additional Triassic rocks from the WIPP site. The Jurassic is the first possible period of significant unloading of the area at and west of the WIPP site.

This much erosion during the Jurassic obviously cannot be broadly inferred for the area or there would not be thick Triassic rocks still preserved. Triassic rocks of this thickness are preserved nearby, indicating either pre-Jurassic tilting or that erosion did not occur until later (but still after tilting to preserve the Triassic rocks near the WIPP site). It is also possible that the immediate site area had little Triassic deposition or erosion, but very limited Triassic deposition (i.e., 8 m [26 ft]) at the WIPP site seems unlikely.

Lang (1947) reported fossils from Lower Cretaceous rocks in the Black River Valley southwest of the WIPP site. Bachman (e.g., 1980) also reported similar patches of probable Cretaceous rocks near Carlsbad and south of Whites City. From these reports, it is likely that some Cretaceous rocks were deposited at or in the vicinity of the WIPP site. Approximately 70 mi (approximately 110 km) south southwest of the WIPP site, significant Cretaceous outcrops of both early and late Cretaceous age have a total maximum thickness of approximately 300 m (about 1,000 ft). Southeast of the WIPP, the nearest Cretaceous outcrops are thinner and represent only the lower Cretaceous. North of the WIPP site, Cretaceous outcrops in the Sierra Blanca (New Mexico) area are thick. Based on these reported outcrops, a maximum thickness of 300 m (1,000 ft) of Cretaceous rocks could be estimated for the WIPP site. Compared to the estimate of Triassic rock thickness, it is less likely that Cretaceous rocks were this thick at the site.

The uppermost lines of Figure 34 summarize the assumptions of maximum thickness of these units.

A more likely alternative is that virtually no Cretaceous rocks were deposited, followed by erosion of remaining Triassic rocks during the late Cretaceous to the late Cenozoic. Such erosion may also have taken place over an even longer period, beginning with the Jurassic Period. Ewing (1993) favors Early Cretaceous uplift and erosion for the Trans-Pecos Texas area, but he does not analyze later uplift and erosional patterns.

In the general vicinity of the WIPP site, there are outcrops of Cenozoic rock from the late Miocene (Gatuña and Ogallala Formations). Early Cenozoic (probable Paleocene) rocks in the Capitan Mountains region (west of Roswell, New Mexico) are the closest outcrops of the earliest Cenozoic. Cenozoic volcanics and interbedded sediments crop out south of the site in areas such as the Davis Mountains, and bolson fill of later Cenozoic age is common. There is little reason to infer any significant early Cenozoic sediment accumulation at the WIPP site, and we do not. Erosion is the main process inferred to have occurred during this period. Toward the end of the Cenozoic, more relief may have developed. The Cenozoic-age Gatuña is treated in more detail in Powers and Holt (1993, 1995). Maximum known Gatuña in the area around the WIPP is approximately 100 m (328 ft); at the WIPP site the Gatuña is very thin to absent.

An average erosion rate of approximately 11 m/million years is sufficient during the Cenozoic to erode the maximum inferred Triassic and Cretaceous thickness prior to Gatuña and Ogallala deposition. We do not believe that significant thicknesses of Cretaceous rocks were deposited, however, and average erosion rates could have been small.

Ogallala deposits are known from The Divide east of the WIPP site, as well as from the High Plains further east and north. On the High Plains northeast of the WIPP, the upper Ogallala surface slopes to the southeast at a rate of approximately 4 m/km (approximately 20 ft/mi). A straight projection of the 4,100-ft contour line from this High Plains surface intersects the site area, which is at an elevation slightly above 3,400 ft (1,036 ft). This difference of 700 ft (213 m) in elevation represents one estimate, probably near an upper bound, of possible unloading subsequent to deposition of the Ogallala Formation. Similar straight line projections of the 3,900- and 3,800-ft contour lines from the High Plains to The Divide would suggest the divide area has been lowered by 100 to 200 ft (30 to 61 m). Alternative




Figure 34  
Loading and Unloading History  
Estimated for Base of Culebra

explanations could include halite dissolution, since the Ogallala was deposited or that the High Plains surface did not extend so uniformly to The Divide.

The Ogallala at The Divide may be at the same relative elevation as when it was deposited. Salado units do not indicate salt dissolution totalling 100 to 200 ft (30 to 61 m) at this location. The Rustler units are equivocal, with the total Rustler isopach (Holt and Powers, 1988) indicating the area of The Divide as being approximately 100 ft (30 m) thinner than the maximum at the depocenter. Other isopachs indicate part of this could be attributed to each of the unnamed lower member, the Tamarisk Member, and the Forty-niner Member of the Rustler Formation. The Dewey Lake indicates a possible slight thickening of about the same magnitude. The Triassic rocks appear not to show any general thickness changes at this locality, although one borehole was interpreted to have a thinner Triassic section. Taken as a whole, these features suggest the section under The Divide does not indicate any post-Ogallala dissolution and lowering of the surface rocks. The Divide can reasonably be inferred to not have changed elevation relative to other Ogallala deposits since the end of the Ogallala. On this basis, the High Plains surface could be inferred to swing more to the west through The Divide and the site area at an elevation of approximately 3,800 ft (1,158 m). The difference between this inferred Ogallala slope and the present elevation at the WIPP site is approximately 400 ft (122 m) or less. The loading and unloading of the Ogallala could have been approximately 100 m (30 m) and would have occurred as a short-lived pulse over a few million years at most.

While the above inferences about greater unit thicknesses and probable occurrence are permissible, a realistic assessment suggests a more modest loading and unloading history.

It is likely the Dewey Lake accumulated to near local maximum thickness of approximately 240 m (787 ft) before being slightly eroded prior to the Triassic rocks being deposited. It also is most probable that the Triassic rocks accumulated at the site to near local maximum thickness. In two similar cycles of rapid loading, the Culebra was buried to a depth of approximately 650 m (2,132 ft) by the end of the Triassic.

It also seems unlikely that a significant thickness of Cretaceous rock accumulated at the WIPP site. Erosion probably began during the Jurassic, slowed or stopped during the early Cretaceous as the area was nearer or at base level, and then accelerated during the Cenozoic, especially in response to uplift as Basin and Range tectonics encroached on the area and the basin was tilted more. Erosional bevelling of Dewey Lake and Santa Rosa (Chapter 3.0)

suggest considerable erosion since tilting in the mid-Cenozoic. Erosion rates for this shorter period could have been relatively high, resulting in the greatest stress relief on the Culebra and surrounding units. Some filling occurred during the late Cenozoic as the uplifted areas to the west formed an apron of Ogallala sediment across much of the area, but it is not clear how much Gatuña or Ogallala was deposited in the site area. From our general reconstruction of Gatuña history in the area (Powers and Holt, 1995), we infer that Gatuña or Ogallala deposits likely were not much thicker at the WIPP site than they are now. The loading and unloading "spike" (Figure 34) representing Ogallala thickness probably did not occur. Cutting and headward erosion by the Pecos River has created local relief and unloading by erosion.

At the WIPP site, this history is little complicated by dissolution, though locally (e.g., Nash Draw) the effects of erosion and dissolution are more significant. The underlying evaporites have responded to foundering of anhydrite in less dense halite beds. These have caused local uplift (as at ERDA 6) but little change in the overburden at the WIPP. Areas east of the WIPP site are likely to have a similar history to the site. West of the site, the final unloading is more complicated by dissolution and additional erosion leading to exposure of the Culebra along stretches of the Pecos River Valley.

#### **5.4 Other Inferences About Loading and Unloading History**

The burial depth around the WIPP site can also be estimated on the basis of hydrocarbon generation and temperature gradients. Hills (1984) takes the temperature of 149°F (65°C) as the temperature to form oil and 257°F (125°C) as the "oil floor" or temperature of gas formation. The Bell Canyon Formation is an exploration target, yielding oil in some fields. A minimum temperature of 149°F may be used to estimate burial. At AEC 8, the upper Bell Canyon at a depth of 4,343 ft (1,324 m) has a temperature of approximately 90°F (32°C) (Mansure and Reiter, 1977). Hills estimates the thermal gradient below 6,500 ft as 1.54°F/100 ft. The 59°F difference between present temperature and the temperature to generate oil could be accounted for by increasing the overburden by approximately 3,800 ft (59°F/[1.54°F/100 ft]), or nearly 1,200 m. This estimate is approximately 1,000 to 1,150 ft (300 to 350 m) more than the maximum thickness estimated here from regional geological relationships. The estimate of 1,200 m would be 800 m more overburden than we consider more likely based on local thickness of Triassic rocks. Recent drilling prospects around the WIPP site have projected oil at greater depths than the Bell Canyon, suggesting this estimate may exaggerate burial depth because the "oil floor" may be deeper.

Barker and Pawlewicz (1993) measured vitrinite reflectance from drill cuttings in the Delaware Basin. They interpret higher thermal maturation gradients and higher (stratigraphically) positions of key vitrinite reflectance values in the western Delaware Basin as evidence of higher paleogeothermal gradients caused by igneous intrusions and Basin and Range development. We are not aware of comparable data from the WIPP area, which is approximately 8 mi (12.8 km) southeast of a mid-Cenozoic dike at its closest approach.

### **5.5 Summary of Loading and Unloading History**

The Culebra loading and unloading history could be fairly complex, but the more likely history is relatively simple. Two main pulses of loading are apparent, the first ending Permian deposition and the second during the Triassic. Some unloading through erosion probably occurred during the Jurassic through the early Cenozoic. The major unloading through erosion likely is associated with regional tilting, which is generally placed at approximately mid-Cenozoic. Arbitrary erosion rates in the range of approximately 10 m/million years (about 33 ft/million years) may have been exceeded if most of the overburden survived until mid-Cenozoic or later and was then eroded. Within the WIPP site there are some variations in unloading reflecting in differing depths. Nash Draw, with combined erosion and dissolution, is much more complicated, and the loading/unloading history may be insignificant compared to the disruption due to both dissolution/subsidence and erosion.

Hydrocarbon maturation data are roughly consistent with geological inferences about overburden, but both methods have considerable room for uncertainty. Hydrocarbon data suggest greater overburden. Geological data are better able to distinguish various episodes and place them in geological history.

## 6.0 Conclusions

---

Following deposition of the Rustler, the formation in the area of the WIPP site has been affected by tectonic events, dissolution, and erosion leading to unloading. Each of these processes has contributed to the evolution of the current hydrological properties of the Culebra Dolomite Member. They have been individually analyzed to identify their general magnitude and history preliminary to relating processes more directly to the hydrology of the Culebra in another report in preparation.

The upper Salado is relatively uniform in thickness from the WIPP site to the southeast. South and west of the WIPP, beds of the upper Salado abruptly thin across a horizontal distance of 2 or 3 mi (approximately 3 to 5 km). We attribute the thinning mainly to subsurface dissolution of halite in the upper Salado. Rustler units have subsided in this area relative to areas to the east. Livingston Ridge, the eastern margin of Nash Draw, is closely associated with this zone of thinning, as is the southeastern extension of Nash Draw. Erosion thus reflects the dissolution of the upper Salado around Nash Draw. Several Nash Draw drillholes fall on or near this zone of thinning, and it is an important contributor to developing hydrologic characteristics of the Rustler as well.

The Culebra has been structurally deformed by tectonic events as well as dissolution of underlying rocks. The regional attitude of beds underlying evaporites is an approximate north-south strike with east dip approximating 1°. Northeast of the WIPP, evaporites in the Castile Formation deformed, arching the Culebra in the same area (the ERDA 6 anticline). South of the WIPP, the regional dip combined with dissolution of the Salado to the south, forming the Remuda Basin anticline. More subtle indicators of structural changes of the Culebra at the WIPP site are attributed to tectonics, because there has not been sufficient salt dissolution to account for the apparent changes.

There is general agreement about the distribution of halite in the Rustler in the WIPP site area. We extended map margins of halite in various members through a larger area and separated the unit immediately under the Culebra (M-2/H-2) from the remainder of the unnamed lower member (M-1/H-1). The potential for sub-Culebra halite dissolution at the WIPP site is limited, because M-1/H-1 has salt throughout much of the site and because the thickness change in M-2/H-2 is 20 to 25 ft (approximately 6 to 7 m). Nonetheless, we believe, based on extensive work mapping in the shafts, describing cores, and interpreting

geophysical logs, that little, if any, halite has been dissolved from the Rustler at the WIPP site since deposition. We attribute most of the lateral differences to depositional facies changes in a halite pan to mudflat environment. Relationships between thickness differences and other parameters will be compared in a further work in preparation.

The Culebra has been subjected to loading by sedimentation and unloading due to erosion since being deposited. It is most likely that the Triassic rocks were deposited at the site about as thick as in adjacent areas and that little more sediment was added afterwards. Exposure and erosion predominated from the end of the Triassic until late Cenozoic; there are thick Cretaceous deposits in the region, but remnants are scarce in the general area around WIPP. Wedge-like margins to the Dewey Lake and Santa Rosa suggest that erosion postdated regional tilting about mid-Cenozoic. The time from tilting to the beginning of Gatuña and Ogallala is likely to have been the most intense period of unloading at the WIPP site.

## 7.0 References

---

Adams, J. E., 1944, "Upper Permian Ochoan Series of Delaware Basin, West Texas and Southeast New Mexico," *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, Vol. 28, pp. 1596-1625.

Adams, S. S., Jr., 1970, "Ore controls, Carlsbad Potash District, Southeast New Mexico," in J. L. Rau and L. F. Dellwig, eds., *Third Symposium on Salt*, Vol. 1, pp. 246-257, Northern Ohio Geological Society, Cleveland, Ohio.

American Geological Institute, 1987, *Glossary of Geology*, R. L. Bates and J. A. Jackson, eds., American Geological Institute, Alexandria, Virginia, 788 pp.

Anderson, R. Y., 1978, "Deep Dissolution of Salt, Northern Delaware Basin New Mexico," report to Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Anderson, R. Y., and D. W. Powers, 1978, "Salt Anticlines in the Castile-Salado Evaporite Sequence, Northern Delaware Basin, New Mexico" in *Geology and Mineral Deposits of Ochoan Rocks in Delaware Basin and Adjacent Areas*, G. S. Austin, ed., New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Socorro, New Mexico, Circular 159, pp. 78-83.

Bachman, G. O., 1974, "Geologic Processes and Cenozoic History Related to Salt Dissolution in Southeastern New Mexico," *Open-File Report 74-194*, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado.

Bachman, G. O., 1980, "Regional Geology and Cenozoic History of [the] Pecos Region, Southeastern New Mexico," *Open-File Report 80-1099*, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado.

Bachman, G. O., 1984, "Regional Geology of Ochoan Evaporites, Northern Part of Delaware Basin," *Circular 184*, New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Socorro, New Mexico.

Barker, C. E., and M. J. Pawlewicz, 1993, "Post-Tectonic Reheating of Portions of the Permian Basin as Expressed by Iso-Reflectance Lines on Regional Structural Sections," *New Mexico Geological Society Guidebook*, 44th Field Conference, Carlsbad Region, New Mexico and West Texas, D.W. Love et al., eds., pp. 29-32.

Barrows, L. J., S-E. Shaffer, W. B. Miller, and J. D. Fett, 1983, "Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Site Gravity Survey and Interpretation," *SAND82-2922*, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Beauheim, R. L., 1987, "Interpretations of Single-Well Hydraulic Tests Conducted at and Near the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Site, 1983-1987," *SAND87-0039*, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Beauheim, R. L., 1988. "The Role of Pumping Tests in Characterizing Fracture Properties and Distribution in the Culebra Dolomite at the WIPP Site," *Transactions, American Geophysical Union*, Vol. 69, No. 44, p. 1177.

Beauheim, R. L., and R. M. Holt, 1990, "Hydrogeology of the WIPP Site: Geological and Hydrological Studies of Evaporites in the Northern Delaware Basin for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP), New Mexico," *Geological and Hydrological Studies of Evaporites in the Northern Delaware Basin for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP), New Mexico*, D. W. Powers et al., eds., Geological Society of America, Field Trip #14, pp. 131-179.

Beauheim, R. L., T. F. Dale, and J. F. Pickens, 1991, "Interpretations of Single-Well Hydraulic Tests of the Rustler Formation Conducted in the Vicinity of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Site, 1988-1989," *SAND89-0869*, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Borns, D. J., 1985, "Marker Bed 139: A Study of Drillcore From a Systematic Array," *SAND85-0023*, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Borns, D. J., 1987, "The Geologic Structures Observed in Drillhole DOE-2 and Their Possible Origins: Waste Isolation Pilot Plant," *SAND86-1495*, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Borns, D. J., and S-E. Shaffer, 1985, "Regional Well-Log Correlation in the New Mexico Portion of the Delaware Basin," *SAND83-1798*, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Borns, D. J., L. J. Barrows, D. W. Powers, and R. P. Snyder, 1983, "Deformation of Evaporites Near the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Site," *SAND82-1069*, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Brinster, K. F., 1991, "Preliminary Geohydrologic Conceptual Model of the Los Medaños Region Near the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant for the Purpose of Performance Assessment," *SAND89-7147*, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico, with addendum.

Cartwright, L. D., Jr., 1930, "Transverse Section of Permian Basin, West Texas and Southeastern New Mexico," *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, Vol. 14, pp. 969-981.

Davies, P. B., 1989, "Variable-Density Ground-Water Flow and Paleohydrology in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Region, Southeastern New Mexico," *Open-File Report 88-490*, U.S. Geological Survey, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Doveton, J. H., 1986, *Log Analysis of Subsurface Geology, Concepts and Computer Methods*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 273 pp.

Ewing, T. E., 1993, "Erosional Margins and Patterns of Subsidence in the Late Paleozoic West Texas Basin and Adjoining Basins of West Texas and New Mexico," *New Mexico Geological Society Guidebook*, 44th Field Conference, Carlsbad Region, New Mexico and West Texas, D. W. Love et al., eds., pp. 155-166.

Ferrall, C. C., and J. F. Gibbons, 1979, "Core Study of Rustler Formation Over the WIPP Site," *SAND79-7110*, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Gard, L. M., Jr., 1968, "Geologic Studies, Project Gnome, Eddy County, New Mexico," *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 589*, 33 pp.

Giesey, S. C., and F. F. Fulk, 1941, "North Cowden Field, Ector County, Texas," *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, Vol. 24, pp. 603.

Gonzalez, D. D., 1983, "Groundwater Flow in the Rustler Formation, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP), Southeast New Mexico (SENM): Interim Report," *SAND82-1012*, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Hills, J. M., 1984, "Sedimentation, Tectonism, and Hydrocarbon Generation in Delaware Basin, West Texas and Southeastern New Mexico," *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, Vol. 68, pp. 250-267.

Hiss, W. L., 1976, "Structure of the Permian Ochoan Rustler Formation, Southeast New Mexico and West Texas," *Resource Map 7*, New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Socorro, New Mexico, scale: 1 inch = 8 miles.

Holt, R. M., and D. W. Powers, 1984, "Geotechnical Activities in the Waste Handling Shaft Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Project Southeastern New Mexico," *WTSD-TME-038*, U.S. Department of Energy, Carlsbad, New Mexico.

Holt, R. M., and D. W. Powers, 1986a, "Rustler Formation: Evaporite End Stages of a Continental Basin," *Abstracts, 12th International Sedimentological Congress*, Canberra, Australia, pp. 141-142.

Holt, R. [M.], and D. [W.] Powers, 1986b, "Geotechnical Activities in the Exhaust Shaft," *DOE-WIPP-86-008*, U.S. Department of Energy, Carlsbad, New Mexico.

Holt, R. M., and D. W. Powers, 1988, "Facies Variability and Post-Depositional Alteration within the Rustler Formation in the Vicinity of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, Southeastern New Mexico," *DOE/WIPP 88-004*, U.S. Department of Energy, Carlsbad, New Mexico.

Holt, R. M., and D. W. Powers, 1990, "Halite Sequences Within the Late Permian Salado Formation in the Vicinity of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant," *Geological and Hydrological Studies of Evaporites in the Northern Delaware Basin for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP)*, New Mexico, D. W. Powers et al., eds., Field Trip #14 Guidebook, Geological Society of America, Dallas Geological Society, pp. 45-78.

Holt, R. M., and D. W. Powers, 1991, "Geologic Mapping of the Air Intake Shaft at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant," *DOE/WIPP 90-051*, U.S. Department of Energy, Carlsbad, New Mexico.

Jones, C. L., 1972, "Permian Basin Potash Deposits, Southwestern United States," in *Geology of Saline Deposits*, UNESCO, Earth Science Series, No. 7, pp. 191-201.

Jones, C. L., 1978, "Test Drilling for Potash Resources: Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Site, Eddy County, New Mexico, Vols. 1 and 2," *Open-File Report 78-592*, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado.

Jones, C. L., 1981a, "Geologic Data for Borehole ERDA-6, Eddy County, New Mexico," *Open-File Report 81-468*, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado.

Jones, C. L., 1981b, "Geologic Data for Borehole ERDA-9, Eddy County, New Mexico," *Open-File Report 81-469*, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado.

Jones, C. L., C. G. Bowles, and K. G. Bell, 1960, "Experimental Drill Hole Logging in Potash Deposits of the Carlsbad District, New Mexico," *Open-File Report 60-84*, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado.

Jones, C. L., M. E. Cooley, and G. O. Bachman, 1973, "Salt Deposits of Los Medaños Area, Eddy and Lea Counties, New Mexico," *Open-File Report 4339-7*, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado.

Kronlein, G. A., 1939, "Salt, Potash and Anhydrite in Castile Formation of Southeast New Mexico," *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, Vol. 23, pp. 1682-1693.

Lambert, S. J., 1983, "Dissolution of Evaporites in and Around the Delaware Basin, Southeastern New Mexico and West Texas," *SAND82-0461*, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Lang, W. B., 1935, "Upper Permian formation of Delaware Basin of Texas and New Mexico," *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, Vol. 19, pp. 262-276.

Lang, W. B., 1937, "The Permian Formation of the Pecos Valley of New Mexico and Texas," *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, Vol. 21, pp. 833-898.

Lang, W. B., 1939, "Salado Formation of the Permian Basin," *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, Vol. 23, pp. 1569-1572.

Lang, W. B., 1942, "Basal Beds of Salado Formation in Fletcher Potash Core Test Near Carlsbad, New Mexico," *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, Vol. 26, pp. 63-79.

Lang, W. B., 1947, "Occurrence of Comanche Rocks in Black River Valley, New Mexico," *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, Vol. 31, pp. 1472-1478.

Lowenstein, T. K., 1982, "Primary Features in a Potash Evaporite Deposit, the Permian Salado Formation of West Texas and New Mexico," *Depositional and Diagenetic Spectra of Evaporites—A Core Workshop*, C. R. Handford, R. G. Loucks, and G. R. Davies, eds., Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists Core Workshop No. 3, Calgary, Canada, pp. 276-304.

Lowenstein, T. K., 1988, "Origin of Depositional Cycles in a Permian "Saline Giant": The Salado (McNutt Zone) Evaporites of New Mexico and Texas," *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, Vol. 100, pp. 592-608.

Lucas, S. G., and O. J. Anderson, 1993a, "Stratigraphy of the Permian-Triassic Boundary in Southeastern New Mexico and West Texas," *New Mexico Geological Society Guidebook*, 44th Field Conference, Carlsbad Region, New Mexico and West Texas, D.W. Love et al., eds., pp. 219-230.

Lucas, S. G., and O. J. Anderson, 1993b, "Triassic Stratigraphy in Southeastern New Mexico and Southwestern Texas," *New Mexico Geological Society Guidebook*, 44th Field Conference, Carlsbad Region, New Mexico and West Texas, D.W. Love et al., eds., pp. 231-235.

Madsen, B. M., and O. B. Raup, 1988, "Characteristics of the Boundary Between the Castile and Salado Formations Near the Western Edge of the Delaware Basin, Southeastern New Mexico," *New Mexico Geology*, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp. 1-6, 9.

Maley, V. C., and R. M. Huffington, 1953, "Cenozoic Fill and Evaporite Solution in the Delaware Basin, Texas and New Mexico," *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, Vol. 64, pp. 539-546.

Mansure, A., and M. Reiter, 1977, "An Accurate Equilibrium Temperature Log in AEC No. 8, A Drill Test in the Vicinity of the Proposed Carlsbad Disposal Site," *Open-File Report No. 80*, New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Socorro, New Mexico.

Mercer, J. W., 1983, "Geohydrology of the Proposed Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Site, Los Medaños Area, Southeastern New Mexico," *Water Resources Investigations Report 83-4016*, U.S. Geological Survey, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Mercer, J. W., R. L. Beauheim, R. P. Snyder, and G. M. Fairer, 1987, "Basic Data Report for Drilling and Hydrologic Testing of Drillhole DOE-2 at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Site," *SAND86-0611*, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Powers, D. W., in review, "Tracing Early Studies of Shallow Dissolution, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, Southeastern New Mexico," *SAND94-3126*, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Powers, D. W., and B. W. Hassinger, 1985, "Synsedimentary Dissolution Pits in Halite of the Permian Salado Formation, Southeastern New Mexico," *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology*, Vol. 55, No. 5, pp. 769-773.

Powers, D. W., and R. M. Holt, 1995, "Gatuña Formation (Miocene to Pleistocene) Geology and Paleohydrology," report by IT Corporation, Albuquerque, New Mexico, to Westinghouse Electric Corporation, Carlsbad, New Mexico.

Powers, D. W., and R. M. Holt, 1993, "The Upper Cenozoic Gatuña Formation of Southeastern New Mexico," *New Mexico Geological Society Guidebook*, 44th Field Conference, Carlsbad Region, New Mexico and West Texas, D.W. Love et al., eds., pp. 271-282.

Powers, D. W., and R. M. Holt, 1990, "Sedimentology of the Rustler Formation Near the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Site," *Geological and Hydrological Studies of Evaporites in the Northern Delaware Basin for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP), New Mexico*, D. W. Powers et al., eds., Field Trip #14 Guidebook, Geological Society of America, Dallas Geological Society, pp. 79-106.

Powers, D. W., and D. V. LeMone, 1990, "A Summary of Ochoan Stratigraphy of the Western and Northern Delaware Basin," in *Geological and Hydrological Studies of Evaporites in the Northern Delaware Basin for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP), New Mexico*, D. W. Powers et al., eds., Field Trip #14 Guidebook, Geological Society of America, Dallas Geological Society, pp. 27-32.

Powers, D. W., M. Martin, and R. M. Holt, 1988, "Siliciclastic-Rich Units of the Permian Salado Formation, Southeastern New Mexico," in Abstracts with Programs, Geological Society of America, Vol. 20, No. 7, p. A174.

Powers, D. W., S. J. Lambert, S-E. Shaffer, L. R. Hill, and W. D. Weart, eds., 1978, "Geological Characterization Report, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Site, Southeastern New Mexico," SAND78-1596, Vols. I and II, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Reeves, M., G. A. Freeze, V. A. Kelley, J. F. Pickens, D. T. Upton, and P. B. Davies, 1991, "Regional Double-Porosity Solute Transport in the Culebra Dolomite Under Brine-Reservoir-Breach Release Conditions: An Analysis of Parameter Sensitivity and Importance," SAND89-7069, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Richardson, G. B., 1904, "Report of a Reconnaissance in Trans-Pecos Texas North of the Texas and Pacific Railway," *University of Texas Bulletin*, Vol. 23.

Richey, S. F., 1989, "Geologic and Hydrologic Data for the Rustler Formation Near the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, Southeastern New Mexico," *Open-File Report 89-32*, U.S. Geological Survey, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Sandia National Laboratories and U.S. Geological Survey, 1983, "Basic Data Report for Drillhole ERDA 6 (Waste Isolation Pilot Plant—WIPP)," SAND79-0267, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Snyder, R. P., 1985, "Dissolution of Halite and Gypsum, and Hydration of Anhydrite to Gypsum, Rustler Formation, in the Vicinity of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, Southeastern New Mexico," *Open-File Report 85-229*, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado.

Stein, C. L., 1985, "Mineralogy in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Facility Stratigraphic Horizon," SAND85-0321, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

[TSC-D'Appolonia], 1983, "Geologic Mapping of Access Drills 'Double Box' Area, *Geotechnical Field Data Report No. 5*, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, Carlsbad, New Mexico.

U.S. Department of Energy, 1980, "Final Environmental Impact Statement Waste Isolation Pilot Plant: Vol. I & II," DOE/EIS-0026, U.S. Department of Energy, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Vail, P. R., R. M. Mitchum, Jr., and S. Thompson III, 1977, "Seismic Stratigraphy and Global Changes of Sea Level, Part 4: Global Cycles of Relative Changes of Sea Level," *Seismic Stratigraphy—Applications to Hydrocarbon Exploration, Memoir 26*, American Association of Petroleum Geologists, pp. 83–97.

Vine, J. D., 1963, "Surface Geology of the Nash Draw Quadrangle, Eddy County, New Mexico," *U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1141-B*.

**APPENDIX A**  
**DATA FOR DRILLHOLES IN**  
**HOLT AND POWERS (1988) PLUS**  
**ADDITIONAL DRILLHOLES INTERPRETED BY POWERS**

**Information Only**

# APPENDIX A

## DATA FOR DRILLHOLES IN HOLT AND POWERS (1988) PLUS ADDITIONAL DRILLHOLES INTERPRETED BY POWERS

This appendix includes the drillhole data from an appendix in Holt and Powers (1988) as well as some additional boreholes more recently interpreted by Powers for eventual use in interpreting Rustler geology.

A location table (Appendix A-1) lists basic identification and location data for the boreholes. A uniform (in format) and a unique numerical identifier (ID #) has been assigned to each borehole for ease in manipulation. Another identifier (Hole ID) was used in Holt and Powers (1988), based on the system used by Borns and Shaffer (1985). That system did not produce unique identifiers and is not as easily manipulated during database management. It is included here (column 2) in order to refer back to these earlier references. Standard township, range, section, and distance (in feet) from section boundaries are included, as they are the common means of locating these drillholes. A drillhole name has been included based generally on an entry on one or more geophysical logs. *These drillhole names may differ slightly from source to source.* Some common words have been abbreviated to shorten the borehole name. A last column includes any revisions or notes that may be helpful to the reader.

The remainder of the appendix presents tables of depth data for relevant stratigraphic units: Salado—Appendix A-2, Rustler—Appendix A-3, Dewey Lake and Santa Rosa—Appendix A-4. Drillhole sources are referenced by ID # to Appendix A-1. The reference elevation is the point from which depth was measured, and it was frequently the Kelly bushing (KB) of the drill rig. A correction (KB) to surface elevation is given where it is known, but some geophysical logs did not include this number. For most uses in this report, the KB correction is unnecessary.

The basic data from Holt and Powers (1988) were prepared under quality assurance procedures and check provided by IT Corporation. The additional drillholes added to this data set were prepared under similar procedures. Some typographical errors have been corrected, as noted, from the Holt and Powers data set. One borehole was reinterpreted, as noted in other appendices.

# Information Only

**APPENDIX A-1**  
**LOCATIONS FOR RUSTLER FORMATION DATA POINTS**

**Information Only**

**Rustler Formation Location Data**

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data *					Drillhole Name **	Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl	fe,wl		
1001	W04	18	29	4	1980n	1980w	Roach Drilling, Western Development Miller No. 1	
1002	T19	18	29	19	660s	1980e	Martin Yates III and S.P. Yates, Travis Fed. 2	
1003	L18	18	30	18	1650n	924w	Newmont Oil Co., Loco Hills 21-B-6	
1004	C25	18	30	25	990n	330e	Yates Petroleum Corp., Creek "AL" #1	
1005	G26	18	30	26	990s	330e	Hanson Oil Corp., Ginsberg Fed. No. 11	
1006	M27	18	30	27	990n	1651w	Texaco, Inc., L.R. Manning "B" NCT-1 Well #20	
1007	R28	18	30	28	330s	1491e	Texaco, Inc., L.R. Manning Fed. "B" (NCT_1) #4	
1008	MO2	18	31	2	330s	660w	W.S. Montgomery, Magnolia St. #1	
1009	S11	18	31	11	660s	660w	Hudson & Hudson Inc., Shugart B-1	
1010	M16	18	31	16	660s	1980e	M.R. Voltz, Magnolia St. #2	
1011	F22	18	31	22	660s	660w	Gulf Oil Corp., Fed. Littlefield #1	
1012	F28	18	31	28	1980n	660w	Gulf Oil Corp., Fed. Keohane et al "B" No. 1	
1013	K28	18	31	28	1980n	1980w	Gulf Oil Corp., Fed.-Keohane et al "B" #3	
1014	C31	18	31	31	1980n	1980e	Campana Petroleum Co., Pure Fed. #1	
1015	H31	18	31	31	330n	844w	Ray M. Hall, Pure-Fed. #1	
1016	M32	18	31	32	1980n	1980w	Chambers and Kennedy, Monterey St. #4	
1017	S32	18	31	32	1650n	2310e	Sunray Mid-Continent Oil Co., St. "Y" #1	
1018	P32	18	31	32	330n	330w	L.T. Pate, Monterrey St. #5	
1019	W33	18	31	33	330n	330w	V.S. Welch [indet] No. 2, Shugart No. 5-B	
1020	J04	18	32	4	1650n	990e	B.M. Jackson, Fed. No. 2	
1021	G16	18	32	16	1980n	1980w	Gulf Oil Corp., Lea St. "HS" #3	
1022	J20	18	32	20	2310s	990w	John M. Beard, Young Fed. #5	
1023	C28	18	32	28	1980n	660w	Texaco, Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 53	
1024	C10	18	33	10	1980n	660e	Carper Drilling Co., Corbin R #1	
1025	B12	18	33	12	660n	1980e	P.W. Miller Drilg. & Prod. Co., Brit. Am. St. #2	
1026	D13	18	33	13	1650s	2310w	J.I. O'Neill, Jr., Dorothy Swigart #1	
1027	S28	18	33	28	1980s	660e	Sunray Mid-Continent Oil Co., Fed. "E" #1	Rev loc ctr, NE1/4, SE1/4
1028	H30	18	33	30	1980s	1980w	Penzoil United Inc., Hudson "29" Fed. #3	
1029	N01	18	34	1	560n	760w	Texaco, Inc., St. of New Mexico "M" #5	
1030	L06	18	34	6	989n	330w	Phillips Petroleum Co., Lea No. 17	
1031	B07	18	34	7	660s	660e	Richardson & Bass, St. of New Mexico #1	
1032	S22	18	34	22	1980s	1980w	Continental Oil Co., St. V-22 #2	
1033	T22	18	34	22	330s	1980w	Continental Oil Co., St. V-22 #1	
1034	M33	18	34	33	330s	1980w	Tom Brown Drilling Co., Marathon St. #1	
1035	F03	18	35	3	2310s	330e	Phillips Petroleum Co., Santa Fe No. 114	

A-3

**Information Only**

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data*					Drillhole Name	Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl	fe,wl		
1036	V04	18	35	4	1980n	660w	Standard Oil Co. of Texas, Vac Edge Unit #2	
1037	F05	18	35	5	1650n	990e	Phillips Petroleum Co., Santa Fe No. 111	
1038	S05	18	35	5	1650n	2285e	Phillips Petroleum Co., Santa Fe No. 93	
1039	V05	18	35	5	990s	990e	Standard Oil Co. of Texas, Vac Edge Unit #19	
1040	W06	18	35	6	330s	913w	The Ohio Oil Co., St. Waren Account 2 #9	
1041	A07	18	35	7	330n	990e	Tidewater Oil Co., St. AN #1	
1042	C29	18	35	29	1980n	660w	Carper Drilling Co., Carper-Luthy No. 1	
1043	C01	18	36	1	1980s	1980e	Cactus Drilling Corp., Catron "B" No. 2	
1044	P11	18	36	11	1980s	1980w	John M. Kelly, St. PE #1	
1045	K11	18	36	11	660s	660e	John M. Kelly, St. J.J. #1	
1046	C12	18	36	12	660n	1980w	Cactus Drilling Co., Amerada St. #1	
1047	A14	18	37	14	1650s	2310w	Amerada Petroleum Corp., St. W.H. "B" #2	
1048	W31	18	37	31	1980n	660w	Amerada Petroleum Corp., St. WM "E" #3	
1049	A03	18	38	3	1980s	1980e	O.D. Alsabrook, Saunders #1	
1050	M19	18	38	19	2310s	1650e	Shell Oil Co., Shell et al McKinley A-19 #1	
1051	N06	19	29	6	1980s	1765w	Shamrock Drilling Co., Nix & Curtis #1	
1052	S21	19	29	21	2015n	1880e	Wayne J. Spears, Stout St. #1	
1053	U26	19	29	26	660n	660w	Wayne J. Spears, Union St. #1	Rev loc NW1/4, NW1/4
1054	P05	19	30	5	1980n	330w	Yates Petroleum Corp., Perkins "AD" #3	
1055	U06	19	31	6	330n	1650w	Texaco, Inc., USA Fed. #1	
1056	S13	19	31	13	660s	1980e	Phillips Petroleum Co., Simon "A" No. 1	
1057	S14	19	31	14	660s	660e	Phillips Petroleum Corp., Simon "A" #2	
1058	T21	19	31	21	1980s	660e	Texas Crude Oil Co., Tennessee-Fed. #1-21	
1059	E29	19	32	29	1980n	660e	El Paso Natural Gas Co., Southern Cal. Fed. #1	
1060	C12	19	34	12	660n	660w	Carper Drilling Co., U.S. Smelting St. #1	
1061	A14	19	35	14	660s	660w	Atlantic Refining Co., St. AU #1	
1062	S27	19	35	27	1980n	660e	Shell Oil Co., Allen ESt. A #1	
1063	C28	19	35	28	1980s	660w	Cabot Carbon Co., St. G #1	
1064	L33	19	35	33	1980n	660e	Gulf Oil Corp., Lea St. BG #8	
1065	P01	19	36	1	1980n	330e	Pan American Petroleum Corp., St. "B" #1	
1066	H11	19	36	11	660s	1980w	Humble Oil and Refining Co., New Mex. St. "AO" #1	
1067	S18	19	36	18	1980n	1980w	Tom Brown Drilling Co., Sunray-Bryan #1	
1068	A25	19	36	25	660n	1980w	Amerada Petroleum Corp., St. "T" No. 4	Rev loc NE1/4, NW1/4
1069	A32	19	37	32	1980s	1980e	Amerada Petroleum Corp., May Love Unit #1	
1070	F21	20	30	21			Amoco Prod., Fed. Gas Com No. 1-G	Log lacks loc data

A-4

# Information Only

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data *				Drillhole Name		Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl	fe,wl		
1071	L31	20	30	31	1980n	760e	Texas International Petrol. Corp., Lowe Fed. #1	
1072	B07	20	31	7	1650s	660e	Pan American Petroleum Corp., Big Eddy Unit #11	
1073	B21	20	31	21	660n	660w	Pennzoil United, Big Eddy Unit No. 12	
1074	F10	20	32	10	330n	990w	Shell Oil Co., Perry Fed. #1	
1075	H13	20	32	13	660s	1980w	Flag-Redfern Oil Co., Hanson St. #1	
1076	P15	20	32	15	1980s	1980w	Phillips Petroleum Co., Plata Deep Unit #1	
1077	L05	20	33	5	660s	1980w	Pan American Petroleum Corp., Little Eddy Unit #1	
1078	S14	20	33	14	2310s	990w	Carl Engwall, Sinclair Fed. #1	
1079	B18	20	33	18	660n	2080w	Randall F. Montgomery, Bass St. #1	
1080	S18	20	33	18	1650n	1650w	Randall F. Montgomery, Bass St. #2	
1081	F27	20	33	27	1980n	1650e	Amoco Prod. Co., API #30-025-26241, Fed."Y" Com #1	
1082	L13	20	34	13	1980s	1980e	Marathon Oil Co., Lea Unit #3	
1083	H20	20	34	20	2310n	1650w	Burk Royalty, Hanson Fed. B #2	
1084	F29	20	34	29	1980s	330e	Earl G. Colton, Fed. #1	
1085	B28	20	35	28	660n	660e	W.H. Black, Phillips St. No. 1	
1086	S02	20	36	2	660n	1980e	The Superior Oil Co., St. "A" No. 2	
1087	U30	20	36	30	660n	660w	Union Oil Co. of California, Sims St. 1-30	
1088	H01	20	37	1	990n	1650w	Humble Oil and Refining Co., N.M. St. "AG" No. 6	
1089	W27	20	38	27	660s	660w	Continental Oil Co., Warren Unit "BT" No. 26	
1090	P03	21	29	3	1980n	1980w	Pan American Petroleum Corp., Big Eddy Unit #18	
1091	N04	21	29	4	4620s	1980w	Union Oil Co. of California, Cowden Fed. #1	
1092	P05	21	29	5	1980n	660e	Meadco Properties, Ltd., Harris-Bell #1	
1093	M05	21	29	5	980n	1880w	Meadco Properties Ltd., Harris Bell #2	
1094	M06	21	29	6	3147n	660e	Meadco Properties Ltd., Harris "6" #1	
1095	B15	21	29	15	1980s	1980w	Perry R. Bass, Big Eddy Unit #61	
1096	P18	21	29	18	1980s	1980e	Pan American Petroleum Corp., Big Eddy Unit #16	
1097	B22	21	29	22	1980n	1980e	Perry R. Bass, Big Eddy Unit No. 40	
1098	E34	21	29	34	660n	1980w	Bass Enterprises Prod. Co., Big Eddy Unit No. 38	
1099	D21	21	30	21	90n	1485w	WIPP 27	
1100	P26	21	30	26	660s	1980w	Phillips Petroleum Co., James "D" 1	
1101	P35	21	30	35	1980s	660w	Phillips Petroleum Co., James "C" #1	
1102	D18	21	31	18	99n	2401e	WIPP 28	
1103	D33	21	31	33	668n	177w	WIPP 30	
1104	Q35	21	31	35	2152s	910e	ERDA 6	
1105	E01	21	32	1	3255n	1972e	Phillips Petroleum Co., ETZ Fed. #1	

Ref E1 from SAND79-0284

Information Only

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data*					Drillhole Name	Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fm,sl	fe,wl		
1106	F01	21	32	1	660s	1980w	Kimball Production Co., Fed. #1	
1107	H02	21	32	2	660s	1980e	Phillips Petroleum Co., Hat Mesa "A" #1	
1108	P02	21	32	2	3300n	660w	Amini Oil Co., Pubco Fed. #1	
1109	N04	21	32	4	1683n	1650w	Amini Oil Co., New Mexico Fed. #1	
1110	S06	21	32	6	2189n	500e	Holly Energy, Inc., Salt Lake Deep No. 1	
1111	G10	21	32	10	1980n	1980e	The Superior Oil Co., Government "H" Com. #1	
1112	F11	21	32	11	660s	660e	Gackle Drilling Co., Fed. #1	Rev loc SE1/4, SE1/4
1113	H11	21	32	11	660s	1980w	Phillips Petroleum Co., Hat Mesa 2-#2	
1114	M11	21	32	11	1980n	1980e	Phillips Petroleum Co., Hat Mesa #1	
1115	S21	21	32	21	660n	660w	Skelly Oil Co., Salt Lake South Unit #1	
1116	G26	21	32	26	1980n	660e	Gulf Oil Corp., San Simon #1	
1117	H31	21	32	31	660n	1980w	Gulf Oil Corp., H.T. Mattern (NCT) #10	
1118	U31	21	32	31	2040n	2040e	Union Carbide Corp., AEC #7; also Fenix & Scisson, AEC #7	
1119	G32	21	32	32	1980n	1980e	Getty Oil Co., Getty "32" St. Com #1	
1120	S02	21	33	2	2310s	2310w	Charles Read, Sinclair St. #1	
1121	W01	21	35	1	660n	660e	Amerada Petroleum Corp., St. WE "F" #3	Surf El from log
1122	N04	21	35	4	1902n	660w	British American Oil Prod. Co., N.M. St. "F" #1	
1123	C16	21	35	16	660s	660e	Cosden Petroleum Co., Cosden Petr. St. D #1	
1124	R32	21	35	32	1650n	660e	Resler & Sheldon, Phillips "C" No. 2	
1125	C17	21	36	17	990n	1650w	Atlantic Refining Co., Coleman #1	
1126	A21	21	36	21	660s	1980w	Gulf Oil Corp., Arnott Ramsey "C" No. 5	Rev loc SE1/4, SW1/4; log elev
1127	H26	21	36	26	1980n	1980w	Humble Oil & Refining Co., N.M. St. "G" #14	
1128	A27	21	36	27	1980s	1980e	Gulf Oil Corp., Arnott Ramsey "C" No. 5; ?DUP 1126***	Rev loc SE1/4, SW1/4
1129	G27	21	36	27	650n	1980e	Gulf Oil Corp., W.A. Ramsey NCT A #42	
1130	R27	21	36	27	1980s	510e	Gulf Oil Corp., W.A. Ramsey (N.C.T.A.) #39	
1131	L31	21	36	31	1980n	1980e	Late Oil Co., Rector A #1	
1132	A33	21	36	33	1980s	1980e	Gulf Oil Corp., Arnett Ramsey NCT-D #12	
1133	R34	21	36	34	1980n	660w	Gulf Oil Corp., W.A. Ramsey NCT #38	
1134	C28	21	37	28	2085s	765e	Gulf Oil Corp., J.N. Carson (NCT) C#9	
1135	M31	21	37	31	1980n	660w	Gulf Oil Corp., N.T. Mattern (NCT) No. B-12	B#10 or B#12?, log unclear
1136	E06	22	29	6	660s	660e	William A. and Edward R. Hudson, Eddy Fed. #1	
1137	D33	22	29	33	1673s	29e	WIPP 32	
1138	D34	22	29	34	407s	1828e	WIPP 29	

# Information Only

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data*					Drillhole Name	Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl	fe,wl		
1139	T01	22	30	1	990s	1980w	Troporo Oil and Gas Co., Cabana #1	
1140	M02	22	30	2	665s	2006e	Phillips Petroleum Co., James "A" #1	
1141	D11	22	30	11	1980n	1980e	Phillips Petroleum Co., James "E" #1	
1142	D13	22	30	13	1762s	2427w	WIPP 33	
1143	D15	22	30	15	1853s	2838e	WIPP 25	Rev loc, elev from SAND79-0279
1144	P24	22	30	24	312s	613w	P-14	
1145	O24	22	30	24	167n	195e	P-12	
1146	B27	22	30	27	660n	2003e	Richardson & Bass, Fed. Legg #1	
1147	D29	22	30	29	2232n	12e	WIPP 26	
1148	C06	22	31	6	1980n	660w	B. McKnight et al, Campana No. 1	
1149	Z08	22	31	8	698s	122e	Fenix & Scissons Inc., WIPP No. DOE-2	
1150	D09	22	31	9	712n	294w	WIPP 11	
1151	W09	22	31	9	202s	2000w	WIPP 34	
1152	I09	22	31	9	99s	2112e	WIPP 14	Ref elev typo revised
1153	A11	22	31	11	935n	1979w	AEC 8	
1154	P14	22	31	14	794s	103e	P-20	
1155	H15	22	31	15	1007n	134e	Fenix & Scisson, WIPP HYDRO H-5c	
1156	P15	22	31	15	852n	150e	P-21	
1157	B15	22	31	15	1980s	1980w	Clayton W. Williams Jr., Badger Unit Fed. No. 1	
1158	D17	22	31	17	2564s	1727w	Fenix & Scisson, WIPP #13	
1159	W17	22	31	17	175s	84e	Fenix & Scisson, Inc., WIPP No. 12	
1160	P17	22	31	17	202s	165e	P-5	
1161	P18	22	31	18	125n	116w	P-13	
1162	H18	22	31	18	281n	375w	Fenix and Scisson, Inc., WIPP H-6c	
1163	P20	22	31	20	103s	3122e	P-3	
1164	S20	22	31	20	4306s	50e	Fenix & Scisson, Inc., WIPP #18	
1165	W20	22	31	20	2547s	50e	Fenix & Scisson, Inc., WIPP #22	
1166	D20	22	31	20	1451s	10e	Fenix & Scisson, Inc., WIPP #21	
1167	I20	22	31	20	2986s	50e	Fenix & Scisson, Inc., WIPP #19	
1168	Q20	22	31	20	267s	177e	ERDA 9	
1169	P23	22	31	23	175n	177w	P-11	
1170	O23	22	31	23	1652s	2330w	P-19	
1171	W23	22	31	23	330s	330e	Texas Crude Oil Co., Wright-Fed. #1-23	
1172	O26	22	31	26	134s	797e	P-18	
1173	P26	22	31	26	2315n	339w	P-10	

A-7

**Information Only**

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data *				Drillhole Name		Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl	fe,wl		
1174	P28	22	31	28	146s	1487e	P-4	
1175	DOE1	22	31	28	182s	608e	DOE-1	
1176	O28	22	31	28	125n	172e	P-2	Rev loc from OFR78-592
1177	L29	22	31	29	623n	1083e	H-1	
1178	H29	22	31	29	372s	562w	Department of Energy, H-14	Elev from SAND89-0202; ?Cul
1179	R29	22	31	29	770n	3584e	ERDA, Hydrological H-2c	
1180	Y29	22	31	29	3200n	140e	Sandia National Laboratories, Hydrological No. 3	
1181	P29	22	31	29	327s	551w	P-1	
1182	P30	22	31	30	2767s	199w	P-6	
1183	P31	22	31	31	398s	184w	P-15	
1184	H33	22	31	33	1502s	105e	H-11	Rev for H11b3; SAND89-0200
1185	P33	22	31	33	1493s	143e	P-9	
1186	T13	22	32	13	660s	660e	Ray Smith Drilling Co., B&H Fed. 1	
1187	C14	22	32	14	660s	1980w	Carper Drilling Co., #2 Red Tank Unit	
1188	C17	22	32	17	1980s	1980e	Cleary Petroleum Corp., Fed. 1-17	
1189	T18	22	32	18	660s	660e	J.H. Trigg Co., Fed. Jennings 1-18	
1190	B19	22	32	19	660s	660e	Ralph Lowe, Bass Fed. #1	
1191	M20	22	32	20	1980n	1980e	R.J. Zonne, #1 Fed.	
1192	T22	22	32	22	1980n	660w	John M. Trigg Co., Fed. Red Tank Unit #1-22	
1193	A25	22	32	25	660n	1980w	Gulf Oil Co. -- U.S., Covington "A" Fed. #1	
1194	T36	22	32	36	660n	660e	Tidewater Oil Co., Richardson & Bass St. "AO" #1	
1195	H01	22	34	1	1980s	660e	Humble Oil and Refining Co., N.M. St. BS #1	
1196	N08	22	34	8	660s	1980e	Sunray Mid-Continent, New Mexico St. "AE" No. 1	Elev from Richey (1989); KB??
1197	H10	22	34	10	1980n	660e	Hudson & Hudson Personal, Allison Fed. No. 1	
1198	J01	22	35	1	660s	660w	British American Oil Prod. Co., Jalmat Deep #1	
1199	D03	22	35	3	660n	660w	Western Drilling Co., Donegan St. No. 1	
1200	A04	22	35	4	660s	660e	Ashmun & Hilliard No. 3 Ltd., Skelly St. #1-U	
1201	S05	22	35	5	660n	660e	Skelly Oil Co., St. "U" #1	
1202	H09	22	35	9	1980s	1980w	William A. & Edward R. Hudson, Humble St. #1	
1203	B11	22	35	11	660s	990w	British American Oil Prod. Co., Hall St. "F" #9	
1204	C20	22	35	20	1980n	660e	Carper Drilling Co., Carper Aztec No. 1	
1205	H22	22	35	22	1980s	1980e	Curtis Hankamer, Humble St. #1	
1206	A23	22	35	23	1980s	330e	Atlantic Refining Co., St. "AN" #1	
1207	G35	22	35	35	660s	660w	John M. Kelly, Gulf St. 1-A	
1208	L03	22	36	3	660n	660w	Gulf Oil Corp., Harry Leonard (NCT-D) No. 9	

# Information Only

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data*			Location Data		Drillhole Name	Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl	fe,wl		
1209	G03	22	36	3	1980n	1980w	Gulf Oil Corp., Harry Leonard NCT-D No. 10	
1210	J04	22	36	4	1980s	660e	Gulf Oil Corp., J.F. Janda NCT F#15	
1211	G09	22	36	9	1980s	660e	Sinclair Oil & Gas Co., St. 157 A #4	
1212	S09	22	36	9	660s	660e	Sinclair Oil & Gas Co., St. 157 A #3	
1213	W10	22	36	10	560s	660w	Western Natural Gas Co., Record #2	
1214	A17	22	36	17	1980n	1980w	Continental Oil Co., Arrowhead Deep Unit #1	
1215	C19	22	36	19	660n	330w	Cities Service Oil Co., Closson "B" #14	
1216	C23	22	36	23	660s	660w	Shell Oil, Christmas #A-2	Co. name uncertain
1217	A33	22	36	33	1980n	1830w	Atlantic Refining Co., J.L. Selby No. 2	
1218	M36	22	36	36	2310n	330w	The Ohio Oil Co., St. McDonald A/C 1-B #11	
1219	W15	22	37	15	1980s	660w	Amerada Petroleum Co., E.W. Walden No. 4	Rev loc NW1/4, SW1/4
1220	R16	22	37	16	2310s	1650e	E.P. Campbell, R.E. Cole #1	
1221	A36	22	37	36	1980s	1980e	Aztec Oil & Gas Co., St. BD 36 #1	
1222	W30	22	38	30	990s	330w	Western Oil Fields Inc., Gulf Drinkard #2	
1223	M02	23	28	2	1560s	330w	Neil H. Wills, Martin & Pardue #1	
1224	C11	23	28	11	2316s	2290w	Neil H. Wills, C.P. Pardue #1	
1225	P17	23	28	17	660n	2310e	Cities Service Co., Polk "A" #1	
1226	N12	23	29	12	1980s	1980w	Mesa Petroleum Co., Nash Unit #3	
1227	P13	23	29	13	2310s	330e	Mesa Petroleum, Nash Unit #5	
1228	M13	23	29	13	990n	330e	Mesa Petroleum Co., Nash Unit #4	
1229	U13	23	29	13	1980n	660e	Mesa Petroleum Co., Nash Unit #1	
1230	H14	23	30	14	2595n	2471w	Fenix & Scisson, Inc., WIPP H-7c	
1231	F16	23	30	16	1980s	1980e	Skelly Oil Co., Forty-Niner Rdige Unit #1	
1232	U18	23	30	18	1980n	330w	Mesa Petroleum Co., Nash Unit #6	
1233	S21?	23	30	21	1980n	1980e	Skelly Oil, Forty Niner Ridge Unit 2; ? DUP 1234	
1234	N21	23	30	21	1980n	1980e	Skelly Oil Co., Forty Niner Ridge Unit 2	
1235	S24	23	30	24	1980n	660w	Phillips Petroleum Co., Sandy Unit #1	
1236	Q34	23	30	34	200n	2327e	ERDA 10	Rev from BDR: SAND79-0271
1237	U34	23	30	34	2640n	2640w	Atomic Energy Commission, U.S.G.S. Test Hole #1	
1238	S02	23	31	2	660n	660e	Continental Oil Co., St. AA 2 No.1	
1239	O04	23	31	4	1351s	395w	P-17	Ref elev from OFR78-592
1240	P04	23	31	4	642n	96w	P-8	
1241	H05	23	31	5	447n	719w	Fenix & Scisson, WIPP No. H-4C	
1242	P05	23	31	5	513n	396w	P-7	

A-9

Information Only

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data*			Location Data*		Drillhole Name	Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl	fe,wl		
1243	C05	23	31	5	1990n	2017e	M.P. Grace Cabin Baby Fed. 1	Data from WTSD-TME-020
1244	O05	23	31	5	951s	1629w	P-16	
1245	B11	23	31	11	660s	660e	Max M. Wilson, Bauerdorf-Fed. #1	
1246	T14	23	31	14	1980s	1980w	Texas American Oil Corp., Todd Fed. "14" No. 1	
1247	H15	23	31	15	23n	92e	H-12	Rev from BDR; SAND90-0201
1248	A16	23	31	16	1980s	1980w	El Paso Natural Gas Co., Arco St. #1-16	
1249	P21	23	31	21	660s	660e	Patoil Corp., Muse Fed. #1	
1250	F23	23	31	23	1980s	1800e	Texas American Oil Corp., Todd Fed. 23 #3	
1251	T23	23	31	23	660s	1650e	Texas American Oil Corp., Todd "23" Fed. No. 1	
1252	T25	23	31	25	1980n	1970w	Skelly Oil Co., Todd 25 Fed. #1-Z	
1253	A26	23	31	26	1980n	1650e	Texas American Oil Corp., Todd Fed. #2	
1254	T26	23	31	26	1980n	1980e	Texas American Oil Corp., Todd Fed. "26" No. 1	
1255	B26	23	31	26	660n	1980e	Texas American Oil Corp., Todd Fed. #4	Rev elev from geophys log
1256	P27	23	31	27	1980s	660w	Patoil Corp., Wright-Fed. #1	
1257	M29	23	31	29	1980s	1980e	El Paso Natural Gas Co., Mobil-Fed. #1	
1258	L32	23	31	32	660n	660w	J.A. Leonard, Continental St. No. 1	
1259	W33	23	31	33	1980n	660w	Patoil Corp., Wright-Fed. #2	
1260	H36	23	31	36	660s	660w	Charles P. Miller, Pauley Harrison St. #1	sw1/4,sw1/4 converted
1261	K03	23	32	3	1980n	660e	O.B. Kiel, Jr., Fed. #1	
1262	M09	23	32	9	660s	1980e	McBee Oil Co., Continental Fed. #1-9	
1263	H11	23	32	11	1980n	1980e	Hill & Meeker & Ambass. Oil Corp., Matthews "11" #1	
1264	T15	23	32	15	1980n	1980e	John H. Trigg, Fed. Continental 1-15	
1265	F18	23	32	18	1980n	660e	Skelly Oil Co., Fed. Sand 18-1	
1266	K20	23	32	20	660s	1980e	Kirklin Drilling Co., Fed. Estill AF-1	Grnd elev from topo map
1267	H20	23	32	20	381s	1978e	Fenix & Scisson, Inc., WIPP No. H-10c	
1268	G21	23	32	21	660n	1980e	Curtis Hankamer, Gulf-Fed. "A-A" #1	
1269	H24	23	32	24	1650s	330e	H.L. Johnston, Sr., Conoco-Fields-Fed. #1	
1270	C24	23	32	24	660s	660e	Continental Oil Co., Fields Fed. No. 1	
1271	C25	23	32	25	990s	330w	Continental Oil Co., Fields No. 2	
1272	J25	23	32	25	990n	2310w	H.L. Johnston, Sr., Wehrli-Fed. #1	
1273	W26	23	32	26	330s	330e	John H. Trigg, Fed. "WL" #3-26	
1274	F26	23	32	26	1980s	330e	P.M. Drilling Co., Fed. James No. 4	
1275	P26	23	32	26	660s	1980w	P.M. Drilling Co., Fed. Field #1	Ref elev rev from log
1276	L26	23	32	26	330s	1650e	John H. Trigg Co., No. 4-26 Fed. WL	
1277	W28	23	32	28	660n	1980w	Max Wilson, Continental Fed. No. 1	

# Information Only

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data *				Drillhole Name		Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl	fe,wl		
1278	H31	23	32	31	660s	660w	Curtis Hankamer, Hankamer No.1 Continental Fed.	
1279	C33	23	32	33	1980n	660e	Curtis Hankamer, Holder Fed. #1	
1280	P34	23	32	34	1980s	330e	The Pure Oil Co., Fed. "K" No. 1	
1281	Q35	23	32	35	1980s	1980e	PM Drilling Co., Fed. James No. 3	Ref elev rev from log
1282	A35	23	32	35	1650n	2310e	John H. Trigg, Fed. WL 1-35	
1283	T35	23	32	35	660n	660e	P.M. Drilling Co., Fed.-James No. 1	Ref elev rev from log
1284	M35	23	32	35	990n	2310w	P-M Drilling Co., Payne No. 2	
1285	J35	23	32	35	1650n	990e	John H. Trigg, Fed. "WL" No. 2-35	Ref elev rev from log
1286	F35	23	32	35	1980n	660w	P.M. Drilling Co., Payne Fed. No. 4	
1287	P35	23	32	35	2310n	2310w	P-M Drilling Co., Fed.-Payne No. 1	
1288	R35	23	32	35	660n	1980e	P.M. Drilling Co., Fed. James No. 2	
1289	D35	23	32	35	1980s	330w	P.M. Drilling Co., Fed. Payne No. 3	
1290	P36	23	32	36	330n	330w	Penroc Oil Corp., Triste St. #1	Ref elev rev from log
1291	B36	23	32	36	1980s	1980e	The Pure Oil Co., Brinninstool Deep Unit #1	
1292	G36	23	32	36	1980n	660w	David Fasken, Gulf St. #1	
1293	C04	23	33	4	660s	660e	Cabeen Exploration Corp., Continental Fed. #1-P	
1294	T06	23	33	6	330s	330e	William A. & Edward R. Hudson, Shell Fed. #1-6	
1295	H07	23	33	7	660s	660w	William A. & Edward R. Hudson, Fed. 7 Well #1	
1296	T17	23	33	17	660s	660w	P-M Oil Co., Texaco St. No. 1	
1297	S18	23	33	18	660s	660w	Helbing & Podpechan, #1 "A" Shell St.	
1298	T18	23	33	18	660n	1980e	Tenneco Oil Co., Skelly St. #1	
1299	M19	23	33	19	660s	1980w	Continental Oil Co., Marshall #3	
1300	B19	23	33	19	660s	660w	Continental Oil Co., I.J. Marshall 19-1	
1301	A19	23	33	19	1980s	625w	Continental Oil Co., Marshall #4	
1302	C19	23	33	19	1980s	1910w	Continental Oil Co., Marshall #19-2	
1303	A20	23	33	20	1980n	1980e	American Quasar, Brinninstool #1	
1304	C20	23	33	20	660s	660e	Continental Oil Co., Levick Fed. #1	
1305	K31	23	33	31	660n	660e	Kirclin Drilling Co., Lea St. #1	
1306	H32	23	33	32	660n	1980e	El Cinco Production Co., Ltd., Humble St. 1-32	
1307	B35	23	33	35	660s	660w	George L. Buckles Co., St. 1-35	
1308	B18	23	34	18	1980s	1980w	Continental Oil Co., Bell Lake #9	
1309	L19	23	34	19	1980n	1980w	Continental Oil Co., Bell Lake Unit #10	
1310	N22	23	34	22	1980n	1980e	Shell Oil Co., North Antelope Ridge Unit #1	
1311	S34	23	34	34	1980s	1650w	Shell Oil Co., Antelope Ridge Unit 34-1	
1312	E01	23	35	1	660n	660e	Kenwood Oil Co., Ehrman Fed. No. 1	

A-11

# Information Only

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data*			Location Data		Drillhole Name	Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl	fe,wl		
1313	M01	23	35	1	2310s	330w	Schermerhorn Oil Corp., Malco Fed. No. 1	
1314	G03	23	36	3	660n	660e	Albert Gackle, Sinclair St. #7	
1315	F17	23	36	17	1650n	990w	Continental Oil Co., Farney A-17 No. 3	
1316	S20	23	36	20	1980s	1980w	Sinclair Oil & Gas Co., Fed. 714 #4	
1317	H04	23	37	4	1980s	660w	Samedan Oil Corp., Hughes A-1 #6	
1318	K06	23	37	6	330n	330e	Ralph Lowe, King "B" #5	
1319	H09	23	37	9	1980s	1980w	Skelly Oil Co., Harrison B-10	
1320	T16	23	37	16	1980s	1980e	The Texas Co., #3 St. of New Mexico "BZ" NCT-8	
1321	O24	23	37	24	660s	660e	Earl M. Craig, Ohio St. #1	
1322	B31	23	37	31	1980s	660e	Texaco Inc., E.E. Blinberry A NCT 1-2	
1323	C05	24	29	5	1650s	660w	Chase Petroleum Co., Valley #1	
1324	E06	24	29	6	330s	2310e	El Capitan Oil Co., Fed. Reid No. 1	
1325	F07	24	29	7	2310s	2310e	Southern California Petrol. Corp., Fed. Reid #1	
1326	R07	24	29	7	2310n	2310e	Southern California Petrol. Corp., Fed. Reid #2	
1327	V07	24	29	7	990s	330w	Tennessee Production Comp., Valley Land Co. #2	
1328	T07	24	29	7	1650s	1650w	Tennessee Production Co., Valley Land #3	
1329	C09	24	29	9	770s	770e	Skelly Oil Co., Cedar Canyon #1	
1330	S09	24	29	9	660s	1980e	Skelly Oil Co., Cedar Canyon 9D #1	
1331	C10	24	29	10	2180n	1980w	Skelly Oil Co., Cedar Canyon #10-1	
1332	P27	24	29	27	660s	660w	Penzoil United Inc., Mobil-Fed. "27" #1	
1333	P04	24	30	4	660s	1980e	Perry R. Bass, Poker Lake Unit #54	
1334	P18	24	30	18	460n	660e	Perry R. Bass, Poker Lake Unit #45	
1335	H23	24	30	23	2062n	1466e	Fenix & Scisson, Inc., WIPP H No. 8-C	
1336	B25	24	30	25	660s	660w	Hill & Meeker, Bass Fed. #1-25	
1337	C29	24	30	29	660s	660e	Ford Chapman & Associates, Fed.-Nettles No. 1	
1338	T02	24	31	2	1980n	1980w	Skelly Oil Co., Todd "2" St. #1	
1339	J03	24	31	3	660s	660e	Max Wilson, Jennings Fed. No. 1	
1340	F03	24	31	3	660n	660w	Jack L. McClellan, Jennings Fed. No. 1	
1341	S04	24	31	4	660n	660e	Texaco, Inc., M.M. Stewart Fed. #1	
1342	H04	24	31	4	2482n	193w	Fenix & Scisson, Inc., WIPP No. H-9C	
1343	B04	24	31	4	1659n	2310w	Sundance Oil Co., Betty Fed. #1	
1344	E04	24	31	4	1980n	1980w	El Paso Natural Gas Co., Sundance Fed. #1	
1345	D06	24	31	6	1980n	1980w	American Quasar, Dunes Unit Fed. #1	
1346	Y07	24	31	7	660s	660e	Ambassador Oil Corp., Fed. "Y" #1	
1347	G11	24	31	11	660n	1980e	Gulf Oil Corp., Fed. Littlefield "CT" #1	

A-12

Information Only

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data *			Drillhole Name		Revisions	
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl	fe,wl		
1348	E12	24	31	12	1980s	1980w	Coquina Oil Corp., El Paso Fed. No. 1	
1349	W17	24	31	17	660n	660e	W.J. Weaver, Continental Fed. #1	Ref elev calc from log
1350	R18	24	31	18	660s	660e	Charles B. Read, Ritchie Fed. #1	
1351	P20	24	31	20	660n	660e	Pauley Petroleum Inc., Jennings Fed. #1	
1352	F20	24	31	20	660s	1980w	David Fasken, Poker Lake #40	
1353	H21	24	31	21	660n	660e	Hill & Meeker, Carper Fed. #1-21	
1354	T24	24	31	24	660s	1980e	The Texas Co., T. Heflin-Fed. #1	
1355	M28	24	31	28	660s	660e	Pan American Petroleum Corp., Poker Lake Unit #36	
1356	M35	24	31	35	1980s	660w	Texaco, Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 67	
1357	U01	24	32	1	660s	1980e	Union Oil Co. of California, Union Fed. "1" #1	
1358	C01	24	32	1	1980s	660w	Cabeen Exploration Corp., Continental Fed. #1-L	
1359	M02	24	32	2	1990n	1990w	Calco, Marathon St. #1	
1360	O02	24	32	2	1980n	660e	P.M. Drilling Co., Ohio St. No. 1	
1361	H06	24	32	6	660n	1980e	Curtis Hankamer, Bondurant Fed. No. 1	
1362	G10	24	32	10	1980s	1980e	Gulf Oil Corp., Fed. Hanagan D #1	
1363	C11	24	32	11	660n	660e	Continental Oil Co., Wimberly #2	
1364	N11	24	32	11	660s	1980e	Curtis Hankamer, Hanagan Fed. No. 2	
1365	H11	24	32	11	1980s	660e	Gulf Oil Corp., Fed. Hanagan D #3	
1366	F11	24	32	11	1980s	1980e	Gulf Oil Corp., Fed. Hanagan D #2	
1367	G11	24	32	11	660s	660e	Curtis Hankamer, Gulf Hanagan #1	
1368	W11	24	32	11	1980n	1980e	Continental Oil Co., Wimberly #1	
1369	W12	24	32	12	660n	660e	Continental Oil Co., Wimberly 12 #1	Loc rev from geoph log
1370	C12	24	32	12	1980n	660e	Continental Oil Co., Wimberly "12" #2	
1371	H12	24	32	12	1980n	660w	Curtis Hankamer, Hanagan Fed. No. 3	Ref elev rev from log
1372	W13	24	32	13	660s	660e	WeSt.s Petroleum Corp. of Texas, Woolley #1	
1373	A13	24	32	13	660n	1980e	Continental Oil Co., Wimberly "A" #1	
1374	J14	24	32	14	660n	1980w	Tenneco Oil Co., #1 USA Jennings	
1375	T14	24	32	14	882s	882w	Tenneco Oil Co., USA Jennings N.M. 033503 No. 2	
1376	F14	24	32	14	1980s	1650w	Tenneco Oil Co., Jennings Fed. No. 4	
1377	U14	24	32	14	660n	1980e	Tenneco Oil Co., USA Jennings N.M. 033503 Well #3	
1378	F15	24	32	15	660s	720e	Gulf Oil Corp., Fed. Hanagan "B" #2	
1379	G15	24	32	15	1980s	660e	Gulf Oil Corp., Fed. Hanagan "B" #3	
1380	T15	24	32	15	660s	1980w	Tenneco Oil Co., Hicks-Fed. #1	
1381	H15	24	32	15	660s	1980e	Gulf Oil Corp., Fed. Hanagan "B" #1	
1382	B22	24	32	22	1980s	1980e	Charles B. Read, Bradley #1	

# Information Only

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data *			Location Data		Drillhole Name	Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl	fe,wl		
1383	R22	24	32	22	1980n	990e	Charles B. Read, Bradley #2	Ref elev rev from log
1384	G22	24	32	22	1980n	660w	Tenneco Oil Co., U.S. Smelting U.S.A. #2	
1385	S22	24	32	22	1980s	660e	Tenneco Oil Co., U.S. Smelting U.S.A. Well #3	
1386	U22	24	32	22	2310n	1650e	Tenneco Oil Co., U.S Smelting USA #4	
1387	T22	24	32	22	660n	1980e	Tennessee Gas Transmission Co., US Smelting USA #1	
1388	N22	24	32	22	990s	330e	Tenneco Oil Co., U.S. Smelting, USA No. 5	
1389	E23	24	32	23	1980n	660w	Curtis Hankamer, Ernest Fed. #1	
1390	B23	24	32	23	660n	660e	Charles B. Read, Bradley #3	
1391	W27	24	32	27	660n	1980e	Ralph E. Williamson, Wright Fed. No. 1	
1392	P30	24	32	30	1980n	1980e	Union Oil of California, Paduca Fed. #1	
1393	D33	24	32	33	660s	660e	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit Well #72	
1394	C34	24	32	34	1980s	1980w	Texaco, Inc., Cotton Draw Unit #69	
1395	R35	24	32	35	660s	660w	Sid W. Richardson, Inc., Fed. Delbasin #1	
1396	B01	24	33	1	660n	660e	Continental Oil Co., Bell Lake Unit #7	
1397	H06	24	33	6	1980s	660w	Hondo Drilling Co., Gulf N.W. #2	
1398	G06	24	33	6	660s	660w	Hondo Drilling Co., Gulf St. "NW" #1	
1399	I07	24	33	7	1980n	660w	Tom L. Ingram, St. "O" #2	
1400	O07	24	33	7	660n	660w	Tom L. Ingram, St. "O" #1	
1401	T07	24	33	7	330n	1750w	Tom L. Ingram, St. "P" #1	
1402	R07	24	33	7	660s	660e	George W. Riley Inc., St. #1-7	
1403	F07	24	33	7	2310n	2310w	David Fasken, Gulf St. #7-2	
1404	S08	24	33	8	660n	660w	Sunray Mid-Continent Oil Co., N.M. St. A.G. 1	
1405	B13	24	33	13	1980n	660e	Byard Bennett, Holland #1	
1406	T17	24	33	17	660s	660w	Tenneco Oil Co., St. Lowe #1	
1407	H17	24	33	17	660n	1980e	Robert B. Holt, Holly-St. #1	
1408	C20	24	33	20	660s	1980w	Continental Oil Co., St. "BB" 20 No. 1	
1409	J22	24	33	22	1980n	660w	F.R. Jackson, St. #1	
1410	T27	24	33	27	1980s	1980w	Tenneco Oil Co., Sunray St. #1	
1411	T29	24	33	29	660s	1980e	Tidewater Oil Co., St. "AP" #1	
1412	C30	24	33	30	330n	330w	Kirklin Drilling Co., Inc., Continental St. #1	
1413	G31	24	33	31	1980s	660e	Albert Gackle Operator, Continental St. #1	
1414	K36	24	33	36	660n	660e	Gulf Oil Corp. & Kirklin Drilling, #1 Lea St. "GX"	
1415	H01	24	34	1	1980n	1980e	Hanagan Petroleum Corp., #1 Gerdlag	
1416	D04	24	34	4	660n	1650e	Shell Oil Co., Fed. "BE" #1	
1417	C05	24	34	5	1650n	1650w	Continental Oil Co., Bell Lake Unit #14	

A-14

Information Only

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data *				Drillhole Name		Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl	fe,wl		
1418	B06	24	34	6	660n	3300e	Continental Oil Co., Bell Lake Unit No. 3	
1419	S09	24	34	9	660n	1980e	Shell Oil Co., Hall Fed. #1	
1420	W05	24	35	5	1980n	1980w	Gulf Oil Co. - U.S., Wilson Fed. Com. #1	
1421	A16	24	35	16	1650n	1980e	Texas International Pet. Corp., Aztec St. No. 1	
1422	F05	24	37	5	1966n	1980e	Texaco Inc., E.D. Fanning No. 7	
1423	H30	24	38	30	535n	2310w	Ralph Lowe, Hair #2	
1424	E28	25	28	28	1980n	1980w	Gulf Oil Corp., Eddy St. FD #1	
1425	B03	25	29	3	660n	660e	J. Glen Bennett, Superior Fed. #1-3	
1426	B08	25	29	8	980n	660w	J. Glen Bennett, Superior #1-8	
1427	W08	25	29	8	660s	660e	Neil H. Wills, Superior Fed. #1	
1428	M14	25	29	14	1980s	1980w	Mobil Oil Corp., Corral Draw Unit #1	
1429	B15	25	29	15	660s	660w	J. Glen Bennett, Superior Fed. 15 No.1	
1430	W22	25	29	22	1580s	1980w	Mobil Oil Corp., Corral Draw Unit #2	
1431	B26	25	29	26	660s	660e	J. Glen Bennett, No. 1-26 Superior Fed.	Ref elev rev from log
1432	B27	25	29	27	660s	660w	J. Glen Bennett, Superior Fed. 1-27	
1433	B29	25	29	29	660n	1880w	Bell Petroleum Co., Fed. #1	
1434	B30	25	29	30	660s	760e	Bell Petroleum Co., Cities Service Fed. #1	
1435	P03	25	30	3	1980s	990e	Bass Enterprises Prod. Co., Poker Lake Unit #47	
1436	P04	25	30	4	1980n	1980w	Pat Oil Corp., R & B Fed. #1	
1437	J04	25	30	4	660s	660w	J.M.C. Ritchie & Chambers & Kennedy, #1 Hopp Fed.	
1438	R08	25	30	8	1980n	660e	Fred Pool Drilling Co., Superior St. #1	Grnd el from topo; ref is +9ft
1439	K08	25	30	8	663s	667w	Ralph Lowe, Poker Lake St. #1	Ref el from log; topo differs
1440	L08	25	30	8	660s	660w	Ralph Lowe, Poker Lake St. #1; ? DUP 1439	Ref el rev from geoph log
1441	T08	25	30	8	660n	660w	Ralph Lowe, T&P St. #1	
1442	S08	25	30	8	1980s	1980w	Ralph Lowe, Superior St. #1	
1443	P08	25	30	8	1980s	660w	Ralph Lowe, Poker Lake St. #3	Ref el from topo; KB not given
1444	A10	25	30	10	660s	645w	Alamo Corp., Poker Lake Unit #5X-1A	
1445	P10	25	30	10	2030n	2180e	Bass Enterprises, Poker Lake #44	
1446	X17	25	30	17	610n	610w	Ralph Lowe, #1-X R&B Fed. "A"	
1447	A17	25	30	17	660s	660w	Alamo Corp., Poker Lake Unit #11A-7	
1448	J17	25	30	17	1980n	660w	J. Ray Stewart, Poker Lake #61	
1449	P17	25	30	17	330n	1650w	Jubilee Energy Corp., Poker Lake Unit 64	
1450	L17	25	30	17	1980s	330w	J. Ray Stewart, 66 Poker Lake Unit	
1451	B18	25	30	18	660n	1980e	Perry R. Bass, Jennings-Fed. No. 1	
1452	M18	25	30	18	660n	660e	Ralph Lowe, R&B Fed. #1	

A-15

# Information Only

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data*			Location Data*		Drillhole Name	Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl	fe,wl		
1453	A18	25	30	18	660s	1980e	Alamo Corp., Poker Lake #12A-9	
1454	P19	25	30	19	330n	900e	Central St.s Oil Co., Poker Lake Unit No. 38	
1455	L19	25	30	19	660n	660e	J.R. Stewart, Poker-Lake Unit No. 65	
1456	C20	25	30	20	1980s	660w	Perry R. Bass, Continental-Fed. #2	
1457	F20	25	30	20	660n	660w	Perry R. Bass, Continental Fed. #1	
1458	A21	25	30	21	660n	660e	Alamo Corp., Poker Lake Unit #6-2A	
1459	P25	25	30	25	660s	660w	Bass Enterprises Prod. Co., Poker Lake Unit No. 56	
1460	D02	25	31	2	1980n	1980e	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 65	
1461	A28	25	31	28	660n	660w	Alamo Corp., Poker Lake Unit 7-A-3	
1462	C32	25	31	32	660n	660w	J.A. Leonard, Continental St. No. 1	
1463	S35	25	31	35	660s	660w	Gold Metals & Santana Pet. Corp., #1 Del Basin Fed	
1464	C03	25	32	3	1650s	1980e	Texaco, Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 49	
1465	R09	25	32	9	330s	330e	Texaco Inc., E.F. Ray NCT-2 No. 1	Grnd el 3451 from topo map
1466	D09	25	32	9	1650s	330e	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 52	
1467	T10	25	32	10	660n	660w	Tennessee Gas & Oil Co., Ray U.S.A. #1	
1468	C10	25	32	10	1980n	660w	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 39	
1469	D10	25	32	10	660n	1980w	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 40	
1470	Q10	25	32	10	2080n	760w	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit #66	
1471	Y10	25	32	10	660n	660w	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 63; may dup 1467	
1472	M10	25	32	10	2145n	2310e	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 60	
1473	R10	25	32	10	1980n	1980w	Texaco Inc., E.F. Ray Fed. "B" No. 2	Grnd el 3454 from topo, no KB
1474	V10	25	32	10	660s	1980e	Texaco Inc., E.F. Ray-Fed. "B" Well #1	Grnd el 3449 from topo map
1475	S10	25	32	10	660s	1980w	Texaco Inc., E.F. Ray Fed. No. 1	Grnd el 3445 from topo map
1476	F10	25	32	10	1980s	1980w	Texaco Inc., E.F. Ray-Fed. (NCT-1) No.2	Grnd el 3448 from topo map
1477	E10	25	32	10	1650s	660w	Tenneco Oil Co., Emily Flint Ray U.S.A. #41	
1478	C11	25	32	11	660s	1980e	WeSt.s Petroleum Corp. of Texas, Cont. Fed. #1	Loc rev from SW1/4, SE1/4
1479	P13	25	32	13	660s	1980w	Patoil Co., Union Fed. #1	
1480	F14	25	32	14	660s	660e	Joseph O'Neill Jr., Fed. "O" #1	
1481	O14	25	32	14	1980s	660e	Joseph O'Neill, Fed. "O" #2	
1482	H14	25	32	14	2310n	330w	Hill & Meeker, Ora Hall-Fed. 14 #1	
1483	U15	25	32	15	660s	660w	Tennessee Gas Transmission, #1 USA G.E. Jordan	
1484	F15	25	32	15	1980n	660w	Texaco Inc., G.E. Jordan Fed. (NCT-2) Well No.1	Grnd el 3441 from topo, +KB
1485	J15	25	32	15	1980s	1980w	Texaco Inc., G.E. Jordan Fed. (NCT-1)#2	Grnd el 3428 from topo, +KB
1486	R15	25	32	15	660n	1980w	Tennessee Gas & Oil Co., G.E. Jordan #3	

A-16

# Information Only

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data *					Drillhole Name	Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl	fe,wl		
1487	E15	25	32	15	660n	1980e	Texaco Inc., G.E. Jordan Fed. (NCT-1) No. 8	Grnd el 3443 from topo, +KB
1488	G15	25	32	15	660n	660w	Texaco Inc., G.E. Jordan-Fed. (NCT-2) No. 2	Grnd el 3443 from topo, +KB
1489	N15	25	32	15	1980s	660w	Tennessee Gas & Oil Co., G.E. Jordan USA #4	
1490	T15	25	32	15	1980n	1980e	Texaco Inc., G.E. Jordan Fed. (NCT-1) #6	
1491	M15	25	32	15	1980n	1980w	Tennessee Gas Transmission Co., G.E. Jordan USA #2	
1492	W15	25	32	15	2130s	2130e	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 46	Grnd el 3431 from topo; +KB
1493	N16	25	32	16	660s	1980w	Tennessee Gas & Oil Co., St. Monsanto #4	
1494	B16	25	32	16	1980n	660e	Tennessee Gas Trans. Co., St. E.L. Bradley #1	
1495	P16	25	32	16	1650s	2310w	Tenneco Oil Co., St. Monsanto #6	
1496	M16	25	32	16	1980s	660e	Tennessee Gas Transmission Co., St. Monsanto #1	
1497	L16	25	32	16	1650s	1650e	Tenneco Oil Co., St. Monsanto #5	
1498	S16	25	32	16	1660s	990w	Tenneco Oil Co., Monsanto St. #8	
1499	F16	25	32	16	660n	660e	Tennessee Gas & Oil Co., St. Bradley #2	
1500	C16	25	32	16	330s	990w	Tenneco Oil Co., St. Monsanto #7	
1501	T16	25	32	16	2310n	2310w	Tenneco Oil Co., St. E.L. Bradley #3	
1502	Z16	25	32	16	1980n	1980e	Continental Oil Co., St. Z 16 #1	
1503	A16	25	32	16	660s	1980e	Tennessee Gas & Oil Co., St. Monsanto #3	
1504	E16	25	32	16	660s	660e	Tennessee Gas & Oil Co., Monsanto #2	
1505	X16	25	32	16	2080n	1650e	Shoreline Exploration Comp., Continental St. #1	Ref el rev from log
1506	D18	25	32	18	660n	1650w	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit #64	
1507	S18	25	32	18	660n	1980e	The Texas Co., Jack B. Shaw Fed. #1	
1508	C20	25	32	20	1650s	330e	Texaco Inc. (formerly PRBass), Cotton Draw Unit #42	
1509	D21	25	32	21	990s	990e	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit #57	Grnd el 3392 from topo, +KB
1510	M21	25	32	21	660s	660w	Panther City Investment Co., Perry Fed. #37	
1511	J21	25	32	21	1980s	660w	Panther City Investment Co., Perry Fed. #35	
1512	Y21	25	32	21	1980n	1980w	Panther City Investment, Inc., Perry Fed. No. 6	Grnd el 3400 from log, no KB
1513	K21	25	32	21	660n	1980w	Panther City Invest. Inc., Perry Fed. No. 7	
1514	I21	25	32	21	2310s	990e	Panther City Investment Co., Perry Fed. #27	
1515	B21	25	32	21	1980s	1980e	Panther City Investment Co., Perry Fed. #28	
1516	E21	25	32	21	1980n	1980e	Tennessee Gas & Oil Co., #3 E.H. Perry-U.S.A.	
1517	N21	25	32	21	660s	1980w	Panther City Investment Co., Perry Fed. #38	
1518	V21	25	32	21	330s	330e	Perry R. Bass, Perry Fed. #43	
1519	C21	25	32	21	990s	2310e	Texaco Inc. (formerly Panther), Cotton Draw Unit 44	
1520	H21	25	32	21	1980n	660w	Tenneco Oil Co., E.H. Perry "USA" Well No. 36	
1521	P21	25	32	21	660n	660w	Tennessee Gas & Oil Co., E.H. Perry U.S.A. 2	

A-17

Information Only

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data*			Drillhole Name		Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl	fe,wl	
1522	R21	25	32	21	1980n	660e	Panther City Investment Co., Perry Fed. #2
1523	T21	25	32	21	660n	1980e	Tennessee Gas Transmission Co., E.H. Perry USA #1
1524	F21	25	32	21	660n	660e	Panther City Investment Co., Perry Fed. #1
1525	G21	25	32	21	1980s	1980w	Panther City Investment Co., Perry Fed. #5
1526	J22	25	32	22	1980n	660w	Texaco Inc., G.E. Jordan Fed. #3
1527	E22	25	32	22	660n	660w	Texaco Inc., G.E. Jordan Fed. No. 1
1528	C22	25	32	22	2310s	330w	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 48
1529	T22	25	32	22	510n	1830w	Texaco Inc., G.E. Jordan Fed. NCT-1 #5
1530	D22	25	32	22	1650n	1650w	Texaco, C.D. Unit No. 18
1531	O23	25	32	23	660n	1980e	Joseph L. O'Neill, Fed. "P" #1
1532	G25	25	32	25	660s	1980w	Texaco Inc., G.E. Jordan Fed. No. 4
1533	C27	25	32	27	330n	330w	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 61
1534	U28	25	32	28	660n	1980w	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 47
1535	N28	25	32	28	660n	990e	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 56
1536	J28	25	32	28	2310s	1650w	Tenneco Oil Co., J.D. Sena U.S.A. No. 1
1537	X28	25	32	28	660n	2310e	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 51
1538	W28	25	32	28	1980n	2310e	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 54
1539	C28	25	32	28	660n	660w	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 45
1540	Z28	25	32	28	1650n	990e	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 59
1541	V28	25	32	28	1980n	1980w	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 50
1542	D28	25	32	28	2310s	990w	Tenneco Oil Co., J.D. Sena Jr. U.S.A. No. 2
1543	T29	25	32	29	1980n	330e	Texaco Inc., Cotton Draw Unit No. 58
1544	C29	25	32	29	990s	330e	I.W. Lovelady, Conoco Fed. #1-29
1545	S31	25	32	31	1980n	660w	Ray Smith, Ray Smith #1
1546	C32	25	32	32	1980n	1980w	R.C. Graham, Conoco St. No. 1
1547	W33	25	32	33	1980s	560e	WeSt.s Petroleum Corp. of Texas, Jennings #1
1548	H33	25	32	33	660s	660w	Hill & Meeker, Hall-Fed. 1-33
1549	M33	25	32	33	2310n	2310w	Hill & Meeker, Jennings-Fed. 1-33
1550	J01	25	33	1	660n	660w	Perry R. Bass, Fed.-Muse #1
1551	H05	25	33	5	660n	660e	Hill & Meeker, Bass Fed. #1
1552	S08	25	33	8	1980s	660e	Santana Petroleum Corp., Annie Bass Fed. #1
1553	H11	25	33	11	660n	660w	Curtis Hankamer, Muse Fed. #1
1554	J18	25	33	18	660n	660w	Sam H. Jolliffe Jr., #1 Bass Fed.
1555	H20	25	33	20	660n	1980e	Curtis Hankamer, Fed. Bass #1
1556	B21	25	33	21	660n	660e	George L. Buckles Co., Fed. Marshall No. 1

Ref elev rev from log  
Grnd el 3409 from topo, +KB

was T22 in T25S, R33E; misloc

Ref el rev from log

DF 3354 log; grnd el 3346 topo

# Information Only

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data *			Location Data		Drillhole Name	Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl	fe,wl		
1557	A21	25	33	21	660s	660e	American Quasar Petroleum Co., Vaca Draw #1	
1558	M23	25	33	23	660s	660w	Hill & Meeker, Muse-Fed. 23 #1	
1559	F24	25	33	24	660s	660w	R.B. Farris, Perry Fed. 1	
1560	K25	25	33	25	1980s	660w	King Resources, Pan American Fed. No. 1	
1561	A25	25	33	25	660s	660e	Ashmun & Hilliard, Fed. No. 1-25	
1562	D27	25	33	27	660s	660e	Robert A. Dean, Harry Dickson #1	
1563	T28	25	33	28	660s	660e	Tidewater Oil Co., Annie R. Bass Fed. #1	
1564	C28	25	33	28	660n	660e	Curtis Hankamer, Conley Fed. #1	
1565	T29	25	33	29	1980n	660w	Tenneco Oil Co., W.H. Jennings Inc USA No. 1	
1566	T31	25	33	31	660s	660w	Tenn. Gas Transmission Co, Richardson & Bass USA#1	
1567	D32	25	33	32	330s	2310e	Pure Oil Co., Red Hills Unit #1	
1568	W32	25	33	32	1980s	660e	Neil H. Wills, Continental St. No. 1	
1569	W36	25	33	36	660s	660w	Max M. Wilson, Marathon-St. #1	
1570	A36	25	33	36	660n	660w	Ashmun Hilliard Oil Co., St. #1-36	
1571	F19	25	34	19	660n	1980w	Ashmun & Hilliard, Fed. 2-19	
1572	C27	25	34	27	1980n	660e	Tenneco Oil Co., Conoco Fed. #1	
1573	S02	25	37	2	2310s	1650e	Continental Oil Co., St. A-2 #2	log not recovered
1574	L03	25	37	3	330s	990w	George L. Buckles Co., Liberty Royalty No. 4	log not recovered
1575	J14	25	37	14	560n	330e	Johnson & French, Fed. "A" #1	log not recovered
1576	L14	25	37	14	1650s	1650e	Atlantic Refining Co., Langlie Fed. #2	
1577	W24	25	37	24	1980n	990w	Western Natural Gas, Wimberly #4	log not recovered
1578	H11	26	29	11	660s	660e	Curtis Hankamer, Hanson "A" #1	
1579	H12	26	29	12	458s	744w	Curtis Hankamer, Hansen Fed. #2	
1580	G13	26	29	13	660s	660w	Curtis Hankamer, Gulf Fed. #4-B	
1581	F13	26	29	13	660s	1980w	Curtis Hankamer, Gulf-Fed. No.1	
1582	C13	26	29	13	1980s	660w	Curtis Hankamer, Gulf Fed. 5-B	
1583	D13	26	29	13	1980s	1980w	S.P. Dillon, Gulf Fed. #1	
1584	G14	26	29	14	1980s	660e	Curtis Hankamer, Gulf Fed. Beady #3	
1585	F14	26	29	14	1980n	660e	Gulf Oil Corp., Fed. Boothe "E" #2	
1586	M22	26	29	22	2310s	330e	Challenger Energy Inc., Mobil "22" Fed. #2	
1587	F23	26	29	23	660s	660w	Gulf Oil Corp., Fed. Boothe E #1	
1588	G24	26	29	24	660n	660w	Curtis Hankamer, Gulf-Beaty No. 1	
1589	A27	26	29	27	2310n	330e	Worth Petroleum Co., Amoco Fed. #4	
1590	F34	26	29	34			Gulf Oil Corp., Fed. Littlefield "BO" #1	
1591	S02	26	30	2	660s	660w	Ford Chapman Associates, Sinclair St. No. 1-2	

61-V

Information Only

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data *				Drillhole Name	Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl fe,wl		
1592	S03	26	30	3	660s 660w	Charles B. Read, Scott Fed. #1	
1593	K04	26	30	4	660n 660w	Aztec Oil Co., Fed. K.W. No. 1 [also Late Oil Co.]	Ref el 3179, 3180 from log
1594	B06	26	30	6	660s 660w	J. Glen Bennett, No. 1 Brunson Fed.	
1595	F06	26	30	6	660n 660w	T.W. Loffland, Brunson Fed. #2	
1596	M12	26	30	12	660s 660e	Monterey Oil Co., Monterey Blaydes #1	
1597	F18	26	30	18	660s 660w	Curtis Hankamer, #1 AT Fed.	
1598	M18	26	30	18	330s 330w	Curtis Hankamer, McKenna Fed. #2	
1599	R28	26	30	28	1980s 660e	Penroc Oil Corp., Ross Draw Unit #6	
1600	B09	26	31	9	660s 660w	George L. Buckles, Buckles Fed. No. 1	
1601	F15	26	31	15	660s 660w	George L. Buckles Co., Fed. No. 1-15	
1602	P17	26	31	17	1980s 660e	Union Oil Co of Cal, Phantom Banks Unit Fed. 17 #1	
1603	P20	26	31	20	800s 1000w	Texas Pacific Oil Co., Phantom Draw Unit-Fed. #1	
1604	M20	26	31	20	660s 660w	Max Wilson, Hanson Fed. No. 1	
1605	C05	26	32	5	660n 1980w	Fred Pool Drilling Co., Conoco Bradley #1	
1606	B15	26	32	15	1980s 660e	Brown & Krug Co., Ben Fed. #1	Loc rev from NE1/4, SE1/4
1607	R19	26	32	19	660s 1980w	Continental Oil Co., Russell Fed. 19 No. 4	
1608	J25	26	32	25	990n 990w	Continental Oil Co., Wilder #23	
1609	G25	26	32	25	1980s 660e	Continental Oil Co., Wilder #13	
1610	F25	26	32	25	660s 660e	Continental Oil Co., Wilder #12	
1611	E25	26	32	25	1980n 660e	Continental Oil Co., Wilder #10	
1612	B25	26	32	25	1980s 1980e	Continental Oil Co., W.W. Wilder No. 7	
1613	I25	26	32	25	660n 1980w	Continental Oil Co., Wilder #15	Ref el rev from log
1614	H25	26	32	25	1980n 660w	Continental Oil Co., Wilder #14	
1615	A25	26	32	25	1980n 1980w	Continental Oil Co., Wilder #6	Grnd el 3122 from topo, +KB
1616	D25	26	32	25	660n 1980e	Continental Oil Co., W.W. Wilder Fed. #9	
1617	C25	26	32	25	1980n 1980e	Continental Oil Co., W.W. Wilder #8	
1618	K25	26	32	25	330s 330w	Continental Oil Co., Wilder 25 Fed. No. 1	
1619	L17	26	33	17	660s 660w	Gulf Oil Corp., Fed. Littlefield DP Optional #1	
1620	P30	26	33	30	1980s 660w	Continental Oil Co., Payne #3	
1621	Y03	26	34	3	660n 1980w	Gulf Oil Co., Gulf Yates Fed. #1	
1622	B19	26	34	19	1980s 1980e	Continental Oil Co., Bradley 19 #2	
1623	L20	26	34	20	660n 660e	Max Wilson, Leonard Fed. No. 1	
1624	S05	26	36	5	660s 660w	Cities Service Oil Co., Sand Hills Unit #9-A	
1625	J04	26	37	4	990s 990w	Jal Oil Co. Inc., Farnsworth #6	

A-20

Information Only

ID No.	Hole ID	Location Data*				Drillhole Name		Revisions
		T.	R.	Sec	fn,sl	fe,wl		
1626	L11	26	37	11	660s	660e	Stanolind Oil & Gas Co., U.S.A. Leonard Oil Co. #1	
1627	F07	26	38	7	1980s	660w	Forest Oil Corp., Fed. Lowe #1	
1628	S15	21	33	15	1980s	1980e	Getty Oil Co. Stock Unit #1	data entered from geophysical log
1629	S32	21	33	32	1980s	1980w	Amoco Production Co. St. "LT" #1	data entered from geophysical log
1630	H28	22	31	28	89n	175e	Department of Energy WIPP No. H-15	data from SAND89-0202
1631	R05	22	33	5	660s	330e	Dual Production Co. Richardson-Bass St. No. 1	data from geophysical log
1632	G15	22	33	15	1980s	1980e	Getty Oil Co. Getty Fed. "15" No. 1	data from geophysical log
1633	C20	22	33	20	1980n	660w	Davis and Collins Conoco Fed. #1	data from geophysical log
1634	E11	24	29	11	1980n	660e	Exxon Co., USA Exxon Pouche Fed. No. 1	data from geophysical log
1635	H04	24	33	4	1980n	1650w	Getty Oil Co. HNG St. 4-F #1	data from geophysical log
1636	G28	24	33	28	1980s	1680e	Getty Oil Co. Getty 28 St. No. 1	data from geophysical log
1637	S31	25	29	31	1980s	660e	Duncan Drilling Co. Slater "A" #1	data from geophysical log
1638	P05	25	31	5	660n	660w	Pauley Petroleum Poker Lake #46	data from geophysical log
1639	S32	22	33	32	660s	660w	Helbing & Podpechan Shell St. #1-B	data from geophysical log
1640	B14	26	29	14	660n	660w	Ford Chapman Booth Fed. #1	data from geophysical log
1641	Y20	22	31	20	1113s	1241e	H-16	data from SAND89-0203
1642	Z03	23	31	3	1466s	993w	H-17	data from SAND89-0204
1643	Z20	22	31	20	964n	446w	H-18	data from SAND89-0204

A-21

\* All townships (T) are south and all ranges (R) east of the New Mexico Base Line. Distances from the section lines are in feet and are followed by a letter designation., This letter (n,s,e,w) and number designate the feet from the north, south, east, or west section line, respectively. Other tables of drillhole data are keyed to this location table by the identification number.

\*\* Names of drillholes have been shortened with some consistent abbreviations.

\*\*\* A few likely duplications in the original Holt and Powers (1988) data set are noted here.

**Information Only**

**APPENDIX A-2**  
**TABLE OF DEPTHS TO SELECTED MARKER BEDS**  
**OF THE SALADO FORMATION**

**Information Only**

## Table of Depths to Selected Marker Beds of the Salado Formation

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Top Sal	Depths (ft) to Marker Beds									
				Top 103	Base 103	Top 109	Base 109	Top VT	Base VT	Top Un'n	Base Un'n	Top 123	Base 124
1001		3	278										
1002	3568	10											
1003	3510	0	316										
1004	3618	1	736										
1005	3466	10	624										
1006	3554	10	587										
1007	3510	9	557										
1008	3766	9	1017										
1009	3733	9	990										
1010	3651	7	840										
1011	3648	18											
1012	3624	10	790										
1013	3631	10	775										
1014	3551	10	785										
1015	3568	3	735										
1016	3566	3	810										
1017	3580	5	830										
1018	3571	0	840										
1019		3	828										
1020	3885	10	1394										
1021	3783	0	1350										
1022	3751	0	1274										
1023	3382	10	1300										
1024	4027	13	1828										
1025	4104	10	1988										
1026	3952	10	1880										
1027	3800	0	1663										
1028	3779	21	1546										
1029	4011	12	1677										
1030	4098	8	1843										
1031		12	2026										
1032	4023	11	2075										
1033	4000	13	2050										
1034	3957	17	1983										
1035	3920	14	1968										
1036	3961	14	1810										
1037	3962	11	1765										
1038	3968	13	1744										
1039	3958	14	1814										
1040	3991	12	1678										
1041	3980	0	1720										
1042	3948	13	2112										
1043	3789	0	2038										
1044	3822	11	2137										

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depths (ft) to Marker Beds											
			Top Sal	Top 103	Base 103	Top 109	Base 109	Top VT	Base VT	Top Un'n	Base Un'n	Top 123	Base 124	
1045	3816	0	2030											
1046	3783	0	1997											
1047	3698	0	1810											
1048	3750	13												
1049	3660	0	2168											
1050	3664	0	1653											
1051	3399	9	305											
1052		0	218											
1053		0	245											
1054	3402	10	388											
1055	3529	0	740											
1056	3577	21	978											
1057	3559	21	898											
1058	3526	8	746											
1059	3576	16	1172											
1060	3974	10	2150											
1061	3815	13	2080											
1062	3723	0	2045											
1063	3743	0	2052											
1064	3703	12	2080											
1065		0	1680											
1066	3759	11	1704											
1067	3744	11	2038											
1068	3702	0	1446											
1069	3580	0	1397											
1070		0	520											
1071	3325	18												
1072	3505	19	713	874	887	993	1006	1147	1153			1420	1433	
1073	3523	16												
1074	3448	16	1170											
1075	3550	16	1495	1650	1660	1770	1794	1937	1943			2184	2200	
1076	3510	15	1236	1376	1387	1487	1509	1650	1657			1876	1903	
1077	3565	15	1524	1660	1670	1770	1793	1952	1962	2051	2053	2129	2148	
1078	3586	7	1756	1907	1918	2022	2046	2230	2244			2408	2422	
1079	3524	0	1470											
1080	3509	0	1450											
1081	3642	19	1887	2044	2057	2163	2186	2335	2344			2538	2554	
1082	3677	21	2110											
1083	3708	7	1957	2104	2117	2222	2246	2382	2391	2542	2545	2594	2608	
1084	3720	10	1949											
1085	3701	0	2315											
1086	3603	0	1218											
1087	3662	0	2330											
1088	3604	0	1671											
1089	3542	0	1635											
1090	3412	20	495											
1091	3471	18	500											

Depths (ft) to Marker Beds

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Top Sal	Top 103	Base 103	Top 109	Base 109	Top VT	Base VT	Top Un'n	Base Un'n	Top 123	Base 124
1092	3472	13	550										
1093	3468	13	740										
1094	3487	17	1145										
1095	3432	18	680										
1096	3309	16	450										
1097	3458	18	655										
1098	3444	18	505										
1099	3177	0	421										
1100	3250	23	502										
1101	3218	22	485	492	508	612	618	785	798	970	975	1040	1063
1102	3347	0											
1103	3428	0	748										
1104	3540	0	811	970	984	1091	1114	1277	1287	1445	1454	1518	1538
1105	3748	14	1890										
1106	3792	18	1945	2104	2116	2216	2235	2390	2400	2555	2562	2610	2628
1107	3793	22	1988										
1108	3740	21	1950										
1109	3668	15	1765										
1110	3652	18	1580	1735	1748	1855	1879	2043	2051	2214	2219	2269	2287
1111	3800	17	1885										
1112	3862	10	2005										
1113	3861	22	1947										
1114	3834	21	1972	2139	2150	2258	2274	2440	2448	2608	2618	2660	2673
1115	3679	21	1455	1630	1645			1953	1963	2198	2202	2240	2258
1116	3798	13	1781	1958	1970	2092	2112	2282	2297	2470	2478	2544	2560
1117	3504	0											
1118	3662	8	982	1162	1178	1297	1318	1500	1508	1691	1700	1770	1787
1119	3780	23	1090	1272	1280	1394	1417	1584	1597	1763	1767	1835	1853
1120	3802	4	2180					2922	2930	3075	3088	3128	3150
1121	3564	0	1758										
1122	3638	17	2095										
1123	3603	11	2200	2359	2374	2483	2500						
1124		0											
1125		0											
1126	3594	5	1670										
1127	3550	0	1660										
1128	3593	10	1670										
1129	3568	0	1720										
1130	3545	10	1720										
1131	3635	0	1900										
1132	3581	0	1817										
1133	3580	3	1817										
1134		0	1377										
1135		0	1368										
1136	3304	12	495										
1137	3023	0	166										
1138	2977	0	130										
1139	3357	20	625										

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depths (ft) to Marker Beds										
			Top Sal	Top 103	Base 103	Top 109	Base 109	Top VT	Base VT	Top Un'n	Base Un'n	Top 123	Base 124
1140	3193	18	520	562	573	686	710	880	887	1056	1064	1130	1152
1141	3221	23	600			760	786	950	960	1130	1140	1205	1227
1142	3323	0	677										
1143	3214	1	565										
1144	3358	0	686	824	840	946	970	1133	1140	1315	1327	1393	1419
1145	3376	0	747	908	924	1034	1061	1226	1234	1405	1416	1484	1507
1146	3309	23	535										
1147	3152	0	306										
1148		0	708										
1149	3418	0	962										
1150	3439	13	964	1125	1139	1252	1273	1429	1434	1597	1606	1670	1688
1151	3433	0	973										
1152	3429	0	952										
1153	3541	9	990	1158	1170	1278	1302	1468	1475	1648	1658	1723	1743
1154	3553	0	1100	1276	1291	1405	1425	1602	1608	1784	1792	1862	1887
1155	3508	0	1041										
1156	3510	0	1040	1211	1225	1333	1359	1527	1533	1706	1715	1780	1805
1157	3496	21											
1158	3405	0	845	1031	1042	1161	1183	1359	1372	1543	1551	1622	1645
1159	3484	12	966										
1160	3472	0	946	1108	1120	1234	1260	1428	1434	1598	1610	1678	1702
1161	3345	0	720	884	898	1012	1039	1200	1211	1377	1384	1449	1474
1162	3349	0											
1163	3382	0	783	960	978	1088	1118	1287	1298	1473	1484	1556	1580
1164	3457	0	928										
1165	3426	0	885	1050	1063	1172	1196	1363	1378				
1166	3417	0	867										
1167	3433	0	894										
1168	3420	12	860	1040	1050	1165	1188	1365	1367	1549	1557	1630	1653
1169	3506	0	1058	1229	1244	1354	1378	1550	1556	1730	1740	1809	1832
1170	3546	0	1116	1296	1310	1422	1446	1620	1630	1808	1822	1892	1916
1171	3596	8	1190	1376	1387	1504	1526	1700	1717	1895	1910	1979	1998
1172	3479	0	1084	1258	1276	1400	1422	1601	1619	1789	1806	1866	1888
1173	3508	0	1084	1262	1276	1394	1416	1594	1602	1780	1797	1864	1886
1174	3441	0	928	1108	1124	1240	1268	1446	1456	1644	1660	1728	1752
1175	3473	8	977	1152	1163	1286	1310	1484	1490	1682	1695	1764	1785
1176	3478	0	1008	1188	1204	1312	1336	1506	1514	1694	1706	1774	1796
1177	3398	0	7										
1178	3346	0											
1179	3377	0											
1180	3395	6	826										
1181	3345	0	676	861	874	986	1011	1190	1193	1379	1391	1460	1483
1182	3354	0	656	840	857	965	989	1162	1166	1354	1363	1432	1460
1183	3310	0	542	729	743	860	883	1058	1062	1248	1261	1323	1352
1184	3413	0											
1185	3409	0	880	1062	1077	1200	1226	1400	1410	1591	1610	1668	1693
1186	3644	10	1275	1447	1461	1584	1604	1762	1777	1938	1954	2012	2030
1187	3731	11	1290	1470	1482	1607	1630	1823	1840	2033	2048	2118	2140

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depths (ft) to Marker Beds											
			Top Sal	Top 103	Base 103	Top 109	Base 109	Top VT	Base VT	Top Un'n	Base Un'n	Top 123	Base 124	
1188	3701	20	1200											
1189	3696	15	1230	1409	1421	1540	1562	1735	1750	1920	1932	2008	2031	
1190	3620	9	1245	1429	1440	1562	1583	1758	1768	1940	1957	2022	2041	
1191	3640	19	1240											
1192	3687	12	1265	1448	1462	1587	1609	1786	1795	1973	1989	2046	2067	
1193	3789	25	1462											
1194	3756	0	1608											
1195	3640	13	2160	2326	2337	2444	2463	2600	2609	2770	2786	2828	2839	
1196	3537	0	2092											
1197	3573	0	2185											
1198	3611	18	2225	2353	2383	2487	2506	2638	2643	2794	2808	2842	2854	
1199	3613	7	2285											
1200	3611	11	2300											
1201	3623	1												
1202	3581	13	2275											
1203	3610	0												
1204	3533	22	2470											
1205	3571	10	2292											
1206		0	2210											
1207		0	2275											
1208		13	1825											
1209	3571	0	1822											
1210	3587	0	1825											
1211	3552	0	1725											
1212	3540	0	1687											
1213	3560	0	1735											
1214	3582	18	1685	1795	1824	1918	1942	2067	2074	2232	2246	2282	2297	
1215	3589	11	2008											
1216	3507	0	1805											
1217	3498	0	1683											
1218	3469	0	1684											
1219	3410	0	1385											
1220	3405	0	1360											
1221	3316	0	1408											
1222	3337	0	1350											
1223		10												
1224		0												
1225	3045	18												
1226	2996	19												
1227	3027	12	212											
1228	3014	12	195											
1229	3024	19	212											
1230	3163	0	283											
1231	3197	24	425											
1232	3028	12	217											
1233	3215	15												
1234	3215	15												
1235	3290	23	470	622	634	754	775	946	956	1141	1155	1211	1232	

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Top Sal	Top 103	Depths (ft) to Marker Beds								
					Base 103	Top 109	Base 109	Top VT	Base VT	Top Un'n	Base Un'n	Top 123	Base 124
1236	3384	13	640										
1237		0	710										
1238	3453	10		1247	1260	1391	1414	1590	1603	1785	1804	1853	1871
1239	3340	0	713	890	904	1028	1052	1234	1240	1436	1452	1510	1532
1240	3336	0	715	894	906	1026	1052	1236	1240	1440	1454	1520	1540
1241	3335	0	626										
1242	3332	0	627	810	828	948	972	1154	1160	1354	1371	1430	1458
1243	3328	8	653										
1244	3323	0	642	822	836	965	990	1173	1180	1372	1388	1446	1468
1245	3492	10	1118	1292	1308	1432	1454	1635	1646	1834	1852	1903	1921
1246	3511	27	1100	1242	1252	1448	1468	1689	1702	1858	1875	1922	1934
1247	3426	0	976										
1248	3381	23	808	993	1005	1130	1149	1332	1348	1540	1557	1608	1629
1249	3374	9	797	979	992	1116	1126	1333	1357	1566	1582	1637	1655
1250	3452	22	1092										
1251	3461	11	1090										
1252	3506	21	1190										
1253	3454	10	1063	1247	1258	1395	1412	1602	1609	1832	1846	1902	1920
1254	3464	27	1070	1246	1260	1380	1400	1602	1610	1827	1843	1897	1916
1255	3459	12	1060										
1256	3402	12	837	1013	1028	1151	1172	1370	1381	1592	1610	1662	1671
1257	3374	22											
1258	3358	8	695	880	892	1038	1058	1235	1248	1458	1473	1528	1545
1259	3392	8	816	1021	1040	1192	1216	1408	1422	1645	1662	1718	1737
1260		7	1095										
1261	3727	12	1584	1752	1767	1883	1904	2072	2093	2250	2267	2308	2328
1262	3699	11	1545	1708	1724	1840	1860	2041	2050	2225	2240	2282	2300
1263	3723	5	1680	1852	1866	1988	2010	2190	2199	2376	2393	2439	2457
1264	3722	12	1640	1812	1828	1940	1957	2132	2140	2320	2335	2378	2395
1265	3622	21											
1266	3697	11	1512	1682	1697	1812	1830	2004	2017	2213	2228	2276	2292
1267	3699	14											1688
1268	3701	10	1517	1688	1702	1819	1840	2018	2026	2212	2230	2274	2290
1269	3720	10	1710	1888	1903	2025	2044	2214	2227	2417	2431	2477	2498
1270	3725	13	1727	1903	1919	2044	2064	2233	2243	2441	2456	2504	2520
1271	3700	13	1683	1863	1878	2002	2020	2194	2210	2405	2420	2470	2485
1272	3720	10	1695	1873	1888	2013	2032	2203	2217	2407	2420	2468	2485
1273	3698	12	1678	1861	1876	2003	2022	2201	2212	2412	2429	2478	2496
1274	3705	9	1680	1860	1873	1996	2010	2188	2200	2394	2410	2457	2474
1275	3659	11	1630	1814	1830	1950	1968	2147	2160	2360	2374	2424	2443
1276	3713	12	1667	1858	1870	1995	2014	2192	2202	2405	2423	2471	2490
1277	3687	0	1535	1714	1730	1850	1868	2048	2059	2257	2273	2321	2338
1278	3551	8	1206	1396	1411	1539	1556	1735	1748	1970	1988	2025	2048
1279	3666	8	1520										
1280	3629	9	1508	1700	1712	1840	1857	2031	2043	2248	2264	2316	2336
1281	3671	11	1623	1821	1837	1970	1990	2172	2180	2390	2407	2460	2478
1282	3694	12	1657	1854	1868	1993	2013	2190	2198	2404	2420	2472	2490
1283	3676	11	1675	1872	1884	2015	2029	2213	2227	2428	2443	2496	2512

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depths (ft) to Marker Beds										
			Top Sal	Top 103	Base 103	Top 109	Base 109	Top VT	Base VT	Top Un'n	Base Un'n	Top 123	Base 124
1284	3700	8	1635	1831	1844	1969	1980	2166	2177	2378	2393	2444	2465
1285	3692	12	1653	1846	1860	1995	2014	2194	2207	2414	2430	2482	2500
1286	3663	8	1567	1762	1775	1900	1918	2094	2103	2308	2323	2375	2396
1287	3689	11	1623	1818	1832	1960	1980	2157	2167	2369	2385	2437	2457
1288	3669	11	1655										
1289	3630	8	1505					2044	2054	2265	2280	2332	2350
1290	3695	8	1670	1858	1872	2005	2022	2202	2216	2418	2434	2486	2504
1291	3689	0	1680	1884	1898	2042	2062	2246	2257	2482	2498	2560	2576
1292	3664	11	1673	1864	1877	2010	2030	2210	2220	2430	2445	2500	2516
1293	3636	11	1657	1830	1848	1982	2008	2175	2184	2362	2378	2427	2449
1294	3704	10	1772	1947	1960	2099	2112	2287	2298	2478	2492	2535	2550
1295	3722	11	1757	1929	1945	2072	2096	2263	2274	2455	2470	2515	2534
1296	3715	12	1773	1947	1960	2093	2115	2284	2294	2484	2500	2548	2566
1297	3722	11	1710			2013	2030	2199	2210	2387	2401	2443	2458
1298	3726	9		1958	1975	2103	2117	2295	2304	2488	2504	2550	2565
1299	3711	13	1732	1903	1920	2046	2065	2240	2250	2445	2460	2509	2526
1300	3720	13	1735	1906	1923	2048	2066	2242	2250	2451	2465	2516	2535
1301	3713	13	1710	1875	1892			2204	2212	2400	2416	2464	2479
1302	3703	11	1720	1890	1905	2028	2047	2218	2227	2417	2432	2480	2498
1303	3713	25	1790										
1304	3701	12	1785	1953	1969	2098	2117	2296	2306	2502	2518	2570	2589
1305		11	1760	1940	1956	2085	2102	2280	2289	2493	2510	2560	2578
1306	3683	8	1770	1945	1960	2083	2100	2275	2286	2478	2494	2548	2567
1307	3659	0	1815	1998	2012	2130	2148	2323	2333	2528	2546	2598	2613
1308	3533	0	1469	1660	1675	1810	1830	2000	2012	2209	2224		
1309	3555	19	1605										
1310	3425	14	1275										
1311	3490	22	1400										
1312	3515	0	2030										
1313	3494	9	2020										
1314		0	1663										
1315	3468	0	1950										
1316	3459	0											
1317	3324	0	1337										
1318	3383	0	1493										
1319	3317	0	1287										
1320	3317	0	1228										
1321	3282	11	1620										
1322	3320	9	1435										
1323	2968	10											
1324	2984	0											
1325		6											
1326		0											
1327		4											
1328		6											
1329	2969	28											
1330	2941	11						454	460			665	690
1331	2997	18											

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depths (ft) to Marker Beds											
			Top Sal	Top 103	Base 103	Top 109	Base 109	Top VT	Base VT	Top Un'n	Base Un'n	Top 123	Base 124	
1332	2924	11	696											
1333	3447	12	660											
1334	3179	11												
1335	3433	0												
1336	3429	12	840	848	858	928	946	1132	1141	1360	1378	1430	1454	
1337	3266	5												
1338	3502	23	1250											
1339	3500	9	1010	1152	1167	1300	1320	1509	1517	1722	1736	1788	1805	
1340	3432	2	835											
1341	3436	10	832	1017	1032	1155	1178	1368	1380	1582	1600	1645	1663	
1342	3406	0	791											
1343	3414	10	812	997	1011	1132	1152	1340	1354	1562	1578	1630	1645	
1344	3430	28	805	920	933	1062	1082	1260	1274	1485	1504	1556	1573	
1345	3438	23	826											
1346	3535	11	960	960	976	1115	1135	1317	1325	1540	1560	1617	1634	
1347	3528	13	1130	1299	1317	1450	1468	1644	1660	1860	1874	1923	1940	
1348	3553	13	1098	1300	1312	1448	1466	1651	1667	1889	1905	1966	1986	
1349	3553	4	1025											
1350	3514	10	915	944	956	1080	1100	1280	1284	1490	1510	1560	1578	
1351	3530	11	965	968	981	1125	1145	1330	1342	1548	1565	1622	1641	
1352	3490	12	895	918	924	1040	1062	1245	1252	1455	1472	1530	1549	
1353	3535	11	923	993	1012	1140	1160	1340	1350	1562	1580	1629	1647	
1354	3551	12	1017											
1355	3502	23												
1356	3508	25	1010											
1357	3620	10	1562	1748	1761	1888	1908	2084	2099	2301	2317	2368	2388	
1358	3623	12												
1359	3632	11	1500											
1360	3631	11	1533	1727	1740	1870	1892	2074	2088	2296	2314	2369	2390	
1361	3584	8	1246	1439	1453	1580	1600	1776	1783	2000	2017	2067	2088	
1362	3628	10	1394	1599	1608	1752	1771	1959	1966	2182	2206	2263	2280	
1363	3615	11												
1364		8												
1365	3637	14												
1366	3637	12												
1367	3637	11	1504	1688	1703	1855	1874	2069	2078	2293	2309	2360	2378	
1368	3640	11	1502	1698	1708	1852	1867			2288	2304	2361	2376	
1369	3590	10				1939	1958	2140	2152	2366	2382	2437	2458	
1370	3600	10												
1371	3605	9	1495	1689	1700	1842	1863	2048	2063	2285	2300	2354	2373	
1372	3586	0	1503											
1373	3599	9												
1374	3628	8	1445	1628	1640	1844	1966	2089	2104	2324	2340	2393	2410	
1375	3588	10	1437	1631	1644	1800	1820	2016	2029	2267	2288	2305	2324	
1376	3591	9	1456	1653	1665	1890	1915	2140	2149	2310	2327	2386	2402	
1377	3624	10	1453	1638	1650	1850	1870	2066	2080	2295	2311	2363	2380	
1378	3606	10	1438	1632	1646	1796	1822	2072	2090	2298	2313	2375	2405	
1379	3591	10	1428	1612	1625	1778	1803	1996	2003	2230	2247	2299	2317	

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depths (ft) to Marker Beds										
			Top Sal	Top 103	Base 103	Top 109	Base 109	Top VT	Base VT	Top Un'n	Base Un'n	Top 123	Base 124
1380	3602	12	1340	1524	1539	1679	1700	1882	1897	2106	2122	2187	2208
1381	3622	10	1396	1571	1585	1730	1753	1940	1955	2174	2192	2252	2271
1382	3608	11	1368	1571	1583	1721	1741	1946	1957	2186	2206	2267	2287
1383	3605	10	1400	1598	1612	1757	1777	1980	1990	2216	2235	2296	2316
1384	3618	12	1312	1513	1528	1667	1688	1874	1887	2112	2130	2192	2212
1385	3607	11	1375	1590	1599	1745	1760	1968	1975	2201	2219	2280	2296
1386	3604	10	1383	1590	1602	1745	1764	1970	1975	2210	2227	2290	2308
1387	3602	11	1384	1579	1592	1735	1758	1958	1967	2192	2211	2275	2295
1388	3591	9	1362	1565	1579	1718	1738	1938	1946	2172	2190	2252	2272
1389	3609	8	1415	1627	1640	1786	1807	2009	2020	2243	2262	2320	2340
1390	3605	10	1412	1602	1618	1763	1783	1984	1992	2218	2234	2295	2316
1391	3589	11	1323	1535	1548	1676	1695	1883	1895	2113	2129	2185	2206
1392	3554	9	1070	1292	1304	1453	1479	1682	1696	1917	1932	1987	2007
1393	3510	10	1145	1345	1359	1485	1508	1707	1716	1937	1955	2010	2030
1394	3519	11	1190	1400	1412	1544	1565	1754	1762	1985	2004	2056	2075
1395	3524	12	1239	1455	1463	1598	1616	1806	1817	2036	2052	2108	2127
1396	3625	19	1806	1981	1995	2120	2140	2312	2324	2520	2539	2599	2613
1397	3606	8	1635	1829	1840	1969	1989	2172	2183	2393	2408	2460	2478
1398	3598	8	1612	1819	1830	1963	1982	2165	2175	2385	2403	2455	2473
1399	3603	10	1595	1797	1810	1948	1967	2152	2161	2371	2388	2442	2463
1400	3590	11	1635	1836	1850	1983	2003	2182	2192	2402	2418	2470	2486
1401	3636	10	1647	1846	1862	1996	2015	2195	2203	2416	2432	2483	2501
1402	3547	14	1620	1829	1835	1968	1987	2158	2168	2376	2392	2440	2457
1403	3578	11	1630	1835	1848	1982	2000	2175	2186	2393	2412	2462	2480
1404	3637	8	1700	1900	1911	2042	2063	2238	2248	2455	2472	2522	2540
1405	3598	11	1785	1988	2004	2135	2154	2342	2354	2562	2577	2633	2651
1406	3554	10	1540										
1407	3592	11	1676	1880	1890	2022	2042	2214	2222	2436	2454	2503	2522
1408	3540	11	1495	1709	1725	1859	1870	2110	2120	2285	2304	2359	2374
1409	3594	12	1735	2004	2024	2165	2190	2385	2396	2702	2723	2792	2820
1410	3502	11	1621	1851	1864	2006	2025	2216	2226	2449	2466	2525	2543
1411	3525	10	1492	1720	1734	1875	1896	2092	2100	2321	2339	2390	2408
1412	3556	10	1410	1620	1637	1773	1792	1982	1990	2203	2220	2270	2288
1413	3524	11	1392	1605	1620	1755	1775	1978	1986	2210	2229	2280	2300
1414		11	1695	1915	1926	2059	2077	2255	2265	2500	2521	2579	2595
1415	3447	10	1125										
1416	3567	19	1537	1735	1750	1885	1904	2089	2100	2308	2338	2400	2418
1417	3619	19	1690										
1418	3630	0	1760										
1419	3570	18	1660										
1420	3488	33	1085										
1421	3378	19	1186										
1422	3295	9											
1423	3156	0	1482										
1424	2997	19											
1425	2985	2	340										
1426	2921	2											
1427	2923	9		417	438	488	508	653	659			835	862

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Top Sal	Depths (ft) to Marker Beds												
				Top 103	Base 103	Top 109	Base 109	Top VT	Base VT	Top Un'n	Base Un'n	Top 123	Base 124			
1428	3118	17	945													
1429	3041	1	775													
1430	3078	16	905													
1431	3044	0	530													
1432	2990	2	414													
1433	2936	12									603	610	630	643		
1434	2945	12	393													
1435	3333	9														
1436	3273	13	1096								1769	1781	1808	1823		
1437	3283	12	1014													
1438	3222	9	760		772	796	809	955	962	1147	1162	1204	1225			
1439	3210	11	1255													
1440	3200	11	915													
1441	3197	10	790													
1442	3210	10	1100													
1443	3192	0	880			1290	1300	1530	1542	1773	1784	1840	1859			
1444	3282	2	1235													
1445	3317	14	1050	1061	1077	1212	1230	1404	1414	1626	1640	1688	1703			
1446	3210	11	786	787	798	840	858	1014	1022	1225	1240	1283	1303			
1447	3219	9	898	898	907	956	976	1139	1146	1307	1325	1360	1372			
1448	3207	11		790	804	858	878	1045	1057	1249	1263	1307	1323			
1449	3217	7														
1450	3209	11	829	829	840	890	912	1070	1080	1263	1279	1322	1333			
1451	3186	10	1075	1076	1083	1140	1163	1404	1413	1558	1574	1592	1609			
1452	3192	11	1205			1133	1157	1253	1266	1414	1438	1465	1477			
1453	3207	11	1542													
1454	3209	12	1100													
1455	3203	10	942	942	951	1060	1070									
1456	3184	8	840	840	852	900	918	1108	1117	1191	1202	1210	1218			
1457	3204	8	915	915	940	957	983	1187	1195	1393	1410	1455	1473			
1458	3252	5	1373													
1459	3336	11														
1460	3476	21	1012			1090	1108	1300	1310	1530	1550	1604	1622			
1461	3348	2	1123													
1462	3358	8	697	880	892	1037	1058	1235	1250	1448	1464	1528	1547			
1463	3319	9	1622	1790	1806	1923	1935	2073	2079	2221	2230	2262	2274			
1464	3486	11	1127	1320	1334	1468	1483	1680	1697	1923	1938	1996	2013			
1465	3461	10	1160			1304	1327	1520	1535	1762	1780	1833	1850			
1466	3461	9	1104	1177	1192	1323	1345	1540		1780	1802	1853	1870			
1467	3460	11	1122	1191	1207	1334	1353	1548	1557	1780	1799	1852	1870			
1468	3472	10	1130	1229	1245	1380	1397	1594	1609	1834	1850	1908	1923			
1469	3478	10	1127	1270	1284	1418	1437	1630	1640	1875	1892	1948	1967			
1470	3480	19	1140	1233	1250	1383	1402	1600	1613	1842	1860	1912	1930			
1471	3477	9	1125													
1472	3464	9	1118	1240	1255	1390	1408	1595	1610	1840	1859					
1473	3454	0	1123	1210	1227	1368	1384	1580	1595	1821	1840	1893	1913			
1474	3459	10	1140	1225	1240	1372	1392	1584	1600	1826	1842	1897	1916			
1475	3455	10	1120	1203	1220	1360	1380	1573	1588	1808	1825	1879	1899			

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depths (ft) to Marker Beds										
			Top Sal	Top 103	Base 103	Top 109	Base 109	Top VT	Base VT	Top Un'n	Base Un'n	Top 123	Base 124
1476	3458	10	1147	1228	1245	1377	1396	1586	1602	1818	1840	1894	1910
1477	3470	11	1140	1220	1237	1366	1385	1572	1590	1812	1830	1883	1903
1478	3410	10	1177										
1479	3468	10	1140	1333	1350	1488	1512	1694	1706	1923	1938	1991	2008
1480	3445	11	1103	1257	1274	1410	1437	1621	1637				
1481	3454	11	1132	1306	1328	1460	1481	1673	1687				
1482	3455	8	1133	1208	1222	1359	1382	1569	1583	1811	1829	1882	1901
1483	3427	10	1137	1137	1149	1262	1281	1466	1480	1688	1704	1753	1772
1484	3451	10	1158	1162	1176	1303	1320	1520	1530	1760	1778	1830	1850
1485	3438	10	1145	1145	1155	1278	1295	1487	1503	1721	1738	1790	1804
1486	3451	11	1144	1205	1222	1354	1374	1568	1581	1803	1820	1872	1895
1487	3453	10	1140	1200	1218	1352	1371			1810	1827	1880	1898
1488	3453	10	1158	1160	1171	1305	1327	1528	1542	1770	1789	1843	1860
1489	3441	11	1138	1138	1150	1273	1293	1488	1500	1722	1739	1792	1810
1490	3447	10	1137	1191	1208	1339	1362	1545	1556	1773	1792	1843	1862
1491	3443	10	1165	1164	1178	1307	1328	1520	1530	1754	1773	1824	1845
1492	3441	10	1150	1152	1162	1295	1312	1503	1520	1738	1753	1804	1826
1493	3421	9	1095		1106	1176	1202	1400	1412	1632	1651	1704	1725
1494	3444	9	1140	1140	1155	1284	1305	1500	1515	1741	1760	1810	1830
1495	3423	10	1098	1099	1112	1201	1222	1420	1432	1654	1670	1729	1747
1496	3439	9	1137	1137	1150	1270	1290	1479	1495	1710	1728	1780	1798
1497	3437	11	1120		1131	1224	1245	1434	1445	1665	1682	1732	1752
1498	3426	12	1077		1090	1183	1205	1410	1420	1650	1669	1728	1748
1499	3458	11	1157	1157	1178	1305	1329	1524	1545	1767	1784	1834	1853
1500	3411	10	1075		1085	1152	1174	1376	1385	1606	1624	1679	1700
1501	3434	11	1110		1125	1221	1243	1441	1457	1678	1698	1751	
1502	3444	13	1128	1127	1140	1258	1282	1485	1495	1720	1739	1792	1812
1503	3434	9	1115	1115	1125	1213	1233	1425	1438	1650	1668	1720	1738
1504	3433	9	1125		1136	1229	1250	1440	1451	1667	1682	1732	1750
1505	3443	8	1130	1130	1142	1265	1284	1482	1498	1721	1738	1792	1810
1506	3431	20	940	986	995	1083	1102	1310	1322	1547	1565	1616	1635
1507	3438	16	1015										
1508	3394	13	1086		1096	1159	1184	1380	1391	1618	1636		
1509	3403	11	1115		1128	1242	1262	1450	1462	1685	1700	1757	1775
1510	3398	19	1117	1115	1127	1188	1204	1395	1409	1630	1645	1700	1720
1511	3396	12	1100		1113	1170	1195	1395	1410	1643	1660	1720	1736
1512		0	1106			1195	1216	1422	1435	1666	1682	1740	1758
1513	3414	12	1097	1097	1110	1185	1203	1400	1413	1632	1647	1700	1718
1514	3406	11	1116		1130	1250	1270	1455	1470	1690	1706	1761	1780
1515	3413	13	1125			1233	1252	1449	1460	1682	1700	1754	1772
1516	3422	10	1125		1139	1234	1255	1450	1462	1691	1709	1762	1789
1517	3404	13	1148	1148	1160	1213	1234	1428	1440	1660	1676	1731	1750
1518	3382	13	1112		1124	1180	1198	1389	1405	1628	1643	1700	1717
1519	3400	11	1132		1145	1232	1253	1438	1452	1671	1686	1741	1758
1520	3404	11	1073	1076	1087	1155	1171	1370	1384	1610	1626	1683	1702
1521	3408	9	1062		1073	1142	1161	1351	1363	1583	1600	1655	1674
1522	3421	12	1109	1108	1122	1240	1262	1455	1469	1688	1706	1759	1777
1523	3428	9	1130		1145	1233	1254	1448	1462	1677	1693	1746	1764

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Top Sal	Depths (ft) to Marker Beds									
				Top 103	Base 103	Top 109	Base 109	Top VT	Base VT	Top Un'n	Base Un'n	Top 123	Base 124
1524	3430	11	1128		1140	1255	1276	1465	1480	1695	1712	1764	1782
1525	3409	13	1117			1220	1240	1430	1449	1668	1687	1741	1758
1526	3419	10	1130		1141	1263	1288	1465	1480	1687	1702	1752	1772
1527	3419	9	1115	1120	1130	1242	1267	1456	1472	1689	1704	1755	1768
1528	3411	10	1117		1130	1249	1275	1458	1469	1683	1698	1750	1769
1529	3421	9	1142	1144	1155	1272	1296	1475	1490	1694	1710	1760	1778
1530	3414	10	1130	1130	1143	1270	1294	1476	1489	1696	1710	1759	1780
1531	3429	11	1085	1240	1256	1389	1412	1598	1610				
1532	3430	10	1155			1285	1310	1485	1496	1700	1718	1770	1782
1533	3391	11	1130	1132	1144	1266	1284	1470	1482	1700	1719	1770	1787
1534	3392	10	1170		1180	1240	1260	1448	1460	1675	1690	1744	1759
1535	3388	9	1118		1132	1220	1242	1430	1442	1668	1683	1740	1756
1536	3375	10			1268	1333	1356	1537	1552	1767	1782	1833	1850
1537	3398	10	1140			1248	1265	1444	1463	1677	1693	1750	1766
1538	3386	9	1178		1188	1247	1265	1450	1462	1678	1694	1750	1767
1539	3382	10	1175	1175	1188	1244	1266	1457	1470	1685	1701	1753	1772
1540	3386	10	1148	1150	1160	1242	1260	1440	1453	1668	1684	1740	1758
1541	3414	10	1230	1231	1240	1298	1318	1502	1514	1728	1742	1796	1812
1542	3370	11	1335	1336	1350	1390	1409	1596	1608	1850	1872	1922	1937
1543	3356	9	1310	1310	1322	1430	1452						
1544	3366	11	1485		1499	1634	1656						
1545	3311	2	1417										
1546	3307	10	1412	1446	1457	1584	1604	1768	1777	2009	2030	2074	2092
1547	3349	11	1297	1297	1308	1336	1351	1546	1555	1760	1775	1825	1837
1548	3332	9	1440		1449	1550	1573	1741	1752	1962	1975	2009	2027
1549		0	1405		1417	1507	1527	1700	1712	1932	1948	1996	2013
1550	3490	10	1673	1880	1897	2040	2058	2250	2258	2490	2507	2578	2597
1551	3478	11	1433	1668	1682	1816	1836	2032	2045	2260	2278	2333	2352
1552	3456	11	1428	1633	1646	1773	1792	1978	1993	2212	2229	2283	2302
1553	3424	11	1550	1752	1767	1910	1928	2112	2128	2360	2378	2444	2464
1554	3497	8	1312	1524	1538	1665	1689	1872	1883	2098	2116	2169	2188
1555	3431	10	1347	1565	1581	1716	1742	1932	1945	2164	2185	2245	2264
1556		0	1374									2268	2284
1557	3392	34	1335										
1558	3353	11	1410	1608	1625	1758	1778	1960	1976	2190	2206	2259	2280
1559	3358	9	1463	1670	1684	1822	1842	2030	2040	2280	2298	2355	2379
1560	3342	12	1435	1635	1650	1788	1807	1986	2000	2225	2242	2297	2317
1561	3332	11	1395	1596	1612	1744	1763	1950	1964	2198	2217	2271	2292
1562	3320	12	1387	1585	1607	1741	1762	1935	1946	2162	2178	2230	2249
1563	3353	10	1315	1510	1528	1658	1675	1848	1859	2072	2088	2140	2157
1564	3344	11	1339	1528	1545	1675	1695	1862	1873	2082	2099	2152	2170
1565	3422	9	1385	1564	1585	1726	1748	1944	1956	2177	2192	2245	2264
1566	3386	12	1096	1220	1237	1377	1400	1586	1604	1846	1862	1915	1934
1567	3332	0	1192	1374	1392	1535	1552	1734	1748	1977	1992	2042	2062
1568	3391	13	1224	1428	1443	1580	1599	1780	1792	2022	2038	2088	2108
1569	3325	7	1334	1542	1556	1697	1716	1902	1915	2152	2168	2222	2240
1570	3346	11	1432	1625	1642	1783	1801	1983	1998	2222	2240	2292	2310
1571	3346	12	1437	1639	1651	1792	1810	2005	2017	2256	2272	2332	2353

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depths (ft) to Marker Beds										
			Top Sal	Top 103	Base 103	Top 109	Base 109	Top VT	Base VT	Top Un'n	Base Un'n	Top 123	Base 124
1572	3339	0	1265	1470	1486	1640	1666	1884	1901	2195	2216	2288	2313
1573	3163	10	1095										
1574	3143	1	1290										
1575	3123	0	1068										
1576	3115	10	1065										
1577	3087	0	973										
1578		0											
1579	3012	4											
1580	2965	10	375	430	447	472	491	652	662	855	872	932	950
1581	2975	11	600	634	645	753	770	923	933				
1582	2978	8											
1583	2993	8	790	810	831								
1584	2953	8	388	434	452	518	539	697	706	912	925	971	986
1585	2964	14	512	512	528	550	564			900	915	939	962
1586	2912	7	330	346	362	431	446			847	871	914	932
1587	2900	12	360	415	426	549	569	712	722	870	886	934	942
1588	2972	9											
1589	2875	9											
1590	2892	10	414	484	499	538	557	650	654	765	778	884	898
1591	3201	1	1545										
1592	3165	10	1632										
1593	3179	9						1580	1590			1677	1687
1594	3059	8	1162										
1595	3090	10											
1596	3210	10	1294	1294	1306	1356	1371	1540	1548	1742	1761	1783	1797
1597	3059	9											
1598		0	850	900	910	929	948	1050	1071				
1599	2982	10											
1600	3220	0	1300	1403	1416	1520	1538	1704	1714	1950	1972	1995	2008
1601		0	1267	1336	1345	1484	1500	1712	1723				
1602	3238	9	1202	1259	1271	1368	1382	1568	1579	1782	1796	1848	1868
1603	3225	32											
1604		0	1245	1270	1280	1348	1365	1550	1558	1720	1730	1754	1772
1605	3282	11	1685										
1606	3177	10	956	956	968	1094	1113	1298	1308	1525	1543	1591	1611
1607	3180	0	1595										
1608	3133	11	963	963	974	1090	1106	1346	1353	1523	1540	1587	1608
1609	3122	11	1020	1024	1035	1165	1183	1388	1400	1642	1660	1713	1735
1610	3113	11	1006	1010	1020	1157	1166	1382	1390	1632	1648	1708	1726
1611	3130	11	1034	1034	1047	1182	1200	1406	1419	1658	1675	1728	1749
1612	3122	11	987	993	1003	1114	1133	1342	1353	1589	1607	1660	1682
1613	3132	11	967	967	982	1097	1103	1298	1307	1522	1538	1583	1602
1614	3124	11	967	969	979	1084	1102	1292	1303	1527	1544	1596	1616
1615	3134	12	975	975	988	1093	1112	1302	1312	1528	1545	1588	1613
1616	3130	19	1005	1009	1018	1144	1163	1361	1371	1604	1622	1673	1696
1617	3131	9	994	994	1008	1126	1147	1347	1358	1585	1603	1652	1673
1618	3113	11	930	930	942	1033	1054	1243	1254	1484	1501	1554	1576
1619	3264	10	1095	1300	1314	1450	1472	1656	1665	1887	1903	1954	1976

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depths (ft) to Marker Beds										
			Top Sal	Top 103	Base 103	Top 109	Base 109	Top VT	Base VT	Top Un'n	Base Un'n	Top 123	Base 124
1620	3122	11	1055	1055	1066	1215	1233	1433	1443	1679	1697	1754	1775
1621	3414	10	1235	1458	1473	1637	1653	1865	1875	2155	2175	2253	2278
1622	3392	11	1115	1342	1356	1509	1532	1743	1756	2030	2048	2118	2142
1623	3332	0	1005			1402	1428	1671	1682	1990	2010	2088	2110
1624		9	1203										
1625		0	1265										
1626	3013	0	1163										
1627	3032	0	1194										
1628	3853	17	2331	2506	2516	2634	2646	2803	2815			3058	3069
1629	3741	26	1878	2076	2087	2212	2230	2398	2410	2600	2609	2674	2693
1630	3480	0											
1631	3659	9	1638	1840	1852	1985	2000	2180	2198	2383	2392	2454	2472
1632	3572	22	1467	1667	1680	1808	1816	1994	2007	2182	2196	2254	2269
1633	3645	11	1227	1412	1430	1557	1578	1742	1758	1937	1955	2010	2030
1634	3094	12	594										
1635	3612	24	1840	2030	2048	2178	2197	2374	2384	2587	2602	2658	2673
1636	3506	20	1550										
1637	2968	8	240										
1638	3456	11				858	874	1058	1068	1288	1308	1360	1377
1639	3726	12	1708	1885	1898	2030	2042	2220	2228	2403	2420	2463	2483
1640		2	1105										
1641	3410	0	842										
1642	3384	0											
1643	3413	0	821										

All drillholes within the Rustler data base were included, even if the Salado was uninterpretable. Drillhole locations, names, and other data are presented in tables of Rustler location data and can be cross-indexed with the identification number.

**APPENDIX A-3**  
**TABLE OF DATA ON DEPTH TO RUSTLER UNITS**

**Information Only**

## Table of Data on Depth to Rustler Units

ID No.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depth (ft) to top of units						Revisions
			49r	Mag	Tam	Cul	ulm	Sal	
1001		3	118					278	
1002	3568	10							
1003	3510	0	164					316	
1004	3618	1	504	565	582	660	675	736	
1005	3466	10	500			576	587	624	
1006	3554	10	358	411	434	508	525	587	
1007	3510	9	314	375	396	468	483	557	
1008	3766	9	794	841	856	927	942	1017	
1009	3733	9	757	808	824	902	913	990	
1010	3651	7	561	606	628	730	754	840	
1011	3648	18							
1012	3624	10	563	619	634	706	720	790	
1013	3631	10	578	632	650	721	736	775	
1014	3551	10	545	596	618	692	708	785	
1015	3568	3	500	555	576	648	663	735	
1016	3566	3	574	622	640	720	734	810	
1017	3580	5	590	638	660	732	748	830	
1018	3571	0	606	665	682	760	775	840	
1019		3	613	670	687	759	775	828	
1020	3885	10	1158	1207	1222	1302	1322	1394	
1021	3783	0	1103	1150	1168	1260	1275	1350	
1022	3751	0	1017	1044	1060	1157	1168	1274	
1023	3382	10	940	996	1019	1112	1137	1300	
1024	4027	13	1587	1634	1652	1743	1755	1828	
1025	4104	10	1753	1797	1815	1904	1915	1988	
1026	3952	10	1633	1682	1699	1796	1807	1880	
1027	3800	0	1407	1460	1479	1576	1588	1663	Rev loc ctr, NE1/4, SE1/4
1028	3779	21	1278	1330	1351	1447	1462	1546	
1029	4011	12	1483	1525	1540	1602	1615	1677	
1030	4098	8	1631	1675	1694	1774	1783	1843	
1031		12	1775	1824	1842	1932	1947	2026	
1032	4023	11	1820	1872	1892	1985	1999	2075	
1033	4000	13	1793	1852	1870	1960	1972	2050	
1034	3957	17	1714	1775	1793	1885	1905	1983	
1035	3920	14	1745	1794	1807	1885	1900	1968	
1036	3961	14	1600	1645	1658	1733	1750	1810	
1037	3962	11	1562	1604	1619	1690	1705	1765	
1038	3968	13	1535	1580	1595	1668	1683	1744	
1039	3958	14	1598	1643	1657	1737	1748	1814	
1040	3991	12	1512	1566	1582	1647	1653	1678	
1041	3980	0	1501	1547	1562	1640	1657	1720	
1042	3948	13	1853	1908	1926	2022	2036	2112	
1043	3789	0	1825	1870	1883	1945	1948	2038	
1044	3822	11	1909	1958	1969	2042	2050	2137	
1045	3816	0	1811	1855	1870	1940	1943	2030	
1046	3783	0	1783	1828	1840	1904	1908	1997	
1047	3698	0	1643	1685	1700			1810	
1048	3750	13							

ID No.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depth (ft) to top of units					Sal	Revisions
			49'r	Mag	Tam	Cul	ulm		
1049	3660	0	1965	2007	2017	2084	2090	2168	
1050	3664	0	1520	1560	1572			1653	
1051	3399	9						305	
1052		0				90	114	218	
1053		0				120	142	245	Rev loc NW1/4, NW1/4
1054	3402	10	183	223	245	290	310	388	
1055	3529	0	524	576	596	673	692	740	
1056	3577	21	682	745	764	853	873	978	
1057	3559	21	619	685	702	775	796	898	
1058	3526	8	497	565	586	666	683	746	
1059	3576	16	802	906	927	1045	1064	1172	
1060	3974	10	1855	1920	1940	2037	2063	2150	
1061	3815	13	1805	1867	1884	1979	2000	2080	
1062	3723	0	1761	1822	1840	1944	1963	2045	
1063	3743	0	1753	1818	1838	1950	1966	2052	
1064	3703	12	1779	1845	1863	1970	1995	2080	
1065		0	1480	1525	1538	1604	1614	1680	
1066	3759	11	1474	1517	1533	1650	1660	1704	
1067	3744	11	1777	1828	1841	1942	1955	2038	
1068	3702	0	1230	1280	1293	1363	1371	1446	Rev loc NE1/4, NW1/4
1069	3580	0	1220	1257	1272	1320	1325	1397	
1070		0				403		520	Log lacks loc data
1071	3325	18							
1072	3505	19	480	536	553	629	645	713	
1073	3523	16							
1074	3448	16	828	892	915	1052	1068	1170	
1075	3550	16	1121	1184	1207	1370	1387	1495	
1076	3510	15	883	949	972	1112	1128	1236	
1077	3565	15	1163	1248	1274	1404	1422	1524	
1078	3586	7	1372	1440	1464	1623	1648	1756	
1079	3524	0	1103	1172	1194	1343	1362	1470	
1080	3509	0	1076	1140	1165	1314	1335	1450	
1081	3642	19	1485	1560	1580	1752	1770	1887	
1082	3677	21	1743	1814	1830	1994	2009	2110	
1083	3708	7	1590	1654	1674	1832	1854	1957	
1084	3720	10	1567	1639	1660	1827	1847	1949	
1085	3701	0	1973	2048	2067	2208	2220	2315	
1086	3603	0	1005	1050	1062	1135	1143	1218	
1087	3662	0	1985	2058	2073	2210	2227	2330	
1088	3604	0	1465	1505	1516			1671	
1089	3542	0	1427	1470	1480	1551	1559	1635	
1090	3412	20	187					495	
1091	3471	18	200					500	
1092	3472	13	255				410	550	
1093	3468	13	445				608	740	
1094	3487	17	830	895	915	1012	1032	1145	
1095	3432	18	312	402	423	546	561	680	
1096	3309	16	146				291	450	

ID No.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depth (ft) to top of units					Sal	Revisions
			49'r	Mag	Tam	Cul	ulm		
1097	3458	18	345				490	655	
1098	3444	18	200					505	
1099	3177	0	152	175	193	292	318	421	
1100	3250	23	160					502	
1101	3218	22	130				356	485	
1102	3347	0	215	285	310	420	446	531	rev from geoph log
1103	3428	0	449	513	537	631	653	748	Ref El from SAND79-0284
1104	3540	0	538	598	623	713	739	811	
1105	3748	14	1505	1575	1598	1772	1785	1890	
1106	3792	18	1550	1622	1645	1820	1840	1945	
1107	3793	22	1568	1645	1665	1840	1862	1988	
1108	3740	21	1530			1838	1860	1950	
1109	3668	15	1345			1545	1570	1765	
1110	3652	18	1162	1236	1260	1444	1466	1580	
1111	3800	17	1450	1525	1550	1734	1760	1885	
1112	3862	10	1565	1642	1664	1837	1858	2005	Rev loc SE1/4, SE1/4
1113	3861	22	1515	1593	1617	1833	1858	1947	
1114	3834	21	1555	1630	1654	1840	1857	1972	
1115	3679	21	1056	1110	1130	1282	1303	1455	
1116	3798	13	1293	1360	1382	1610	1635	1781	
1117	3504	0							
1118	3662	8	670	732	758	872	896	982	
1119	3780	23	778	837	860	992	1008	1090	
1120	3802	4	1760	1838	1858	2032	2055	2180	
1121	3564	0	1455	1504	1526	1655	1668	1758	Surf El from log
1122	3638	17	1757	1825	1843	1983	1997	2095	
1123	3603	11	1825	1900	1917	2074	2092	2200	
1124		0	1804	1880	1896	2094	2105		
1125		0							
1126	3594	5	1425	1464	1475	1582	1590	1670	Rev loc SE1/4, SW1/4; log elev
1127	3550	0	1420			1572	1579	1660	
1128	3593	10	1426	1466	1478	1583	1589	1670	Rev loc SE1/4, SW1/4; ?DUP 1126
1129	3568	0	1476	1517	1527	1634	1640	1720	
1130	3545	10	1486	1517	1527	1630	1640	1720	
1131	3635	0	1580	1642	1661	1799	1807	1900	
1132	3581	0	1560	1600	1612	1735	1740	1817	
1133	3580	3	1555	1597	1614	1722	1732	1817	
1134		0	1167	1210	1219	1295	1305	1377	
1135		0	1150	1190	1200	1275	1283	1368	
1136	3304	12						495	
1137	3023	0	0	19	36	61	90	166	
1138	2977	0					43	130	
1139	3357	20	315	380	400	495	515	625	
1140	3193	18	170					520	
1141	3221	23	275					600	
1142	3323	0	401	449	468	550	578	677	
1143	3214	1	232	302	328	447	472	565	Rev loc, elev from SAND79-0279
1144	3358	0	387	452	477	576	595	686	

ID No.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depth (ft) to top of units					Sal	Revisions
			49'r	Mag	Tam	Cul	ulm		
1145	3376	0	462	519	544	636	656	747	
1146	3309	23	215					535	
1147	3152	0	12	79	99	187	208	306	
1148		0	395	454	479	572	591	708	
1149	3418	0	641	700	724	828	849	962	
1150	3439	13	676	740	764	857	881	964	
1151	3433	0	657	716	740	834	860	973	
1152	3429	0	639	707	729	817	836	952	Ref elev typo revised
1153	3541	9	668	727	750	848	873	990	
1154	3553	0	780	839	866	957	979	1100	
1155	3508	0	732	784	809	900	922	1041	
1156	3510	0	734	786	812	904	924	1040	
1157	3496	21							
1158	3405	0	517	564	583	704	725	845	
1159	3484	12	641	703	727	825	848	966	
1160	3472	0	623	685	710	808	827	946	
1161	3345	0	426	490	513	608	628	720	
1162	3349	0	427	492	515	612	632		
1163	3382	0	468	528	553	645	665	783	
1164	3457	0	614	674	698	791	810	928	
1165	3426	0	574	630	654	742	763	885	
1166	3417	0	559	617	641	732	752	867	
1167	3433	0	590	647	672	756	777	894	
1168	3420	12	550	608	632	716	739	860	
1169	3506	0	746	799	823	916	938	1058	
1170	3546	0	759	816	839	972	995	1116	
1171	3596	8	755	830	856	1018	1038	1190	
1172	3479	0	626	703	728	912	936	1084	
1173	3508	0	686	758	781	935	957	1084	
1174	3441	0	610	662	685	782	802	928	
1175	3473	8	668	722	745	829	851	977	rev from TME3159 BDR
1176	3478	0	690	748	774	864	884	1008	Rev loc from OFR78-592
1177	3398	0							
1178	3346	0	357	422	446	545	572		Elev from SAND89-0202; ?Cul
1179	3377	0	457	516	542	627	647		
1180	3395	6	509	565	590	680	700	826	
1181	3345	0	359	424	448	546	567	676	
1182	3354	0	358	418	442	540	560	656	
1183	3310	0	232	296	322	420	436	542	
1184	3413	0	566	623	649	740	766		Rev for H11b3; SAND89-0200
1185	3409	0	562	617	644	738	758	880	
1186	3644	10	860	920	942	1100	1125	1275	
1187	3731	11	950	1005	1025	1140	1165	1290	
1188	3701	20	885	940	960	1072	1103	1200	
1189	3696	15	900	955	980	1090	1110	1230	
1190	3620	9	760	835	860	1070	1090	1245	
1191	3640	19	835	895	920	1080	1110	1240	
1192	3687	12	870	935	960	1100	1125	1265	

ID No.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depth (ft) to top of units						Sal	Revisions
			49'r	Mag	Tam	Cul	ulm	Sal		
1193	3789	25	1048	1115	1140	1285	1315	1462		
1194	3756	0	1145	1210	1230	1430	1460	1608		
1195	3640	13	1715	1785	1805	2000	2030	2160		
1196	3537	0	1600	1682	1700	1918	1942	2092	Elev from Richey (1989); KB??	
1197	3573	0	1690	1778	1795	2005	2032	2185		
1198	3611	18	1822	1900	1915	2102	2112	2225		
1199	3613	7	1856	1936	1952	2148	2160	2285		
1200	3611	11	1860	1935	1955	2142	2175	2300		
1201	3623	1								
1202	3581	13	1810	1885	1905	2110	2142	2275		
1203	3610	0	1877	1960	1979	2180	2193			
1204	3533	22	1920	2005	2020	2290	2322	2470		
1205	3571	10	1815	1890	1910	2130	2165	2292		
1206		0	1755	1840	1855	2098	2108	2210		
1207		0	1770	1860	1877	2125	2142	2275		
1208		13	1560	1603	1611	1724	1735	1825		
1209	3571	0	1560	1603	1612	1722	1734	1822		
1210	3587	0	1567	1610	1620	1728	1739	1825		
1211	3552	0	1465	1508	1517	1632	1641	1725		
1212	3540	0	1424	1472	1480	1590	1600	1687		
1213	3560	0	1475	1517	1528	1637	1645	1735		
1214	3582	18	1360	1420	1430	1572	1588	1685		
1215	3589	11	1602	1678	1700	1875	1890	2008		
1216	3507	0	1535	1578	1588	1700	1715	1805		
1217	3498	0	1360	1415	1427	1562	1575	1683		
1218	3469	0	1422	1466	1474	1584	1594	1684		
1219	3410	0	1125	1170	1180	1295	1305	1385	Rev loc NW1/4, SW1/4	
1220	3405	0	1130	1173	1182			1360		
1221	3316	0	1190					1408		
1222	3337	0	1123	1160	1170	1259	1268	1350		
1223		10								
1224		0								
1225	3045	18								
1226	2996	19								
1227	3027	12						212		
1228	3014	12						195		
1229	3024	19						212		
1230	3163	0	87	117	140	237	274	283		
1231	3197	24						425		
1232	3028	12						217		
1233	3215	15							?DUP 1234	
1234	3215	15								
1235	3290	23	150	210	235	330	353	470	DWP reinterp log 9/20/94	
1236	3384	13		378	397	489	517	640	Rev from BDR: SAND79-0271	
1237		0	310					710		
1238	3453	10	623	700	722	891	917	1068	rev from geoph log	
1239	3340	0	382	438	463	562	582	713	Ref elev from OFR78-592	
1240	3336	0	391	450	477	565	587	715		

A-42  
Information Only

ID No.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depth (ft) to top of units						Sal	Revisions
			49'r	Mag	Tam	Cul	ulm			
1241	3335	0	315	377	403	493	515	626		
1242	3332	0	312	373	398	500	521	627		
1243	3328	8	363	375	414	469	487	653	Data from WTSD-TME-020	
1244	3323	0	316	376	402	503	523	642		
1245	3492	10	742	809	833	968	992	1118		
1246	3511	27	794	850	876	968	990	1100		
1247	3426	0	621	678	703	825	849	976	Rev from BDR; SAND90-0201	
1248	3381	23	476			657	682	808		
1249	3374	9	460	520	542	645	663	797		
1250	3452	22	763	817	841	942	968	1092		
1251	3461	11	775	830	850	945	978	1090		
1252	3506	21	865	922	940	1050	1072	1190		
1253	3454	10	750	810	830	927	950	1063		
1254	3464	27	758	814	835	922	955	1070		
1255	3459	12	750	806	825	920	945	1060	Rev elev from geophys log	
1256	3402	12	497	560	585	680	705	837		
1257	3374	22								
1258	3358	8	360	430	450	570	587	695		
1259	3392	8	430	495	520	622	648	816		
1260		7	780	835	860	950	975	1095	sw1/4,sw1/4 converted	
1261	3727	12	1155	1213	1235	1420	1445	1584		
1262	3699	11	1140	1195	1215	1383	1405	1545		
1263	3723	5	1195	1275	1294	1505	1529	1680		
1264	3722	12	1178	1243	1263	1473	1500	1640		
1265	3622	21	1025	1085	1110	1215	1245			
1266	3697	11	1210	1262	1285	1368	1392	1512	Grnd elev from topo map	
1267	3699	14	1217	1270	1294	1376	1402			
1268	3701	10	1163	1215	1240	1377	1401	1517		
1269	3720	10	1225	1294	1315	1526	1557	1710		
1270	3725	13	1235	1308	1325	1532	1570	1727		
1271	3700	13	1208	1280	1300	1510	1532	1683		
1272	3720	10	1212	1282	1302	1520	1544	1695		
1273	3698	12	1225	1295	1315	1500	1525	1678		
1274	3705	9	1215	1288	1306	1512	1532	1680		
1275	3659	11	1222	1292	1312	1472	1493	1630	Ref elev rev from log	
1276	3713	12	1225	1296	1312	1496	1520	1667		
1277	3687	0	1180	1236	1258	1385	1415	1535		
1278	3551	8	862	923	945	1050	1078	1206		
1279	3666	8	1200	1260	1285	1355	1386	1520		
1280	3629	9	1170	1230	1250	1365	1387	1508		
1281	3671	11	1187	1260	1278	1453	1472	1623	Ref elev rev from log	
1282	3694	12	1206	1282	1298	1483	1506	1657		
1283	3676	11		1290	1308	1507	1527	1675	Ref elev rev from log	
1284	3700	8	1215	1281	1302	1475	1495	1635		
1285	3692	12	1205	1275	1293	1475	1498	1653	Ref elev rev from log	
1286	3663	8	1200	1263	1282	1425	1448	1567		
1287	3689	11	1193	1262	1280	1453	1475	1623		
1288	3669	11	1210	1282	1300	1490	1512	1655		

ID No.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depth (ft) to top of units					Sal	Revisions
			49'r	Mag	Tam	Cul	ulm		
1289	3630	8	1183	1233	1252	1355	1387	1505	
1290	3695	8	1207	1276	1295	1496	1526	1670	Ref elev rev from log
1291	3689	0	1210	1280	1296	1493	1517	1680	
1292	3664	11	1206	1278	1297	1495	1517	1673	
1293	3636	11	1160	1240	1260	1485	1507	1657	
1294	3704	10	1260	1340	1355	1600	1622	1772	
1295	3722	11	1270	1350	1367	1588	1612	1757	
1296	3715	12	1268	1350	1367	1590	1615	1773	
1297	3722	11	1230	1310	1328	1545	1568	1710	
1298	3726	9	1290	1370	1387	1610	1635		
1299	3711	13	1230	1310	1327	1550	1571	1732	
1300	3720	13	1233	1310	1330	1550	1573	1735	
1301	3713	13	1222	1296	1313	1530	1553	1710	
1302	3703	11	1225	1303	1320	1541	1568	1720	
1303	3713	25	1285	1364	1385	1610	1634	1790	
1304	3701	12	1280	1358	1376	1597	1620	1785	
1305		11	1252	1333	1349	1577	1600	1760	
1306	3683	8	1268	1343	1360	1582	1606	1770	
1307	3659	0	1310	1395	1415	1650	1672	1815	
1308	3533	0	988	1066	1084	1310	1330	1469	
1309	3555	19	1095	1182	1200	1425	1455	1605	
1310	3425	14	912	984	1006			1275	
1311	3490	22	944					1400	
1312	3515	0	1625	1708	1723	1888	1903	2030	
1313	3494	9	1655	1725	1740	1885	1900	2020	
1314		0	1393	1437	1446	1570	1577	1663	
1315	3468	0		1625	1635	1824	1837	1950	
1316	3459	0	1593	1672	1683				
1317	3324	0	1143	1176	1188	1244	1252	1337	
1318	3383	0	1262					1493	
1319	3317	0	1090	1130	1137	1192	1200	1287	
1320	3317	0	1047	1086	1097	1154	1165	1228	
1321	3282	11	1365	1410	1418	1522	1531	1620	
1322	3320	9	1168	1214	1219	1329	1340	1435	
1323	2968	10							
1324	2984	0							
1325		6							
1326		0							
1327		4							
1328		6							
1329	2969	28							
1330	2941	11							
1331	2997	18							
1332	2924	11	450					696	
1333	3447	12	370			470	493	660	
1334	3179	11							
1335	3433	0	400	467	492	589	614		
1336	3429	12	473	552	572	650	675	840	

A-44  
Information Only

ID No.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depth (ft) to top of units						Revisions
			49'r	Mag	Tam	Cul	ulm	Sal	
1337	3266	5							
1338	3502	23	853	912	935	1065	1095	1250	
1339	3500	9	676	750	762	852	880	1010	
1340	3432	2		578	600	696	725	835	
1341	3436	10	485	553	573	688	715	832	
1342	3406	0	465	535	565	665	687	791	
1343	3414	10	453	522	545	648	675	812	
1344	3430	28	455	528	548	658	680	805	
1345	3438	23	478	550	573	700	720	826	
1346	3535	11	600	670	692	790	810	960	
1347	3528	13	768	826	850	986	1010	1130	
1348	3553	13	762	819	843	936	959	1098	
1349	3553	4	665	730	755	850	873	1025	Ref elev calc from log
1350	3514	10	576	645	667	780	795	915	
1351	3530	11	605	670	690	800	820	965	
1352	3490	12	530	597	619	729	750	895	
1353	3535	11	693			792	813	923	
1354	3551	12	695	755	775	872	895	1017	
1355	3502	23	595						
1356	3508	25	628	702	722	828	855	1010	
1357	3620	10	1183	1252	1269	1410	1433	1562	
1358	3623	12							
1359	3632	11	1185	1239	1255	1348	1371	1500	
1360	3631	11	1172	1238	1255	1388	1408	1533	
1361	3584	8	888	947	965	1090	1116	1246	
1362	3628	10	1088	1138	1161	1248	1270	1394	
1363	3615	11							
1364		8							
1365	3637	14							
1366	3637	12							
1367	3637	11	1192	1247	1264	1352	1372	1504	
1368	3640	11	1157	1210	1227	1352	1378	1502	
1369	3606	11							Loc rev from geoph log
1370	3600	10	1204	1254	1270				
1371	3605	9	1130	1201	1220	1347	1370	1495	Ref elev rev from log
1372	3586	0	1187	1238	1260	1352	1371	1503	
1373	3599	9							
1374	3628	8	1132	1184	1204	1295	1315	1445	
1375	3588	10	1122	1182	1200	1283	1308	1437	
1376	3591	9	1130	1190	1210	1301	1323	1456	
1377	3624	10	1142	1199	1218	1309	1327	1453	
1378	3606	10	1122	1180	1198	1287	1306	1438	
1379	3591	10	1110	1158	1180	1275	1298	1428	
1380	3602	12	1025	1083	1102	1191	1208	1340	
1381	3622	10	1065	1126	1145	1239	1258	1396	
1382	3608	11	1052	1106	1122	1213	1235	1368	
1383	3605	10	1070	1132	1151	1243	1265	1400	Ref elev rev from log
1384	3618	12	998	1053	1072	1165	1188	1312	

ID No.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depth (ft) to top of units					Sal	Revisions
			49'r	Mag	Tam	Cul	ulm		
1385	3607	11	1060	1114	1132	1222	1243	1375	
1386	3604	10	1062	1120	1140	1226	1248	1383	
1387	3602	11	1066	1125	1140	1225	1246	1384	
1388	3591	9	1047	1100	1118	1201	1223	1362	
1389	3609	8	1093	1150	1170	1261	1284	1415	
1390	3605	10	1088	1148	1168	1253	1275	1412	
1391	3589	11	1008	1063	1082	1174	1197	1323	
1392	3554	19	746	806	829	928	953	1070	
1393	3510	10	830	890	910	1002	1025	1145	
1394	3519	11	881	940	960	1052	1075	1190	
1395	3524	12	906	962	980	1070	1090	1239	
1396	3625	19	1278	1367	1382	1600	1632	1806	
1397	3606	8	1187	1255	1272	1452	1475	1635	
1398	3598	8	1174	1246	1265	1445	1467	1612	
1399	3603	10	1204	1257	1276	1440	1465	1595	
1400	3590	11	1184	1257	1273	1452	1475	1635	
1401	3636	10	1203	1270	1290	1468	1490	1647	
1402	3547	14	1225	1295	1310	1462	1485	1620	
1403	3578	11	1194	1270	1287	1465	1486	1630	
1404	3637	8	1215	1291	1308	1520	1542	1700	
1405	3598	11	1245	1330	1347	1600	1613	1785	
1406	3554	10	1190	1250	1267	1385	1409	1540	
1407	3592	11	1205	1282	1297	1485	1503	1676	
1408	3540	11	1140	1212	1228	1335	1357	1495	
1409	3594	12	1220	1289	1309	1502	1527	1735	
1410	3502	11	1186	1259	1275	1458	1481	1621	
1411	3525	10	1146	1206	1224	1314	1332	1492	
1412	3556	10	1070	1120	1138	1240	1260	1410	
1413	3524	11	1063	1122	1141	1228	1250	1392	
1414		11	1163	1230	1243	1513	1535	1695	
1415	3447	10				995	1012	1125	
1416	3567	19	1045	1122	1139	1368	1392	1537	
1417	3619	19	1185	1263	1282	1517	1540	1690	
1418	3630	0	1245	1327	1345	1573	1598	1760	
1419	3570	18	1134	1215	1230	1455	1482	1660	
1420	3488	33	835	900	920	1005	1025	1085	
1421	3378	19	820	890	905	1040	1062	1186	
1422	3295	9	1155	1197	1210	1311	1320		
1423	3156	0	1202					1482	
1424	2997	19							
1425	2985	2				147	182	340	
1426	2921	2							
1427	2923	9							
1428	3118	17	670					945	
1429	3041	1						775	
1430	3078	16	680					905	
1431	3044	0	175					530	Ref elev rev from log
1432	2990	2	115					414	

ID No.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depth (ft) to top of units					Sal	Revisions
			49'r	Mag	Tam	Cul	ulm		
1433	2936	12							
1434	2945	12	260					393	
1435	3333	9					816	923	
1436	3273	13	848	915	937	1029	1041	1096	
1437	3283	12	888					1014	
1438	3222	9				560	597	760	Grnd el from topo; ref is +9ft
1439	3210	11	970			1078	1094	1255	Ref el from log; topo differs
1440	3200	11	745					915	Ref el rev from geoph log; ?DUP 1439
1441	3197	10	678					790	
1442	3210	10	970					1100	
1443	3192	0	699			820	848	880	Ref el from topo; KB not given
1444	3282	2	900					1235	
1445	3317	14	780	799	809	878	900	1050	
1446	3210	11	595					786	
1447	3219	9	640					898	
1448	3207	11	440	502	524	613	638		
1449	3217	7							
1450	3209	11	498	560	584	663	686	829	
1451	3186	10	725	782	797	886	908	1075	
1452	3192	11	1050					1205	
1453	3207	11	1053			1360	1375	1542	
1454	3209	12	880					1100	
1455	3203	10	756			820		942	
1456	3184	8	608					840	
1457	3204	8	675					915	
1458	3252	5	1178	1194	1208	1270	1283	1373	
1459	3336	11	1165			1243	1275		
1460	3476	21	715			842	870	1012	
1461	3348	2	820	890	915	1010	1032	1123	
1462	3358	8	360	427	450	560	588	697	
1463	3319	9	1325					1622	
1464	3486	11	803	863	882	978	1004	1127	
1465	3461	10	790	855	875	983	1006	1160	Grnd el 3451 from topo map
1466	3461	9	756	820	848	940	967	1104	
1467	3460	11	782	845	866	973	996	1122	
1468	3472	10	787	847	868	965	993	1130	
1469	3478	10	792	850	872	968	993	1127	
1470	3480	19	791	853	872	972	999	1140	
1471	3477	9	780	840	862	964	990	1125	
1472	3464	9	785	848	868	968	993	1118	
1473	3454	0	774	833	854	962	987	1123	Grnd el 3454 from topo, no KB
1474	3459	10	794	860	880	982	1007	1140	Grnd el 3449 from topo map
1475	3455	10	780	845	865	963	988	1120	Grnd el 3445 from topo map
1476	3458	10	793	858	878	980	1005	1147	Grnd el 3448 from topo map
1477	3470	11	793	850	872	972	993	1140	
1478	3410	10	837	899	923	1021	1044	1177	Loc rev from SW1/4, SE1/4
1479	3468	10	808	876	905	991	1013	1140	
1480	3445	11	762	825	848	949	972	1103	

ID No.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	49'r	Depth (ft) to top of units				Sal	Revisions
				Mag	Tam	Cul	ulm		
1481	3454	11	787	852	875	976	998	1132	
1482	3455	8	773	840	862	962	982	1133	
1483	3427	10	765	828	848	953	982	1137	
1484	3451	10	787	854	875	985	1003	1158	Grnd el 3441 from topo, +KB
1485	3438	10	782	843	863	968	992	1145	Grnd el 3428 from topo, +KB
1486	3451	11	780	843	865	963	993	1144	
1487	3453	10	780	845	867	966	990	1140	Grnd el 3443 from topo, +KB
1488	3453	10	792	858	878	983	1008	1158	Grnd el 3443 from topo, +KB
1489	3441	11	776	841	862	942	965	1138	
1490	3447	10	769	834	855	962	983	1137	
1491	3443	10	787	854	875	982	1003	1165	
1492	3441	10	780	846	867	973	995	1150	Grnd el 3431 from topo; +KB
1493	3421	9	695	758	779	902	927	1095	
1494	3444	9	763	827	850	960	983	1140	
1495	3423	10	743	805	823	915	938	1098	
1496	3439	9	769	833	856	955	980	1137	
1497	3437	11	745	810	832	942	960	1120	
1498	3426	12	715	778	800	898	922	1077	
1499	3458	11	766	828	852	962	984	1157	
1500	3411	10	697	765	786	895	918	1075	
1501	3434	11	742	813	835	940	961	1110	
1502	3444	13	760	822	844	952	972	1128	
1503	3434	9	727	795	816	936	960	1115	
1504	3433	9	772	833	852	953	976	1125	
1505	3443	8	768	836	855	961	983	1130	Ref el rev from log
1506	3431	20	607	668	692	803	822	940	
1507	3438	16	643	705	727	836	857	1015	
1508	3394	13	730	790	812	905	932	1086	
1509	3403	11	740	811	834	938	967	1115	Grnd el 3392 from topo, +KB
1510	3398	19	747	800	822	931	958	1117	
1511	3396	12	726	787	810	916	938	1100	
1512		0	726	792	813	927	953	1106	Grnd el 3400 from log, no KB
1513	3414	12	713	784	803	907	934	1097	
1514	3406	11	737	802	823	943	966	1116	
1515	3413	13	752	815	835	947	975	1125	
1516	3422	10	738	806	826	941	967	1125	
1517	3404	13	775	842	863	962	985	1148	
1518	3382	13	755	812	835	943	962	1112	
1519	3400	11	756	816	836	952	978	1132	
1520	3404	11	707	770	792	894	917	1073	
1521	3408	9	692	756	776	877	905	1062	
1522	3421	12	720	782	806	918	943	1109	
1523	3428	9	730	804	828	945	970	1130	
1524	3430	11	768	845	865	948	973	1128	
1525	3409	13	746	810	832	930	957	1117	Ref elev rev from log
1526	3419	10	748	812	835	942	966	1130	Grnd el 3409 from topo, +KB
1527	3419	9	738	800	825	933	959	1115	
1528	3411	10	747	810	832	935	960	1117	

ID No.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	49'r	Depth (ft) to top of units				Sal	Revisions
				Mag	Tam	Cul	ulm		
1529	3421	9	770	825	860	953	977	1142	
1530	3414	10	750	816	837	950	973	1130	
1531	3429	11	748	808	834	930	955	1085	
1532	3430	10	773	838	861	970	993	1155	
1533	3391	11	755	823	845	960	988	1130	
1534	3392	10	785	856	875	984	1008	1170	
1535	3388	9	738	802	822	930	953	1118	
1536	3375	10	900	958	977	1072	1097		
1537	3398	10	782	850	870	960	985	1140	
1538	3386	9	813	875	895	993	1018	1178	
1539	3382	10	818	875	895	993	1018	1175	
1540	3386	10	768	832	855	960	987	1148	
1541	3414	10	868	930	950	1040	1063	1230	
1542	3370	11	957	1023	1042	1140	1166	1335	
1543	3356	9	923	988	1012	1100	1132	1310	
1544	3366	11	1142	1202	1224	1315	1347	1485	
1545	3311	2	1072	1132	1150	1242	1270	1417	
1546	3307	10	1075	1130	1153	1238	1263	1412	
1547	3349	11	935	995	1020	1128	1155	1297	Ref el rev from log
1548	3332	9	1055	1116	1142	1250	1277	1440	
1549		0	1045	1112	1128	1225	1250	1405	DF 3354 log; grnd el 3346 from topo
1550	3490	10	1200	1255	1268	1506	1530	1673	
1551	3478	11	1106	1168	1186	1258	1292	1433	
1552	3456	11	1065	1120	1140	1259	1285	1428	
1553	3424	11	1138	1195	1213	1372	1395	1550	
1554	3497	8	985	1038	1057	1150	1180	1312	
1555	3431	10	1012	1077	1095	1183	1210	1347	
1556		0	1042	1098	1117	1207	1232	1374	
1557	3392	34	1006	1075	1092	1184	1209	1335	
1558	3353	11	1060	1114	1130	1227	1250	1410	
1559	3358	9	1045	1117	1136	1283	1310	1463	
1560	3342	12	1047	1105	1127	1258	1285	1435	
1561	3332	11	1045	1108	1125	1210	1237	1395	
1562	3320	12	1023	1082	1098	1213	1237	1387	
1563	3353	10	975	1038	1053	1143	1170	1315	
1564	3344	11	1002	1056	1074	1167	1188	1339	
1565	3422	9	995	1060	1083	1171	1196	1385	
1566	3386	12	742	800	824	927	951	1096	
1567	3332	0	875	927	945	1042	1067	1192	
1568	3391	13	900	956	971	1070	1096	1224	
1569	3325	7	965	1025	1045	1170	1197	1334	
1570	3346	11	1038	1102	1117	1250	1277	1432	
1571	3346	12	1023	1085	1104	1260	1287	1437	
1572	3339	0	852	905	925	1083	1119	1265	
1573	3163	10	917					1095	
1574	3143	1	1030					1290	
1575	3123	0	870	912	920	980	990	1068	
1576	3115	10	873	913	919	979	992	1065	

ID No.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depth (ft) to top of units					Sal	Revisions
			49'r	Mag	Tam	Cul	ulm		
1577	3087	0	790	830	838	906	915	973	
1578		0							
1579	3012	4							
1580	2965	10	200				263	375	
1581	2975	11	315			452	477	600	
1582	2978	8	700				858		
1583	2993	8	502	570	594	682	718	790	
1584	2953	8					238	388	
1585	2964	14						512	
1586	2912	7					190	330	
1587	2900	12					240	360	
1588	2972	9							
1589	2875	9							
1590	2892	10						414	
1591	3201	1	1260	1320	1347	1440	1462	1545	
1592	3165	10	1304	1375	1402	1502	1530	1632	
1593	3179	9	1046	1115	1133	1225	1257		Ref el 3179, 3180 from log
1594	3059	8	815			948	978	1162	
1595	3090	10							
1596	3210	10	950	1012	1037	1125	1165	1294	
1597	3059	9							
1598		9	455			688	711	850	
1599	2982	10							
1600	3220	0	1021	1080	1103	1209	1234	1300	topfnr revised 9/27/94
1601		0	1003	1044	1068	1147	1178	1267	
1602	3238	9	1000	1050	1062	1078	1103	1202	
1603	3225	32							
1604		0	920			1093	1115	1245	
1605	3282	11	1388	1445	1460	1542	1567	1685	
1606	3177	10	589	650	667	768	798	956	Loc rev from NE1/4, SE1/4
1607	3180	0	1280	1335	1350	1453	1467	1595	
1608	3133	11	583	643	662	770	794	963	
1609	3122	11	625	687	708	828	855	1020	
1610	3113	11	623	684	702	830	847	1006	
1611	3130	11	633	698	717	852	874	1034	
1612	3122	11	600	665	682	805	825	987	
1613	3132	11	587	653	672	792	813	967	Ref el rev from log
1614	3124	11	590	648	664	780	802	967	
1615	3134	12	593	656	676	798	816	975	Grnd el 3122 from topo, +KB
1616	3130	19	623	690	708	824	848	1005	
1617	3131	9	595	670	687	807	828	994	
1618	3113	11	540	600	620	745	765	930	
1619	3264	10	740	808	826	930	956	1095	
1620	3122	11	659	727	746	876	897	1055	
1621	3414	10	856	915	926	1052	1084	1235	
1622	3392	11	744	810	830	943	972	1115	
1623	3332	0	635	699	714	833	862	1005	
1624		9	1054	1102	1117	1152	1168	1203	

ID No.	Reference Elevation	KB (ft)	Depth (ft) to top of units					Sal	Revisions
			49'r	Mag	Tam	Cul	ulm		
1625		0	983	1034	1045	1145	1156	1265	
1626	3013	0	932	972	981			1163	
1627	3032	0	970			1082	1102	1194	
1628	3853	17	1905	1983	2002	2202	2217	2331	
1629	3741	26	1422	1503	1523	1716	1737	1878	
1630	3480	0	692	748	773	859	885		
1631	3659	9	1164	1225	1248	1467	1490	1638	
1632	3572	22	1155	1210	1230	1340	1362	1467	
1633	3645	11	922	986	1008	1112	1137	1227	
1634	3094	12	266					594	
1635	3612	24	1322	1403	1422	1648	1673	1840	
1636	3506	20	1170	1226	1242	1392	1416	1550	
1637	2968	8						240	
1638	3456	11							
1639	3726	12	1212	1288	1308	1533	1558	1708	
1640		2	400	520	545	722	753	1105	
1641	3410	0	532	590	616	703	724	842	Data from BDR SAND89-0203
1642	3384	0	509	564	591	706	731		Data from BDR SAND89-0204
1643	3413	0	506	571	594	689	713	821	Data from BDR SAND89-0204

All drillholes within the Rustler data base were included, even if parts or all of the Rustler were uninterpretable. Drillhole location, names, and other data are presented in tables of Rustler location data and can be cross-indexed with the identification number.

**APPENDIX A-4**  
**TABLE OF DATA FOR DEPTHS TO DEWEY LAKE**  
**AND SANTA ROSA FORMATIONS**

**Information Only**

## Table of Data for Depth to Dewey Lake and Santa Rosa Formations

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	Rustler Fm	DEPTH (in feet) to Top of:		
			Dewey Lake	Santa Rosa	"Chinle"
1072		480	130	0	0
1074	3448	828	520	355	
1075		1121	625	400	
1076	3510	883	450	230	
1077		1163	730	520	
1078		1372	905	690	
1079	3524	1103	635	415	100
1080	3509	1076	610	370	
1090	3412	187	90		
1091	3471	200	70		
1092	3472	255	40		
1093	3468	445	50		
1094	3487	830	620	510	10
1095		312	60		
1096	3309	146	30		
1099	3177	152	79		
1102	3347				
1103	3427	449	0		
1104	3540	538	72	17	
1105	3748	1505	1035	805	310
1106	3792	1550	1065	830	345
1107	3793	1568	1050	820	455
1108	3740	1530	1040	750	400
1109	3668	1345	840	565	
1110		1162	625	440	
1111	3800	1450	855	615	360
1112	3862	1565	985	825	
1113	3861	1515	1030	800	
1114	3834	1555	1055	790	
1115	3679	1056	580		
1118	3662	670	115		
1119		778	250		
1120	3802	1760	1275	1015	355
1137	3023				
1138	2977				
1141	3221	275	40		
1142	3323	401	44		
1143	3212	232	17		
1144	3358	387	42		
1145	3376	462	8		
1147	3152	12			
1148		395	20		
1149	3418	641	120		
1150	3439	676	174	42	
1151	3433	657	154	11	

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	Rustler Fm	DEPTH (in feet) to Top of:		
			Dewey Lake	Santa Rosa	"Chinle"
1152	3249	639	141		15
1153	3541	668	177		35
1154	3553	780	261		6
1155	3508	732	224		8
1156	3510	734	225		8
1158	3405	517	80		
1159	3484	641	168		30
1160	3472	623	146		12
1161	3345	426	38		
1162	3349	427			
1163	3382	468	41		
1164	3457	614	140		8
1165	3426	574	80		
1166	3417	559	73		40
1167	3433	590	96		14
1168	3420	550	63		54
1169	3506	746	224		9
1170	3546	759	232		8
1172	3479	626	87		9
1173	3508	686	151		8
1174	3441	610	99		8
1175	3473	668	133		46
1176	3478	690	164		38
1177	3398				
1178	3345	357	35		
1179	3377	457	32		
1180	3395	509			
1181	3345	359	40		
1182	3354	358	18		
1183	3310	232	32		
1184	3409	557	162		36
1185	3409	562	66		11
1186	3644	860	394		
1187	3731	950	450		90
1188	3701	885	530		
1189	3696	900	395		
1190	3620	760	240		10
1191	3640	835	330		
1192	3487	870	330		37
1193	3789	1048	513		
1194	3756	1145	625		
1230	3163	87	57		
1237	3426	310	110		
1238		623	62		
1239	3339	382	46		
1240	3336	391	38		

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	Rustler Fm	DEPTH (in feet) to Top of:		
			Dewey Lake	Santa Rosa	"Chinle"
1241	3335	315			
1242	3332	312	45		
1244	3323	316	32		
1245		742	190		
1247	3425	621	70		
1248		476	64		
1249	3374	460	44		
1250		763	215	25	
1251	3461	775	210	135	
1252	3506	865	620		
1253	3454	750	190		
1254	3464	758	630		
1255	3458	750	120		
1256	3402	497	140		
1259		430	50		
1260		780	215		
1261	3727	1155	542	400	
1262	3699	1140	582	370	
1263	3723	1195	655	390	
1264	3722	1178	637	370	
1265	3622	1025	480	275	
1266	3696	1210	665	445	
1267	3699	1217	670	465	
1268	3701	1163	615	405	
1269	3720	1225	693	450	
1270	3725	1235	700	535	
1271	3700	1208	655	480	
1272	3720	1212	660	360	
1273	3698	1225	673	455	
1274	3705	1215	665	448	
1275	3658	1222	670	452	
1276	3713	1225	670	446	
1277	3687	1180	630	408	
1278	3551	862	330		
1279	3666	1200	640	420	
1280	3629	1170	630	445	
1281	3670	1187	635	408	
1282	3694	1206	640	420	
1283	3675		590	360	
1284	3700	1215	655	413	
1285	3691	1205	655	445	
1286	3663	1200	655	430	
1287	3689	1193	625	395	
1288	3669	1210	652	430	
1289	3630	1183	640	460	
1290	3694	1207	660	440	
1291	3689	1210	727		

A-51  
Information Only

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	Rustler Fm	DEPTH (in feet) to Top of:		
			Dewey Lake	Santa Rosa	"Chinle"
1292	3664	1206	650		420
1293	3636	1160	637		365
1294	3704	1260	790		496
1295	3722	1270	740		504
1296	3715	1268	730		506
1297	3722	1230	700		475
1298	3726	1290	745		525
1299	3711	1230	695		455
1300	3720	1233	695		470
1301	3713	1222	690		440
1302	3703	1225	690		445
1303	3713	1285	700		
1304	3701	1280	705		500
1305		1252	705		480
1306	3686	1268	715		490
1307	3659	1310	735		540
1332	2924	450	20		
1333		370	205		
1335	3433	400	155		65
1338	3502	853	290		
1341	3436	485	30		
1342	3406	465			
1343	3414	453	70		
1344	3430	455	70		
1345	3438	478	145		
1346	3535	600	155		
1347		768	210		
1348		762	250		
1349	3553	665	235		
1350	3514	576	120		
1351	3530	605	95		
1352	3490	530	165		85
1353	3535	693	145		
1354	3551	695	165		
1355	3502	595	150		
1356	3508	628	270		
1357	3620	1183	635		455
1359	3632	1185	615		435
1360	3631	1172	555		380
1361	3584	888	350		140
1362	3628	1088	580		405
1367	3637	1192	635		425
1368	3640	1157	595		380
1370	3600	1204	635		410
1371		1130	590		350
1372	3586	1187	605		390
1374	3628	1132	520		375
1375	3688	1122	510		305

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	Rustler Fm	DEPTH (in feet) to Top of:		
			Dewey Lake	Santa Rosa	"Chinle"
1376	3591	1130	510		295
1377	3624	1142	585		370
1378	3606	1122	585		315
1379	3591	1110	500		260
1380	3602	1025	475		240
1381	3622	1065	495		265
1382	3608	1052	490		315
1383	3604	1070	505		305
1384	3618	998	450		225
1385	3607	1060	485		300
1386	3604	1062	490		305
1387	3602	1066	495		280
1388	3591	1047	475		270
1389	3609	1093	520		340
1390	3605	1088	505		295
1391		1008	440		
1392		746	360		
1393	3510	830	295		
1394	3619	881	425		305
1395		906	405		
1396	3625	1278	820		650
1397	3606	1187	630		410
1398	3598	1174	635		405
1403	3578	1194	620		390
1404	3637	1215	635		410
1405	3598	1245	670		445
1406	3554	1190	605		395
1407	3592	1205	620		385
1408	3540	1140	555		315
1410	3502	1186	585		355
1411	3525	1146	570		345
1412	3556	1070	475		265
1413	3524	1063	495		265
1414		1163	665		340
1436	3273	848	570		
1437	3283	888	485		
1440	3199	745	405		
1441	3197	678	350		
1443	3190	699	365		
1444	3282	900	400		200
1445	3317	780	360		220
1446	3210	595	360		195
1447	3219	640	280		
1448		440	230		
1450		498	230		
1451	3186	725	470		380
1452	3192	1050	705		
1453	3207	1053			

Information Only

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	Rustler Fm	DEPTH (in feet) to Top of:		
			Dewey Lake	Santa Rosa	"Chinle"
1454	3209	880	330		
1455		756	300	190	
1456	3184	608	265		
1457	3204	675	375		
1458	3252	1178	595	305	
1459		1165			
1460	3476	715	345		
1461	3348	820	285		
1463	3319	1325	660	390	
1464	3486	803	280		
1465		790	250		
1466	3461	756	225		
1468	3472	787	260		
1471	3477	780	255		
1472	3464	785	255		
1473		774	240		
1474		794	250		
1477	3470	793	260		
1478	3410	837	300		
1479	3468	808	270		
1480	3445	762	210		
1481	3454	787	230		
1482	3455	773	215		
1486	3451	780	235		
1487		780	240		
1488		792	210		
1491	3443	787	240		
1492		780	225		
1495	3423	743	210		
1496	3439	769	220		
1499	3458	766	185		
1500	3411	697	215		
1501	3434	742	190		
1502	3444	760	215		
1503	3434	727	210		
1506	3431	607	190		
1507	3438	643	225		
1508	3394	730	220		
1509		740	145		
1512		726	215		
1513	3414	713	220		
1514	3406	737	170		
1515	3413	752	190		
1517	3404	775	195		
1522	3421	720	165		
1524	3430	768	210		
1526		748	160		
1527	3419	738	160		

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	Rustler Fm	DEPTH (in feet) to Top of:		
			Dewey Lake	Santa Rosa	"Chinle"
1528	3411	747	185		
1529	3421	770	200		
1530		770	140		
1531	3429	748	190		
1532	3430	773	175		
1533	3391	755	235		
1534	3392	785	215		
1535	3388	738	175		
1536	3375	900	225		
1537	3398	782	215		
1538	3386	813	255		
1539	3382	818	255		
1540	3386	768	205		
1541	3414	868	315		
1542	3370	957	385	125	
1543	3356	923	410	360	
1544	3366	1142	560		
1545	3311	1072	565	330	
1546	3307	1075	585	380	
1547	3348	935	350		
1548	3332	1055	700		
1549	3354	1045	450		
1550	3490	1200	580	320	
1551	3478	1106	525	270	
1552	3456	1065	460	255	
1553	3424	1138	530	270	
1554	3497	985	425	165	
1555	3431	1012	410	165	
1556		1042	430	200	
1557	3392	1006	385	180	
1558	3353	1060	465	230	
1559	3358	1045	445	205	
1560	3342	1047	455	255	
1561	3332	1045	410	200	
1562	3320	1023	520	225	
1563	3353	975	382	190	
1564	3344	1002	410	180	
1565	3422	995	390	200	
1566	3386	742	150		
1567	3332	875	285		
1568	3391	900	360	160	
1569	3325	965	360	165	
1570	3346	1038	445	220	
1580		200	20		
1581		315	130		
1582		700	220		
1591		1260	815	695	
1592		1304	845	755	

Borehole ID no.	Reference Elevation	Rustler Fm	DEPTH (in feet) to Top of:		
			Dewey Lake	Santa Rosa	"Chinle"
1593		1048	530		
1594	3059	815	135		
1596		950	700	530	150
1598		605	455		
1600		1021	450	275	
1601		1003	605	445	
1602		1000			
1604		920	575	385	
1605	3282	1388	815	550	
1606	3177	589	50		
1607	3180	1280	860	685	
1608	3133	583	80		
1609	3122	625	85		
1611	3130	633	80		
1612	3122	600	95		
1613	3131	587	75		
1614	3124	590	95		
1615		593	85		
1616	3130	623	100		
1617	3131	595	80		
1618	3113	540	95		
1619	3264	740	125		
1620	3122	659	75		
1628		1905	1415	1160	190
1629		1422	895	670	
1630		693	170	90	
1631		1164	636		
1632		1155	560		
1633		922	460		
1634		266	55		
1635		1322	750	520	80
1636		1170	670		
1639		1212	670		
1640		400	245	150	
1641	3410	532	52		37
1642	3384	509	55		22
1643	3413	506	20		8

Drillhole locations, names, and other data are presented in tables of Rustler location data and can be cross-indexed with the identification number.

**APPENDIX B**  
**RUSTLER FORMATION STRATIGRAPHIC DATA**  
**FROM RICHEY (1989)**

**Information Only**

## APPENDIX B

### RUSTLER FORMATION STRATIGRAPHIC DATA FROM RICHEY (1989)

Appendix B repeats Rustler Formation stratigraphic data available from Richey (1989) in a form comparable to Rustler information in Appendix A. Appendix B-1 reports the location and drillhole name as used by Richey. Drillhole formats differ from Appendix A, although boreholes common to each database (Appendix C) have names somewhat similar.

Richey assigned a unique numeric identifier for each drillhole and designated this number the FFG #. Those numbers range between 1 and 817, although not all consecutive numbers have been assigned (or have been deleted).

The depths to Rustler beds are reported by Richey in metric units, and we repeat the metric values (Appendix B-2). The original data would have been in English units, standard for geophysical logs in the United States. For use in our maps, we converted metric to English units.

We accept the data in Richey (1989) as substantially correct. A few typographical or other errors have been corrected and are noted. The tabular material has been carefully checked to try to avoid introducing new errors. Data from boreholes common to the Richey data set and the Holt and Powers data set were compared (Appendix C) to uncover any systematic errors.

**APPENDIX B-1  
DRILLHOLE NAME AND LOCATION DATA  
FROM RICHEY (1989)**

**Information Only**

## Drillhole Name and Location Data from Richey (1989)

FFG No.	Location Data			Distance from section line		Drillhole Name**	Revision
	T.	R.	Sec.				
001	20	33	3	660s	660w	Fed. Trigg #1	
002	20	33	3	658n	660e	Fed. "Lea" #2-3	
004	20	33	7	660s	1980e	Brooks 7 Fed. #3	
005	20	33	10	330s	330e	Anderson Pritchard Fed. #1	
006	20	33	11	660s	660w	#1 Fed. 11	
007	20	33	14	2310n	990w	Fed. #4	
008	20	33	14	990s	2310w	Fed. C-1	
009	20	33	14	1980n	1650w	Fed. #3	
010	20	33	14	2310s	1980w	Fed. #1B	
011	20	33	14	660n	660w	Fed. #1A	
012	20	33	15	660n	660e	Fed. #1	
013	20	33	16	660n	1980e	St. Lea (886) #2	
014	20	33	18	1980n	660w	Welsh-St. #2	
015	20	33	19	2005n	1880w	Bass Fed. No. 1	
016	20	33	22	1980s	660e	"Wills" Fed. TA #1	
017	20	33	23	330n	1980e	Fed. Lindsey #1	
018	20	33	24	330s	330e	Dinnin #2	
019	20	33	26	660s	660w	Hudson Fed. #1	
020	20	33	30	1980n	1980w	#1 Bass Fed.	
021	20	33	30	660s	1300e	Bass Fed. #2	
022	20	33	31	660s	1980e	St. of New Mexico CM No. 1	
023	20	33	33	1980s	660w	#1 Aztec Fed.	
024	20	33	34	660s	1980e	Fed. "34" - #1	
025	20	33	35	660n	1980e	Shell Fed. #1	
026	20	33	35	660n	1980w	Shell Fed. #1	
027	20	33	35	1650n	990w	R.R. Morrison C & E Fed. #1	
028	19	35	5	1980n	1980w	Jackson #1	
029	19	35	12	1980s	1980w	W.M. Snyder #1	
030	19	35	15	330s	660e	Cabot St. #2	
031	19	35	17	1980s	1980e	Gulf Roberts #1	
032	19	35	19	990s	660w	Superior-Alves Trustee #1	
033	19	35	21	660s	1980e	McIntosh D #3	
034	19	35	24	1650n	660w	Texaco-Hamon St. A-1	
035	19	35	25	1980n	668e	Record No. 2	
036	19	35	29	2310n	990w	St. "PK" #1	
037	19	35	34	660n	660w	St. W.M.A. #1	
038	19	35	36	1980n	1980w	St. "PJ" #1	
039	20	32	10	330n	990w	Perry Fed. #1	
040	20	32	13	660s	1980w	Hanson St. #1	
041	20	32	15	1980s	1980w	Plata Deep Unit #1	
042	20	32	16	2310n	2310w	St. Little Eddy Unit #16	
043	20	32	21	1980s	660w	Big Eddy Unit #1-21	
044	20	32	23	1980s	1980w	Baetz "23" #1	
045	20	32	25	660s	660e	Audie Richards #1	

FFG No.	Location Data			Distance from		Drillhole Name **	Revision
	T.	R.	Sec.	section line *			
046	20	32	36	1976n	660e	St. of New Mexico "CH" No. 1	
047	20	34	14	660s	1980e	Fed. Hanson B No. 1	
048	20	34	18	1980s	1980e	Fed. #1	
049	20	34	21	660s	660e	Muse No. 2	
050	20	34	26	330s	330w	Cruces No. 1	
051	20	34	27	330s	1650e	Fed. Keohone "A" No. 1	
052	20	34	27	330s	330e	Fletcher No. 2	
053	20	34	34	1650n	2310w	Lynch No. "A"-9	
054	20	34	34	660s	1980e	B.V. Lynch "A" No. 5	
055	20	34	34	1650s	660e	B.V. Lynch "A" No. 8	
056	20	34	34	1980n	1980e	Lynch "A" No. 11	
057	20	34	34	660n	1980w	B.V. Lynch "A" No. 10	
058	20	34	35	1650s	990w	Lynch A-7	
059	20	34	35	1650s	2310e	Neal No. 1	
060	20	34	35	990n	1650w	Fed. #4	
061	20	34	35	990n	990w	Fletcher No. 1	
062	20	35	3	670n	1980w	Linam 1	
063	20	35	5	1980s	330e	#8 Fed. Saunders	
064	20	35	6	660n	660e	Featherstone Fed. No. 1	
065	20	35	12	660n	660e	Leonard St. #1	
066	20	35	13	660n	660w	St. 13 #1	
067	20	35	17	1980s	660e	Hudson Fed. #1	
068	20	35	23	660n	660w	U.S.A. West Monument #1	
069	20	35	28	660s	660w	Phillips St. #1	
070	20	35	30			Sunray St. No. 1	
071	19	32	6	1980n	1980e	W.H. Peckham No. 1	
072	19	32	13	990s	990e	W.E. Bondurant #3	
073	19	32	13	2310n	330e	W.E. Bondurant #2	
074	19	32	13	2310s	330e	W.E. Bondurant #1	
075	19	32	15	1980n	660e	#8 Plains Unit	
076	19	32	16	660s	660w	Humble St. #1	
077	19	32	18	1980n	990e	Middleton Fed. "A" #1	
078	19	32	19	1980n	2310e	Southern Calif. Pet. Corp. No. 1	
079	19	32	21	1650s	990w	Atlantic No. 1	
080	19	32	33	660n	660w	Boellner Fed. No. 2	
081	19	32	25	330n	330e	Fed. Big Circle #1	
082	19	32	34	1980s	660e	Fed.-Boellner #1	
083	19	33	8	1980s	660w	USA Culbertson Irwin #1	
084	19	33	18	1980s	2035w	Fed. 18 No. 5	
085	19	33	18	2130n	689w	Fed. 18 No. 1	
086	19	33	18	2310s	330w	Fed. 18 No. 7	
087	19	33	21	660n	1980w	Bright Fed. No. 1	
088	19	33	22	1980s	495e	Miller-Fed. #1	
089	19	33	24	800s	330w	Donohue #1	
090	19	33	26	660s	1980w	Bates Fed. #1	
091	19	33	29	330s	1980e	Fed. No. 1	

FFG No.	Location Data			Distance from		Drillhole Name**	Revision
	T.	R.	Sec.	section line*			
092	19	33	29	660n	660w	Fed. Carder #1	
093	19	33	30	660n	1980e	Signal Ross #1	
094	19	33	30	1980n	2004w	Signal Ross Fed. #2	
095	19	33	3	2082n	1980w	Buffalo Unit #1	
096	19	34	5	330n	660w	Fed. Littlefield "EA" #1	
097	19	34	7	1980n	1980e	Mescalero Unit #1	
098	19	34	12	660n	660w	U.S. Smelting St. #1	
099	19	34	13	2310s	330w	Atlantic Richfield #1	
100	19	34	15	330s	330w	Gulf Fed. #1	
101	19	34	25	1980s	660w	Superior Fed. #4	
102	19	34	28	990s	2310w	Fed. #1	
103	19	34	30	2310s	330w	Drlg. & Exploration Gillespie No. 1-"B"	
104	19	34	36	660s	330e	Pure St. #1	
105	21	30	25	1098s	969w	Wills-Crosby #1	
106	21	30	26	983n	1361w	Wills-Crosby #2	
107	21	30	26	660s	1980w	James "D" #1	
108	21	31	31	264n	471w	Wills #7	
109	21	31	32	300n	2540w	FC-63 (Kerr McGee)	
110	21	31	32	141s	141e	FC-68 (Kerr McGee)	
111	21	31	34	250n	2207e	FC-52 (Kerr McGee)	
112	21	31	34	100s	100w	FC-65 (Kerr McGee)	
113	21	31	34	141n	160w	FC-69 (Kerr McGee)	
114	22	30	1	990s	1980w	Cabana #1	rev'd 974s, 1976w w/log data
115	22	30	2	660s	2011e	James "A" #1	
116	22	30	3	693n	977e	Duval #96	
117	22	30	3	1320s	300e	USB&C #168	
118	22	30	4	50n	650e	Gypsy Oil Co. #3	
119	22	30	9	315s	291w	USPC #97A	
120	22	30	9	1320n	2600w	USB&C #163	
121	22	30	9	2406n	578w	IMC #322	
122	22	30	9	1485s	650w	IMC #343	
123	22	30	10	1883n	2574e	Duval #82	
124	22	30	11	1976n	1981e	James "E" #1	
125	22	30	11	1247s	1301w	D-121	
126	22	30	13	1574n	1566w	D-120	
127	22	30	14	136s	2178e	D-48	
128	22	30	21	109s	81e	Duval #33	
129	22	30	21	1320n	2640e	IMC #111	
130	22	30	21	1320s	1320w	IMC #112	
132	22	30	27	2664s	2625e	Duval #181	
133	22	30	27	38s	2643w	Duval #198	
134	22	30	27	500s	20w	Duval #200	
135	22	30	27	2370s	224e	Duval #231	
136	22	30	36	2463s	1124w	D-160	
137	22	30	36	660s	2006e	James Ranch #1	
138	22	31	6	1173s	1147w	U-134 (Miss. Chem. Corp.)	

FFG No.	Location Data			Distance from		Drillhole Name**	Revision
	T.	R.	Sec.	section line*			
139	22	31	6	1978n	660w	Campana #1	
140	22	31	8	143s	249e	FC-92 (Kerr McGee)	
141	22	31	8	154s	37w	FC-82 (Kerr McGee)	
142	22	31	9	107n	91e	NF-1 (Kerr McGee)	
143	22	31	34	2022s	1978w	Fed. Cotton Baby #1	
144	23	29	1	2763s	2964e	Duval #29	
145	23	29	1	528s	528w	IMC I-184	
146	23	29	1	1320n	1320e	IMC I-263	
147	23	29	4	1255n	1374e	Arco #9	
148	23	29	12	2600n	700e	Shell Oil Co. #17 (Dogtown # )	
149	23	29	13	2100n	300e	Shell Oil Co. #21 (Dogtown #15)	
150	23	29	15	202n	2524e	Duval #8	
151	23	29	15	1160n	3276e	Duval #14	
152	23	29	17	660s	1980w	Teledyne "17" #1	
153	23	29	24	300n	300e	Shell Oil Co. #19 (Dogtown #13)	
154	23	29	25	200n.	2500e	Shell Oil Co. #22 (Dogtown #16)	
155	23	29	27	660s	1980w	Laguna Grande #2	
156	23	29	28	1380s	990e	#1 Laguna Grande Unit	
157	23	29	35	1900s	100e	A-29	
158	23	29	36	1800s	1200e	A-31	
159	23	30	1	1834n	1978w	Hudson Fed. #1	
160	23	30	1	1973s	1648e	James Ranch Unit #3	
161	23	30	2	101s	1169w	Duval #1	
162	23	30	2	143n	112w	Duval D-31	
163	23	30	2	2655n	2655e	Duval D-179	
164	23	30	17	2505n	317w	Shell Oil Co. #6 (Dogtown #1)	
165	23	30	19	2244n	2096e	Duval #10	
166	23	30	24	1980n	660w	Sandy Unit #1	
167	23	30	26			USGS #22	
168	23	30	28	175s	232w	Duval #4	
169	23	30	29	261n	261e	Shell Oil Co. #7 (Dogtown #2)	
170	23	30	30	215n	2300w	Shell Oil Co. #20 (Dogtown #14)	
171	23	30	31	2640n	1750e	Arco #24	
172	23	30	32	1411n	2510e	Shell Oil Co. #23 (Dogtown #17)	
173	23	30	36	2150n	3090w	Leonard ?????? #1-S	
174	24	28	2	1980s	660w	Malaga "A" #1	
175	24	29	3	2030n	2310w	Weiner & McDonald Kerr #1	
176	24	29	4	1327s	1321e	Arco core test #13	
177	24	29	19	480n	330w	Bun #1	
178	24	29	27	660s	660w	Mobil Fed. "27" #1	
179	24	29	29	1980s	660w	Ellis Fed. 1-X	
180	24	30	2	200s	200w	Shell Oil Co. #16 (Dogtown #11)	
181	24	30	5	1147n	406e	Shell Oil Co. #11 (Dogtown #6)	
182	24	30	6	1990n	2185w	Arco #8	
183	24	30	9	2001n	2001e	Shell Oil Co. #8 (Dogtown #3)	
184	24	30	11	316s	390w	Shell Oil Co. #12 (Dogtown # )	

FFG No.	Location Data			Distance from section line		Drillhole Name**	Revision
	T.	R.	Sec.				
185	24	30	15	200n	200w	Shell Oil Co. #13 (Dogtown # )	
186	24	30	16	150n	2590e	Shell Oil Co. #24 (Dogtown #18)	
187	24	30	18	460n	660e	Poker Lake Unit #45	
188	24	30	20	100n	1320w	Southern Production Co. core test #6	
189	24	30	23	1606n	2294e	Shell Oil Co. #9 (Dogtown #4)	
190	24	30	23	660s	660w	Shugart Fed. 23 #1	
191	24	30	25	660s	660w	Bass Fed. #1-25	
192	24	30	27	336n	270e	Shell Oil Co. #10 (Dogtown # )	
193	24	30	29	660s	660e	Fed. Nettles (?) #1	
194	24	31	2	1980n	1980w	#1-2 Todd Fed.	rev'd 1945w to 1980w w/log data
195	24	31	3	660s	660e	Jennings Fed. #1	
196	24	31	4	660n	660e	Stewart Fed. #1	
197	24	31	4	1659n	2310w	Bestly (?) Fed. #1	
198	24	31	5	330n	330e	USGS potash core test #13	
199	24	31	6	1098n	2193e	Shell Oil Co. #15	
200	24	31	6	1980n	1980w	Dunes (?) Unit Fed. #1	
201	24	31	7	660s	660e	Fed. "Y" #1	
202	24	31	11	2531n	178e	Shell Oil Co. #4 (Fed. G-NM #4)	
203	24	31	11	660n	1980e	Fed. Littlefield "CT" #1	
204	24	31	13	1980s	1980e	1-13 Fed.	
205	24	31	17	660n	660e	Continental Fed. #1	rev'd 740e to 660e w/log data
206	24	31	18	660s	660e	Ritchie Fed. #1	
207	24	31	20	660n	660e	Jennings Fed. #1	
208	24	31	20	660s	1980w	Poker Lake #40	
209	24	31	21	660n	660e	Carper Fed. #1-21	
210	24	31	21	660s	660w	Poker Lake Unit #43	
211	24	31	28	660s	660e	Poker Lake #36	
212	24	31	24	660s	1980e	Heflin Fed. #1	
213	24	31	33	2310n	2313e	Ramley (?) #1	
214	24	31	35	1980s	660w	Cotton Draw Unit #67	
215	25	30	1	660n	660e	Poker Lake Unit #2	
216	25	30	4	1980n	1980w	R&B Fed. #1	
217	25	31	2	100n	1500w	Dog Town #2	
218	25	31	2	1980n	1980e	Cotton Draw Unit #65	FFG 602 eliminated as duplicate
219	25	31	10	1980n	1980e	Pauley & Harrison #2	
220	25	31	12	660n	660w	Pauley & Harrison #1	
221	25	31	15	780n	1230w	Pauley & Harrison PH-1	
222	25	31	28	660n	660w	Poker Lake Unit #7-A-3	
223	25	31	35	660s	660w	Del Basin #1	
224	21	32	1	330n	1380e	Sheperd #1	
225	21	32	1	3255n	1972e	ETZ Fed. #1	
226	21	32	1	660s	1980w	Fed. #1	
227	21	32	2	660s	1980e	#1 Hat Mesa "A"	
228	21	32	2	3300n	660w	Pubco Fed. #1	
229	21	32	3	660s	1980e	#1 TSS Fed. Comm.	
230	21	32	3	3300s	1980w	New Mexico Fed. "B" #1	

FFG No.	Location Data			Distance from		Drillhole Name**	Revision
	T.	R.	Sec.	section line*			
231	21	32	4	1980n	1980e	New Mexico Fed. "A" #2	
232	21	32	4	3300s	1980w	New Mexico Fed. "D" #1	
233	21	32	4	1683n	1650w	New Mexico Fed. #1	
234	21	32	5	4650s	1980w	New Mexico Fed. "F" #1	
235	21	32	5	3300s	660e	New Mexico Fed. "E" #1	
236	21	32	6	3371n	2072w	Aid Fed. #1	
237	21	32	9	1980n	1980e	Halfway Fed. #1	
238	21	32	10	1980n	1980e	Government "H" Com. #1	
239	21	32	11	660s	660e	Fed. 1	
240	21	32	11	1980n	1980e	Hat Mesa #1	
241	21	32	12	1980s	660w	Fed. HM "12" #1	
242	21	32	21	660n	660w	Salt Lake South Unit #1	
243	21	32	26	1980n	660e	San Simon #1	
244	21	32	35	1980n	1980w	Chaney Fed. #1	
245	21	33	9	1980n	660e	South Lynch #1	
246	21	33	11	660n	640w	Mobil St. #2	
247	21	33	13	660s	1880e	New Mexico St. #1	
248	21	33	13	1980s	1980e	Berry St. #1	
249	21	33	15	1980s	1980e	Stock Unit #1	
250	21	33	18	660s	1980e	Eaves Unit #1	
251	21	33	24	660s	660e	St. SLA #1	
252	21	33	32	1980s	1980w	St. "LT" #1	
253	21	33	33	1980n	660e	#1 R.F. Legget	
254	21	33	34	1980n	660w	R.F. Legget "A" No. 1	
255	21	33	35	660n	660w	No. 1 Amarada St.	
256	21	34	2	1980s	1980e	"F" St. #1	
257	21	34	5	1980s	660w	Berry "5" St. Com. No. 1	
258	21	34	13	660n	660e	Shell St. No. 10	
259	21	34	16	660s	990e	Shell St. "A" No. 2	
260	21	34	24	2310n	1650w	St. "P" No. 5	
261	21	34	24	990s	2310e	St. #33	
262	21	34	31	660s	750w	Mascho Unit Well #1	
263	21	34	32	660s	1980e	Shamrock St. #1	
264	23	32	9	660s	1980e	Continental Fed. #1-9	
265	23	32	15	1980n	1980e	Fed. Continental 1-15	
266	23	32	24	660s	660e	Fields Fed. No. 1	
267	23	33	32	660n	1980e	Humble St. #1-32	
268	23	33	35	660s	660w	St. 1-35	
269	23	34	30	660s	3300e	Bell Lake Unit #2	
270	23	34	27	660s	1980w	Harris Fed. #1 (#1 Antelope Ridge Unit)	
271	23	34	26	660s	1980w	St. "FO" #1	
272	22	32	31	660n	1980e	Perry Fed. #1-31	
273	23	32	7	510n	660e	Fed. "WL" #5-7	
274	22	32	14	660s	1980w	#2 Red Tank Unit	
275	22	32	15	1980s	1980e	#1 Connally Fed.	
276	22	32	17	1980s	1980e	Fed. 1-17	

FFG No.	Location Data			Distance from		Drillhole Name**	Revision
	T.	R.	Sec.	section line			
277	22	32	18	660s	660e	Fed. Jennings #1-18	
278	22	32	19	660s	660e	Bass Fed. #1	
279	22	32	20	1980n	1980e	#1 Fed.	
280	22	32	22	1980n	660w	Fed. Red Tank Unit #1-22	
281	22	32	25	660n	1980w	Covington "A" Fed. #1	
282	22	32	36	330n	1980w	Shell et al Bootleg Ridge Unit #1	
283	22	33	1	660n	1980e	Cotter Fed. No. 1	
284	22	33	4	2310s	800w	Reed Fed. #1	
285	22	33	5	660s	330e	Richardson-Bass St. No. 1	
286	22	33	7	660s	660w	St. "K" #1	
287	22	33	7	1980s	1980e	S.S.T. St. #7-1	
288	22	33	9	660n	660w	Hudson Fed. No. 1	
289	22	33	15	1980s	1980e	Getty Fed. "15" No. 1	
290	22	33	20	1980n	660w	Conoco Fed. #1	
291	22	33	32	660s	660w	Shell St. #1-B	
292	22	33	33	660n	660e	Phillips St. #1"	
293	22	33	34	660s	1980e	#1 Humble St.	
294	22	34	1	1980s	660w	St. "AR" #1	
295	22	34	2	660s	1980w	New Mexico St. BU #1	
296	22	34	3	1650n	660w	#1 St. GRA	
297	22	34	4	2310n	2310w	#4 Fed. "GR"	
298	22	34	7	660s	660w	Bell Lake Unit #8	
299	22	34	8	660s	1980e	New Mexico St. "AE" No. 1	
300	22	34	22	330n	330e	Jacquie Ann #1	
301	22	34	26	1980s	660e	Merchant "B" #1	
302	22	35	1	660s	660w	Jalmat Deep #1	
303	22	35	3	660n	660w	Donegan St. No. 1	
304	22	35	9	1980s	1980w	Humble St. #1	
305	22	35	14	660n	1700w	Jalmat Water Supply #2	
306	22	35	16	1980n	1980e	North Rock Lake Unit #1	
307	22	35	17	660n	660e	Shell St. #1	
308	22	35	20	1980n	660e	Carper Aztec No. 1	
309	22	35	23	660s	1980w	St. Nix #1-23	
310	22	35	25	1980n	660w	Cone Jalmat Yates Pool Unit Tract 8 - #5	
311	22	35	28	1980s	660w	Rock Lake Unit #1	
312	22	35	35	660s	660w	Gulf St. 1-A	
313	22	32	6	1980n	660e	Fed. "CK" Com. #1	
314	22	32	13	660s	660e	B & H Fed. #1 (Texico-Weaver)	
315	23	32	3	1980n	660e	Fed. #1	rev'd 1969n to 1980n w/log data
316	23	32	11	1980n	1980e	Matthews "11" #1	
317	23	32	18	1980n	660e	Fed. Sand 18-1	
318	23	32	20	660s	1980e	Fed. Estill AF-1	
319	23	32	21	660n	1980w	Gulf-Fed. "A-A" #1	
320	23	32	25	990n	2310w	Wehrli-Fed. #1	
321	23	32	25	990s	330w	Fields No. 2	
322	23	32	26	330s	330e	Fed. "WL" #3-26	rev'd 660e to 330e w/log data

FFG No.	Location Data			Distance from		Drillhole Name**	Revision
	T.	R.	Sec.	section line*			
323	23	32	26	660s	1980w	Fed. Field #1	
324	23	32	28	660n	1980w	Continental Fed. No. 1	
325	23	32	31	660s	660w	Hankamer No. 1 Continental Fed.	
326	23	32	33	1980n	660e	Holder Fed. #1	
327	23	32	34	1980s	330e	Fed. "K" No. 1	
328	23	32	35	1650n	2310e	Fed. W L #1-35	
329	23	32	36	1980n	660w	Gulf St. #1	
330	23	32	36	1980s	1980e	Brinninstool Deep Unit #1	
331	23	33	4	660s	660e	Continental Fed. #1-P	
332	23	33	6	330s	330e	Shell Fed. #1-6	
333	23	33	7	660s	660w	Fed. 7 Well #1	
334	23	33	17	660s	660w	Texaco St. No. 1	
335	23	33	18	660s	660w	#1 "A" Shell St.	
336	23	33	19	1980s	1910w	Marshall #19-2	
337	23	33	20	660s	660e	Levick Fed. #1	
338	23	33	31	660n	660e	Lea St. #1	
339	23	33	35	1980n	660e	8104 JVP Hat No. 1	
340	23	33	36	1980s	660e	Bell Lake Unit 1 #18	
341	23	34	1	660s	660w	Allan Hargrave #1 St.	
342	23	34	6	660s	1980e	Bell Lake Unit #6	
343	23	34	10	1560n	1830w	#1Y Fed. "AA"	
344	23	34	15	330s	330e	No. 1 Hall/ Hall-Fed. #1	
345	23	34	18	1980s	1980w	Bell Lake #9	
346	23	34	19	1980n	1980w	Bell Lake Unit #10	
347	23	34	22	1980n	1980e	North Antelope Ridge Unit #1	
348	23	34	23	660s	1980w	St. 23 Comm #1	
349	23	34	25	660s	1980w	St. R #1	
350	23	34	26	660n	1980w	St. "EO" #1	
351	23	34	31	330s	2970e	Bell Lake Unit-1-A St.	
352	23	34	31	660s	3300e	Bell Lake Unit #1	
353	23	34	32	1980s	1650w	Bell Lake Unit #17	
354	23	34	35	990n	1980w	St. AR #1	
355	20	27	1	2310n	2310w	Mary Jane No. 1	
356	20	27	13	1980n	1980w	Donahue No. 1	
357	20	28	5	1980s	660w	Wright Fed. No. 1	
358	20	28	15	1980n	660e	#1 Trigg Fed.	
359	20	28	26	2310s	330w	Connally #1	
360	20	28	30	1980n	660w	No. 1 Sun St.	
361	20	29	1	660n	1980e	Superior Fed. #2	
362	20	29	3	1980n	660e	Lambie Fed. #1	
363	20	29	4	660n	1980w	#1-X Fed.	
364	20	29	7	330s	330e	Yates Fed. #2	
365	20	29	9	1980n	660e	Lambie Fed. No. 1	
366	20	29	10	990n	990e	Jennings Fed. No. 1	
367	20	29	11	2310s	2310w	#1 McKee	
368	20	29	12	330s	990w	McKee Fed. No. 1	

FFG No.	Location Data			Distance from		Drillhole Name**	Revision
	T.	R.	Sec.	section line*			
369	20	29	13	1470n	1170w	Texaco Fed. No. 2	
370	20	29	13	660n	1980w	#1 Texaco Fed.	
371	20	29	13	660n	2310e	#1 Union	
372	20	29	14	2310s	2310e	Texaco No. 1	
373	20	29	16	660n	1980w	Fed. "X" #1-16	
374	20	29	17	660s	660e	#1 Yates Fed.	
375	20	29	18	660n	1980e	Yates Fed. #1	
376	20	29	25	330n	330w	Nicholas #1	
377	20	29	28	1650s	1650e	Pauline Trigg Fed. No. 1	
378	20	29	30	1980n	990e	Stebbins Fed. Deep #1	
379	20	29	31	330n	2310e	Yates Petroleum Corp. No. 1	
380	20	29	32	660s	660e	No. 1 Yates Fed.	
381	20	29	36	1980s	660w	#1 Zachary	
382	20	29	36	2080s	1880e	Golden Lane "36" Fed. #1	
383	20	30	1	660s	1980e	#1-1 Fed. "PA"	
384	20	30	5	1980n	1980w	Continental Fed. #1	
385	20	30	22	1980s	1980e	#1 Fed.	
386	22	28	2	1650n	1650w	Ford No. 2	
387	20	30	28	330s	1980w	Fed. No. 7	
388	20	30	28	1980n	1980w	USA Emperor Oil Co. #1	
389	20	30	31	1980n	760e	Lowe Fed. #1	
390	20	30	32	660n	330e	Eddy St. "BD" No. 1	
391	20	30	32	1980n	330e	Eddy St. "BD" No. 2	
392	20	30	33	1650n	990e	Gulf Fed. #1	
393	20	31	4	660s	660e	Big Eddy Unit 33	
394	20	31	6	660s	660e	#3 Big Eddy Unit	
395	20	31	7	1650s	660e	Big Eddy Unit #11	
396	20	31	30	330s	330e	Big Eddy Unit #1-30	
397	21	28	1	4620s	1980e	#1 Cowan	
398	21	28	2	3300s	660w	St. #1	
399	21	28	3	3630s	2310e	#1 Cowan	
400	21	28	5	1565n	1985w	Blg Eddy Unit #13	
401	21	28	7	660n	660w	#1 Richardson & Bass	
402	21	28	12	1980s	660e	Big Eddy Unit No. 36	
403	21	28	15	660s	660w	Big Eddy Unit #32	
404	21	28	20	1980s	1980e	Big Eddy Unit No. 60	
405	21	28	27	660s	660e	Fed. "GN" #1	
406	21	28	29	1180s	1980w	Big Eddy Unit #54	
407	21	28	29	1980n	1980e	Big Eddy No. 39	
408	21	28	30	1750s	1750e	#2 Nix & Yates Fed.	
409	21	28	30	1650n	1650w	#1 Nix-Yates Fed.	
410	21	28	31	535s	660w	Big Eddy Unit #31	
411	21	28	33	560s	660w	Richardson Bass Fed. No. 1	
412	21	28	35	990n	1650w	Big Eddy #59	
413	21	28	35	1980n	1980w	Big Eddy #47	
414	21	28	35	330s	2310w	Big Eddy Unit #62	

FFG No.	Location Data			Distance from		Drillhole Name**	Revision
	T.	R.	Sec.	section line*			
415	21	28	35	1650s	330w	Big Eddy Unit #49	
416	21	28	35	1980n	2310e	Big Eddy Unit #56	
417	21	28	35	2310s	1650w	Big Eddy #58	
418	21	29	3	1980n	1980w	Big Eddy Unit #18	
419	21	29	4	4620s	1980w	Cowden Fed. #1	
420	21	29	4	838n	1650w	#1 Hudson Fed.	
421	21	29	5	630n	1980e	#1 Trigg Fed. "AA"	
422	21	29	5	1980n	660e	#1 Harris Bell	
423	21	29	5	2825n	2310w	#1 Harris Fed.	
424	21	29	6	3147n	660e	Harris "6" #1	
425	21	29	18	1980s	1980e	Big Eddy Unit #16	
426	21	29	19	660s	660e	Big Eddy Unit #55	
427	21	29	21	660s	660e	#1 Nix-Hall	
428	21	29	22	1980n	1980e	Big Eddy Unit No. 40	
429	21	29	34	660n	1980w	Big Eddy Unit No. 38	
430	21	30	16	1908n	751e	Big Eddy 45-Y	
432	21	30	35	1980s	660w	James "C" #1	
433	22	28	2	330n	2310w	Ford St. No. 1	
434	22	28	7	330s	794w	Old Indian Draw Unit #16	
435	22	28	7	330s	1650w	Old Indian Draw Unit #14	
436	22	28	7	2324n	2330e	Old Indian Draw Unit #33	
437	22	28	18	2310n	2150w	Old Indian Draw No. 7	
438	22	31	1	530s	330w	SCL Fed. #1	
439	22	28	18	2002s	1721w	Old Indian Draw No. 6	
440	22	28	18	660s	1980e	Old Indian Draw #2	
441	22	28	18	1980s	1980e	#1 Old Indian Draw Unit	
442	22	28	19	660n	1973w	Old Indian Draw Unit #17	
443	22	28	21	1980n	1980e	Pecos Irrigation #1	
444	22	28	22	1980n	1980w	Big Chief #1	
445	22	28	25	1980s	1980e	Big Eddy Unit #43	
446	22	28	27	1980n	1980w	Little Squaw #1	
447	22	28	29	660s	990w	C.R. Lopez "A" #1	
448	22	28	29	2210s	1980w	City of Carlsbad #1	
449	22	28	30	990s	330w	Nichols "HV" #1	
450	22	28	30	2310n	990e	Harroun #1	
451	22	28	31	900n	1650e	Eastland Brantley #1	
452	22	28	31	990n	330e	Gourley Fed. #3	
453	23	31	2	660n	660e	St. AA 2 #1	
454	23	31	6	1957n	1973e	James Ranch Unit #7	
455	23	31	11	660s	660e	Bauerdorf-Fed. #1	rev'd 330e to 660e w/log data
456	23	31	14	1980s	1980w	Todd Fed. "14" No. 1	
457	23	31	16	1980s	1980w	Arco St. #1-16	
458	23	31	21	660s	660e	Muse-Fed. #1	
459	23	31	25	1980n	1970w	#1-Z Todd "25" Fed.	
460	23	31	26	1980n	1650e	Todd "26" Fed. #2	
461	23	31	26	1980n	1980e	Todd Fed. "26" No. 1	

FFG No.	Location Data			Distance from		Drillhole Name**	Revision
	T.	R.	Sec.	section line*			
462	23	31	27	1980s	660w	Wright-Fed. #1	
463	23	31	32	660n	660w	Continental St. No. 1	
464	23	31	33	660n	1980e	Wright-Fed. #3	
465	23	31	33	1980n	660w	Wright-Fed. #2	
466	23	31	36	660s	660w	Pauley Harrison St. #1	
467	23	35	8	2310n	330w	Fed. SR #1-8	
468	23	35	9	1980s	1980e	Sand Well Unit #1	
469	23	35	12	1980n	1980w	#1 Fed. "F"	
470	23	35	14	660n	1983e	Ann Davis #1	
471	23	35	17	660n	660e	St. Henry #1-17	
472	23	35	28	660n	1980w	North Custer Mountain Unit #1	
473	23	35	36	660n	660w	St. "D" #1	
474	24	32	1	1980s	660w	Continental Fed. #1-L	
475	24	32	2	1980n	660e	Ohio St. No. 1	
476	24	32	6	660n	1980e	Bondurant Fed. No. 1	
477	24	32	10	1980s	1980e	Fed. Hanagan D #1	
478	24	32	11	1980s	1980e	Fed. Hanagan D #2	
479	24	32	11	1980n	1980w	Fed. Hanagan D-4	
480	24	32	12	1980n	660w	Hanagan Fed. No. 3	
481	24	32	13	660s	660e	Woolley #1	
482	24	32	14	660n	1980w	#1 USA Jennings	
483	24	32	15	660s	1980w	Hicks-Fed. #1	
484	24	32	22	1980n	990e	Bradley #2	
485	24	32	22	1980s	1980e	Bradley #1	
486	24	32	23	1980n	660w	Ernest Fed. #1	
487	24	32	23	1650n	330w	Exxon A Fed. No. 2	
488	24	32	24	330n	330w	Bon Durant Fed. No. 1	
489	24	32	25	1980n	1980w	Fed. "BM" #1	
490	24	32	29	1980n	660w	#1 Payne	
491	24	32	30	1980n	1980e	Paduca Fed. #1	
492	24	32	33	660s	660e	Cotton Draw Unit Well #72	
493	24	32	34	1980s	1980w	#69 Cotton Draw Unit	
494	24	32	34	660s	1980w	Cotton Draw Unit #74	
495	24	32	35	660s	660w	Fed. Del Basin #1	
496	24	33	1	1980n	1980e	#5 Bell Lake Unit	
497	24	33	7	660s	660e	St. #1-7	
498	24	33	8	660n	660w	New Mexico St. A.G. 1	
499	24	33	13	1980n	660e	Holland #1	
500	24	33	17	660n	1980e	Holly-St. #1	
501	24	33	20	660s	1980w	St. "BB" 20 No. 1	
502	24	33	22	1980n	660w	St. #1	
503	24	33	27	1980s	1980w	Sunray St. #1	
504	24	33	29	660s	1980e	St. "AP" #1	
505	24	33	30	330n	330w	Continental St. #1	
506	24	33	31	1980s	660e	Continental St. #1	
507	24	33	36	660n	660e	#1 Lea St. "GX"	

FFG No.	Location Data			Distance from		Drillhole Name**	Revision
	T.	R.	Sec.	section line			
508	24	34	2	1980s	1980w	St. "2" #2	
509	24	34	3	1980n	1980e	Antelope Ridge #6	
510	24	34	4	660n	1650e	Fed. "BE" #1	
511	24	34	6	660n	3300e	Bell Lake Unit No. 3	
512	24	34	10	1980n	1980e	Alexander #1	
513	24	34	11	660n	1980w	Madera Comm. #1	
514	24	34	13	660s	660w	Fed. Johnson #1	
515	24	34	17	1980s	1980e	Government M #1	
516	24	34	21	660n	1980e	Shell-Fed. "B" #1	
517	24	35	5	1980n	1980w	Wilson Fed. Com. #1	
518	24	35	8	1980s	1980e	Fed. "CR 8" #1	
519	24	35	9	1980s	1980w	Custer Mountain Unit Fed. #1	
520	24	35	10	660n	660w	Lea St. "GB" #2	
521	24	35	10	1980n	1650w	Cinta Roja "10" No. 1	
522	24	35	12	1980n	1980w	Fields #1	
523	24	35	13	1980s	660e	Peggy M. Baetz No. 1	
524	24	35	15	1980n	1980e	Lea St. "GB" #1	
525	24	35	13	660n	1980e	Luzon Fed. #1	
526	19	30	5	1980n	330w	#3 Perkins "AD"	
527	19	30	13	660s	1980e	C & I Fed. #1	
528	19	30	14	2310n	330e	#1 Southern California Petroleum	
529	19	30	16	2310n	990e	St. No. 1	
530	19	30	20	660s	1980w	#1 Kelly Fed.	
531	19	30	23	2310n	990e	Union Fed. #3	
532	19	30	24	1980s	330w	Fed. Holder #1	
533	19	30	24	1705s	1650w	Fed. "CR" No. 4	
534	19	30	24	1650s	2310e	C.R. Holder #5	
535	19	30	24	2310n	660w	Fed. Holder "CR" No. 3	
536	19	30	24	940s	1725w	Fed. Holder "CR" No. 6	
537	19	30	24	990s	330w	Fed. Holder "CR" No. 2	
538	19	30	24	990s	2310e	Fed. Holder "CR" #7	
539	19	30	25	1980n	1650e	Lebow Fed. No. 7	
540	19	30	25	660n	660e	Lebow Fed. No. 5	
541	19	30	25	990s	660e	Lebow Fed. No. 10	
542	19	30	25	660s	660w	Lebow Fed. No. 12	
543	19	30	28	990s	330w	Yates Fed. "A" No. 1	
544	19	30	29	990s	990e	Lane #1	
545	19	30	30	660s	1980e	Fed. Yates #1	
546	19	30	31	660s	660w	Fed. "B" #1	
547	19	30	32	330n	2310w	Lowe St. #1	
548	19	30	36	1650s	1650e	Aikman Stanolind St. #1	
549	25	29	3	660n	660e	Superior Fed. #1-3	
550	25	29	8	660s	660e	Superior Fed. #1	
552	25	29	15	660s	660w	Superior Fed. 15 No. 1	
556	25	29	27	660s	660w	Superior Fed. #1-27	
559	25	29	31	1980s	660e	#1 Slater	

FFG No.	Location Data			Distance from section line		Drillhole Name**	Revision
	T.	R.	Sec.				
561	26	31	1	660s	1980e	#1 Ruth Ross "O"	
562	26	31	9	660s	660w	Buckles Fed. No. 1	
563	26	31	11	1980s	660e	Baverdorf #1	
564	26	31	15	660s	660w	Fed. No. 1-15	
565	26	31	17	1980s	660e	Phantom Banks Unit Fed. 17 #1	top Rustler 302.5, not 332.5
566	26	31	20	660s	660w	Hanson Fed. No. 1	
568	26	31	25	330s	330w	Hanson #1	
569	26	31	25	1650s	330w	Hanson #3	
570	26	31	34	330n	330e	Hanson #2	
572	25	30	4	660s	660w	#1 Hopp Fed.	
575	25	30	7	660n	660w	Carper Hanson Superior St. No. 1	
577	25	30	8	1980s	660w	Poker Lake St. #3	
580	25	30	8	1980n	660e	Superior St. #1	
582	25	30	9	660s	660w	Richardson & Bass Fed. #1	
583	25	30	10	660s	645w	Poker Lake Unit #5X-1A	
584	25	30	10	2030n	2180e	Poker Lake #44	
585	25	30	12	1980n	1980w	Shugart Fed. No. 1	
586	25	30	14	1980n	660w	Poker Lake Unit #10A-6	
589	25	30	17	660s	660w	Poker Lake Unit #11A-7	
593	25	30	20	1980s	660w	Continental Fed. #2	
594	25	30	21	660n	660w	Poker Lake #6-2A	
595	25	30	21	1980s	660e	Poker Lake Unit #4	
596	25	30	22	1980s	660w	#3 Poker Lake Unit	
599	25	30	30	660s	660w	#1-30 Superior Fed.	
600	25	30	35	1980n	660e	Marshall Fed. #1	
601	25	30	35	660s	660w	Richardson & Bass Fed. No. 1	
602	25	31	2	1980n	1980e		Data dropped; Same as FFG218
606	25	31	35	660n	1980e	Big Sinks Fed. Unit #1	
607	25	35	5	660s	660w	Fed. Mounsey "B" #1	
608	25	35	6	460n	660w	Mounsey Fed. #1	
609	25	35	7	660n	660w	Mounsey "A" Fed. #1	
610	25	35	18	660s	660w	McCormick #1	
611	25	35	20	1980n	660w	Fed. Mounsey "C" #1	
612	25	35	22	660s	660w	Elliott Fed. #1	
613	25	35	26	660s	660e	Harper Fed. #1	
614	26	29	1	660s	1980e	Continental Fed. #1	
615	26	29	2	660n	660e	St. #1	
617	26	29	6	660s	660w	Ashland Fed. #1	
618	26	29	11	660s	660e	Hanson "A" #1	
620	26	29	13	1980s	1980w	Gulf Fed. #1	
621	26	29	13	1980s	660w	Gulf Fed. "B" #5	
624	26	29	14	660n	660w	Booth Fed. #1	
627	26	29	19	300n	2096e	Baker Fed. No. 1	
628	26	29	22	1980s	1980w	Ashland Fed. #1	
629	26	29	23	660s	660w	Fed. Boothe E #1	
630	26	29	24	660n	1980e	Gulf-Fed.-Beatty #2	

FFG No.	Location Data			Distance from		Drillhole Name**	Revision
	T.	R.	Sec.	section line*			
631	26	29	24	660n	660w	Gulf-Beatty No. 1	
637	26	29	34	949n	1660e	Fed. Littlefield "BO" #1	?= #1590 (F34) in Appendix A
638	26	30	2	660s	660w	Sinclair St. No. 1-2	
639	26	30	3	660s	660w	Scott Fed. #1	
640	26	30	4	660n	660w	Fed. K.W. No. 1	
642	26	30	6	660s	660w	No. 1 Brunson Fed.	
643	26	30	12	660s	660e	Monteray Blaydes #1	
644	26	30	16	660s	660e	St. #1	
645	26	30	18	660s	660w	#1 AT Fed.	
647	26	30	20	2310n	330w	U.S.A. #1	
648	26	30	24	1980n	1980w	#1-24 Strat Test	
652	19	31	2	330n	2310e	St. No. 2	
653	19	31	3	2310s	1980e	Hanson Fed. No. 2	
654	19	31	4	660n	990e	Carper Fed. No. 1-A	
655	19	31	4	760n	1980w	South Shugart Deep Fed. Unit #1	
656	19	31	4	1650n	2310e	Carper Welch #2	
657	19	31	5	330n	330w	Pan American Fed. #1	
658	19	31	5	2310n	2310e	Pan American Fed. #1-X	
659	19	31	6	990s	330e	Hodges Fed. #1	
660	19	31	6	330n	330w	Featherstone Fed. No. 1-B	
661	19	31	9	1980s	1980e	Continental Fed. No. 1	
662	19	31	11	660s	1980w	General Energy Corp. Fed. #1	
663	19	31	11	1980n	1980w	Gulf Oil Corp. #1 Holder "CT" Fed.	
664	19	31	13	1980s	2310w	H.J. "13" Fed. Comm. #1	
665	19	31	15	1980n	660w	Robert A. Dean & Jack McCellan #1 Fed. #15	
666	19	31	16	660s	1980w	Gulf St. #1	
667	19	31	17	660n	660e	Ross-Fed. #1	
668	19	31	18	660s	660w	Holt Fed. #1	
669	19	31	19	1980s	1980e	Tidewater "FE" #2	
670	19	31	20	990s	2310w	Sun Fed. #1	
671	19	31	20	660n	1980w	English Fed. #2	
672	19	31	20	330s	1980e	English Fed. #1	
673	19	31	20	1980s	990w	Sun-Fed. #2	
674	19	31	21	330s	330w	Tenneco #2-21	
675	19	31	23	1980n	660e	Jones Fed. #1	
676	19	31	23	1980n	1980w	Jones Fed. No. 2	
677	19	31	28	330n	330w	Tenneco-Fed. #1	
678	19	31	29	2310s	2310w	Barbera Fed. #1	
679	19	31	29	660n	1980e	CEM Oil Co. Fed. #1	
680	19	31	30	1980n	330w	Southern Fed. No. 6	
681	19	31	30	990s	330w	Southern Fed. No. 7	
682	19	31	30	1980n	667e	#2-Y Southern Union	
683	19	31	31	330n	330w	Brook & Adams Fed. No. 1	
684	19	31	32	330n	660e	Machris St. #1	
685	22	29	6	660s	660e	#1 Eddy Fed.	
689	25	32	3	1650s	1980e	Cotton Draw Unit No. 49	

FFG No.	Location Data			Distance from section line*		Drillhole Name**	Revision
	T.	R.	Sec.				
690	25	32	9	1650s	330e	Cotton Draw Unit No. 52	
691	25	32	10	2145n	2310e	Cotton Draw Unit No. 60	
692	25	32	11	660s	1980e	Continental Fed. #1	
693	25	32	14	2310n	330w	Ora Hall Fed. 14 #1	
694	25	32	15	660s	1980w	G.E. Jordan Fed. No. 4	
695	25	32	15	660n	1980w	G.L. Jordan #3	
696	25	32	15	455n	1980w	Cotton Draw Unit No. 75	
697	25	32	16	1980n	1980e	St. Z 16 #1	
698	25	32	18	660n	1650w	Cotton Draw Unit #64	
699	25	32	20	1650s	330e	Cotton Draw Unit #42	
700	25	32	20	330s	330e	Perry Fed. #43	
701	25	32	21	990s	990e	Cotton Draw Unit #57	
702	25	32	22	2310s	330w	Cotton Draw Unit No. 48	
703	25	32	23	660n	1980e	Fed. "P" #1	
704	25	32	27	330n	330w	Cotton Draw Unit No. 61	
705	25	32	28	2310s	990w	J.D. Sena Jr.	
706	25	32	28	2310s	1650w	J.D. Sena U.S.A. No. 1	
707	25	32	29	1980n	330e	Cotton Draw Unit No. 58	
708	25	32	29	330n	330e	Cotton Draw Unit No. 55	
709	25	32	31	1980n	660w	Ray Smith #1	
710	25	32	32	1980n	1980w	Conoco St. No. 1	
711	25	32	33	660s	660w	Hall-Fed. "33" #1	
712	25	32	33	1980s	560e	Jennings #1	
713	25	32	33	660s	1980e	Jennings #3	
714	25	32	34	660n	660w	Fed. Sunshine Royalty #1	
715	25	32	34	660n	660e	Sunshine Royalties #1	
716	25	33	1	660n	660w	Fed.-Muse #1	
717	25	33	5	660n	660e	Bass Fed. #1	
718	25	33	8	1980s	660e	Annie Bass Fed. #1	
719	25	33	11	660n	660w	Muse Fed. #1	
720	25	33	13	980s	660e	Fed. "BK" #1	
721	25	33	15	1980n	1980e	Ochoa Fed. No. 1	
722	25	33	18	660n	660w	#1 Bass Fed.	tops Tamarisk, Mag wrong
723	25	33	19	1980s	660w	Fed. #1-19	
724	25	33	20	660n	1980e	Fed. Bass #1	
725	25	33	21	660n	660e	Fed. Marshall No. 1	
726	25	33	23	660s	660w	Muse-Fed. 23 #1	
727	25	33	24	660s	660w	Perry Fed. #1	
728	25	33	25	660s	660e	Fed. No. 1-25	
729	25	33	27	660s	660e	Harry Dickson #1	
730	25	33	28	660s	660e	Annie R. Bass Fed. #1	
731	25	33	28	660n	660e	Conley Fed. #1	
732	25	33	29	1980n	660w	W.H. Jennings Inc. U.S.A. No. 1	
733	25	33	31	660s	660w	Richardson & Bass USA #1	
734	25	33	32	1980s	660e	Continental St. No. 1	
735	25	33	36	660n	660w	St. #1-36	

FFG No.	Location Data			Distance from		Drillhole Name**	Revision
	T.	R.	Sec.	section line			
736	25	34	2	660s	1980w	Southeast Bell Unit #1	
737	25	34	5	660n	660w	Bass Fed. #1	
738	25	34	8	660s	660e	Fed.-Muse #1	
739	25	34	10	660s	660w	Mildred Smith #1	
740	25	34	14	990s	1980e	Mildred Smith #1	
741	25	34	19	660s	1980e	No. 1-19 Fed.	
742	25	34	21	1980s	1980w	Ethel Nolen Fed. #1	
743	25	34	27	1980n	660e	Conoco Fed. #1	
744	25	34	31	660n	660e	Continental Fed. No. 1	
745	25	34	35	1980s	1980e	Olson Fed. No. 1	
746	25	34	36	660n	1980w	New Mexico St. #1	
747	26	32	1	1980s	660e	8105 JV-P Mesa #1	
748	26	32	1	660s	660w	Richardson-Fed. #1	
749	26	32	4	1980n	2310w	Sun Fed. "4" #1	
750	26	32	5	330s	2310e	Sun Fed. No. 1	
751	26	32	5	660n	1980w	Conoco Bradley #1	
753	26	32	7	990n	660e	N.C. Higgins Fed. #2	
754	26	32	7	660s	1980w	Continental Fed. #1	
755	26	32	8	1980s	660w	#1 N.C. Higgins Fed.	
756	26	32	15	1980s	660e	Ben Fed. #1	
757	26	32	16	1980s	1980w	Ohio St. No. 1	
759	26	32	18	1980n	660e	Thompson Fed. 18 No. 5	
760	26	32	18	1650s	1980w	#4-18 Thompson Fed.	
761	26	32	21	660s	660e	Fed. Payne No. 1	
762	26	32	24	330s	1650e	Fed.-Littlefield DR #1	
763	26	32	25	990n	990w	Wilder #23	
764	26	32	25	660s	1980w	Wilder 25-2	
765	26	32	26	1980n	1980e	Wilder #25	
766	26	32	30	660s	1980e	Russell Fed. 30 #1	
767	26	32	31	760n	2002w	Russell #1	
768	26	32	35	490s	330e	E. Payne 35 Fed. #1	
769	26	32	35	660n	660w	Bradley 35 #2	
770	26	33	1	660n	660e	Continental Fed. #1	
771	26	33	2	660n	1980w	Texaco St. "2" #1	
772	26	33	4	660s	660e	G.W. Miller Fed. NCT-1 No. 1	
773	26	33	6	1750s	1750e	Jones Fed. #1	
774	26	33	8	660s	660e	Miller-Fed. #1	
775	26	33	10	1980s	1980w	Goedeke Fed. No. 1	
776	26	33	10	2310n	2310w	Malcom R. Madera No. B-1	
777	26	33	13	660s	660e	Bradley 13 #1	
778	26	33	15	660n	1710e	Conoco Fed. #2	
779	26	33	15	660n	1980w	Fed. No. 1	
780	26	33	17	660s	660w	Fed. Littlefield DP Optional #1	
781	26	33	19	660s	660w	Fed. Littlefield "DO" #1	
782	26	33	21	1980s	660w	Continental Fed. #1	
783	26	33	22	660n	660w	Madera Fed. #1	

FFG No.	Location Data			Distance from		Drillhole Name**	Revision
	T.	R.	Sec.	section line*			
784	26	33	23	660s	1980w	Humble Madera #1	
785	26	33	25	660n	1980w	Elliott Fed. #1	
786	26	33	26	660s	1980e	Fed. Hall #1	
787	26	33	27	1980s	660w	Dixon 27 #1	
788	26	33	29	1880n	660e	Gulf Fed. Littlefield #1	
789	26	33	30	1980s	660w	Payne #3	
790	26	33	31	660n	660w	Payne #7	
791	26	34	2	330s	330e	Lea St. "JV" #1	
792	26	34	3	660n	1980w	Gulf Yates Fed. #1	
793	26	34	4	660s	660w	Yates Fed. #1-4	
794	26	34	6	1980s	660w	Fed. K #1	
795	26	34	9	660s	660e	Yates Fed. No. 1	
796	26	34	18	660n	660e	Continental-Fed. #1	
797	26	34	18	1980s	1996w	Pogo No. 1 Fed. 18	
798	26	34	19	660n	660w	Bradley 19 #1	
799	26	34	20	660n	660e	Leonard Fed. No. 1	
800	26	34	22	660n	1980e	Fed. "CH" #1	
801	26	34	26	660n	660e	Kirklin Drilling Hondo Fed. #1	
802	26	34	33	660s	660e	Elliott-Fed. #1	
803	26	35	1	1650s	660w	#1 Sinclair Fed. "A"	
804	26	35	2	660s	1980w	Talco Unit #2	
805	26	35	6	1980s	1980e	Perro Grande Unit #1	
806	26	35	10	330n	660w	Sinclair et al "A" #1	
807	26	35	10	300n	300e	Sinclair Fed. "B" #1	
808	26	35	11	660s	660w	De Mooy Fed. No. 1	
809	26	35	11	330n	330e	Sinclair et al Fed. "B" #1	
810	26	35	11	1980n	660e	Talco Unit #1	
811	26	35	13	660n	660e	Fed. #1	
812	26	35	21	1980n	1980w	New Mexico Fed. "P" #1	
813	26	35	22	1980s	1980w	Byers Fed. No. 1	
814	26	35	25	1980n	1980w	Sinclair et al "C" #1	
815	26	35	27	660n	660e	Fed. Boothe "BD" #1	
817	26	32	3	660n	660w	Fed. Boothe "BD" #1	

\* The distances for the borehole locations are measured in feet from north, south, west, or east for the section (square mile) as noted by n, s, w, or e.

\* Some consistent abbreviations have been used to shorten names.

The location data for this table was taken from Table 2 of Richey (1989). The FFG number is used to cross-refer to other data tables based on the work of Richey.

**APPENDIX B-2**  
**TABLE OF RUSTLER FORMATION DATA**  
**FROM RICHEY (1989)**

**Information Only**

**Table of Rustler Formation Data From Richey (1989)**

FFG No.	Reference Elevation	Forty niner	Depths (meters) to Top of Units				Salado salt	Revisions
			Mag- enta	Tama- risk	Cul- ebra	ulm		
001	1083.0	396.8					507.5	
002	1090.3	404.2	422.8	429.8	465.5	472.2	511.5	
004	1068.3	329.2	350.5	357.5	401.7	408.4	440.4	
005	1089.7	395.9	414.8	421.8	461.2	467.6	507.8	
006	1091.5	402.6	421.5	430.1	474.9	483.4		
007	1093.9	415.7	438.0	444.1	491.9	500.2	534.9	
008	1099.1	424.3			499.9	506.9	540.1	
009	1094.8	416.7	437.4	444.7	490.7	498.3	519.7	
010	1096.4	418.5			493.2	500.8	536.4	
011	1092.7	408.1	428.5	435.6	482.8	489.2	522.4	
012	1092.1	405.1	424.3	432.5	478.2	485.9	520.0	
013	1080.2	383.4	405.4	412.4	434.0	445.9	497.7	
014	1068.6	326.7	347.5	355.1	400.8	409.7	445.6	
015	1082.0	342.6	363.6	370.3				
016	1099.7	432.8	454.8	462.1	511.8	520.3	554.7	
017	1100.9	431.3	452.6	460.2	506.0	513.6	545.6	
018	1116.5	444.1	464.2	470.6	517.9	525.8	558.1	
019	1111.0	444.7	466.3	473.4	522.4	530.7	562.1	
020	1091.5	350.8	373.1	379.2	429.5	436.2	469.1	
021	1096.4	361.5	385.3	392.6			481.9	
022	1106.7	384.6	412.0	419.6			495.2	
023	1109.8	431.3	455.7	462.4	513.6	522.1	556.3	
024	1124.6	462.6	485.8	492.5	545.5	552.8	585.4	
025	1117.6	443.5	465.4	471.5	519.1	525.8	557.2	
026	1116.0	445.2	466.5	472.6	523.5	530.5	563.4	
027	1117.4	453.2	474.3	481.0	531.9	538.9	571.8	
028	1183.9	554.1	571.2	576.4	605.3	611.4	634.3	
029	1145.4	529.4	546.2	551.4	581.9	587.3	607.5	
030	1154.3	537.7	556.0	561.4	591.3	597.1	621.5	
031	1168.3	558.7	578.2	584.3	613.9	620.9	645.9	
032	1158.5	546.6	566.4	572.5	609.1	612.4	639.5	
033	1143.6	536.4	555.3	560.8	594.4	601.4	624.8	
034	1139.3	538.0	556.9	561.4	590.7	596.8	621.5	
035	1121.1	530.8	548.5	554.6	587.2	590.2	616.2	
036	1147.6	545.0	565.4	570.9	606.2	612.0	637.3	
037	1129.3	536.4	557.5	562.4	595.3	600.5	626.4	
038	1118.3	538.9	558.7	564.2	594.7	600.8	626.4	
039	1046.1	247.5	267.3	274.0	314.2	320.6	351.7	
040	1077.2	336.5	356.3	363.6	421.8	431.9	452.3	
041	1065.3	264.3	284.7	291.7	331.6	338.9	373.4	
042	1069.5	264.0	284.1	291.7	328.9	339.5	374.3	
043	1067.1	257.1	279.0	285.1	331.4	338.4	370.1	
044	1080.5	318.2	339.5	346.9	391.4	399.6	434.9	
045	1091.8	344.4	367.3	374.9		432.2	466.3	
046	1094.2	340.2					464.2	
047	1112.8	479.4	498.9	505.3	551.7	556.8	586.7	

FFG No.	Reference Elevation	Forty niner	Depths (meters) to Top of Units				Salado salt	Revisions
			Mag- enta	Tama- risk	Cul- ebra	ulm		
048	1106.1	452.9	475.2	482.8	525.8	532.8	578.5	
049	1119.2	477.3	498.3	504.4	551.7	559.6	592.5	
050	1132.5	484.5	504.9	511.0	550.0	557.6	595.1	
051	1131.1	482.2	503.8	509.0	557.2	564.8	600.2	
052	1132.0	480.4	501.7	507.8	536.8	542.2	566.3	
053	1137.5	494.7	514.2	522.1	574.5	581.9	627.0	
054	1150.2	508.3	529.6	536.9	587.5	593.6	631.4	
055	1145.1	503.5	524.0	532.5	579.4	587.3	623.9	
056	1136.6	492.3	514.8	521.2	572.1	579.7	615.7	
057	1134.8	489.2	509.6	517.2	570.0	576.7	610.2	
058	1147.7	506.7	524.1	532.6	578.4	586.9	621.0	
059	1156.1	512.7	532.5	538.6	586.4	591.3	626.4	
060	1138.4	492.9	511.1	520.3	569.1	575.2	605.6	
061	1137.5	491.6	511.5	517.6	566.9	572.4	605.0	
062	1122.6	548.3	569.4	575.5	608.7	615.4	643.4	
063	1118.1	583.4	604.4	609.6	647.4	652.3	679.7	
064	1127.2	567.5	588.6	595.3	629.7	638.3	666.0	
065	1110.7	567.8	590.1	595.3	638.9	646.2	661.1	
066	1113.7	616.9	639.8	644.3	679.4	684.6	712.0	
067	1127.5	590.4	611.1	616.3	657.5	663.5	691.6	
068	1125.0	628.5	643.1	649.2	694.9	701.0	728.5	
069	1130.2	605.9	627.8	633.9	682.7	688.8	722.3	
070	1130.8	577.0	598.6	604.7	646.2	651.7	688.8	
071	1115.3	304.2	324.6	331.0	360.3	367.0	415.1	
072	1105.2	365.5	384.1	390.2	424.0	431.0	459.4	
073	1107.4	389.6	407.9	416.8	448.1	455.2	484.1	
074	1107.0	383.3	403.7	408.6	440.6	446.7	476.3	
075	1108.3	335.0	352.3	359.1	390.4	396.2	424.9	
076	1097.3	260.9	279.2	286.8	319.7	325.8	355.4	
077	1095.8						323.7	
078	1087.2	212.8	232.0	240.2	272.5	279.5	310.3	
079	1091.2	243.2	261.5	267.6	304.2	310.3	340.8	
080	1082.3	254.8	274.0	281.9	316.7	324.0	354.8	
081	1097.0	350.2	369.1	376.1	413.9	422.1	452.6	
082	1084.8	305.7	325.5	331.6	373.7	379.5	411.8	
083	1115.6	422.6	440.9	447.0	477.5	483.6	511.0	
084	1107.6	386.5	405.4	413.0	446.2	452.9	481.6	
085	1108.9	394.7	413.3	421.5	453.5	459.9	488.0	
086	1107.3	384.7	401.7	410.0	442.3	449.9	477.0	
087	1107.3	409.3	427.3	435.9	470.6	477.3	506.0	
088	1108.9	414.5	434.3	441.7	482.8	486.2	513.6	
089	1108.6	432.8	452.6	459.0	494.7	502.0	531.9	
090	1094.5	394.7					494.1	
091	1091.2	371.2	390.8	398.4	438.9	447.4	477.0	
092	1097.6	362.7	381.0	391.1	426.7	435.3	463.9	
093	1097.9	360.6	379.8	387.7	424.3	429.8	460.2	
094	1095.1	354.5	374.9	381.9	420.9	428.5	458.1	
095	1138.7	432.2	449.9	457.2	487.1	493.5	520.0	
096	1174.4	484.9	503.2	509.3	538.9	545.0	569.4	
097	1149.4	478.2	497.7	504.4	534.6	541.0	568.8	

FFG No.	Reference Elevation	Forty niner	Depths (meters) to Top of Units				Salado salt	Revisions
			Mag- enta	Tama- risk	Cul- ebra	ulm		
098	1208.2	562.7	582.8	588.3	620.3	626.4	652.3	
099	1205.8	564.2	584.9	590.4	623.3	631.2	655.6	
100	1153.1	528.2	549.2	555.0	588.3	594.4	622.7	
101	1142.7	549.6	567.8	573.3	609.0	615.4	642.5	
102	1127.2	513.3	533.7	539.8	578.2	584.3	614.8	
103	1108.6	434.0	453.2	456.6	499.3	506.9		
104	1127.5	555.0	576.4	582.5	619.4	625.4	653.2	
105	995.2	68.3	85.6	93.9	127.7	133.8	182.3	
106	981.5	26.8	41.8	49.7	78.9	86.9	140.8	
107	987.6	42.4	64.6	70.7	99.7	108.8	151.5	
108	1015.9	82.3	97.5	103.6	137.2	146.3	179.8	
109	1039.1	121.9	140.2	146.3	176.8	182.9	207.3	
110	1045.5	158.5	179.8	185.9	213.4	221.0	246.9	
111	1062.2	165.5	190.5	195.1	225.6	231.6	256.0	
112	1056.1	176.8	195.1	201.2	231.6	239.3	271.3	
113	1054.9	161.5	179.8	185.9	216.4	224.0	252.7	
114	1014.7	90.5	109.1	116.4	144.2	151.5	185.9	
115	970.5	56.7	75.0	81.1	113.1	122.2	167.0	
116	972.0	42.7	61.0	67.1	100.6	106.7	176.8	
117	966.2	30.5	54.9	64.0	97.5	109.7	155.4	
118	968.7						124.1	
119	950.1	12.2			79.2	85.3	121.9	
120	956.5	12.2	33.5	42.7	82.3	91.4	137.2	
121	958.6	12.2	30.5	36.6	76.2	85.3	128.0	
122	954.0	9.1	27.4	33.5	77.7	85.3	140.2	
123	961.6	33.5	61.0	67.1	94.5	100.6	146.3	
124	977.2	76.8	111.9	119.5	139.3	146.3	191.7	
125	976.2	64.0	85.3	93.0	125.0	134.1		
126	1014.2	109.7	128.0	134.1	161.5	167.6	201.2	
127	1019.2	109.7	128.0	134.1	158.5	167.6	195.1	
128	994.3	46.3	67.7	76.8	107.3	116.7	141.7	
129	961.9	38.1	62.5	68.6	103.6	109.7	146.3	
130	979.9	25.9	50.3	59.4	82.3	91.4	125.0	
132	1002.2	45.7	67.1	73.2	103.6	111.3	149.4	
133	993.0	33.5	54.9	61.0	91.4	97.5	155.4	
134	988.2	24.4	44.2	52.7	83.8	91.4	126.5	
135	1002.5	65.2	85.0	91.7	121.6	127.4	158.5	
136	1007.5	73.2	88.4	96.0	125.0	131.1	163.1	
137	1007.4	60.6	79.5	88.1	114.6	122.8	154.2	
138	1023.9	126.5	143.3	149.4	179.8	189.0	225.6	
139	1023.5	115.8	133.8	141.1	167.9	175.6	213.4	
140	1042.6	193.5	213.4	219.5	249.9	257.6	292.6	
141	1030.4	157.3	176.2	184.7	210.3	217.9	247.5	
142	1042.8	193.5	213.4	221.0	246.9	254.5	285.0	
143	1052.7	196.9	213.4	221.0	248.7	255.4		
144	905.0	1.5			10.7	21.3	79.9	
145	905.3	0.0			12.2	18.3	74.7	
146	912.9	0.0			6.1	15.2	86.9	
147	908.3	10.4	10.4	14.6	25.6	32.9	92.0	
148	907.7	0.0			7.6	12.8	75.6	

FFG No.	Reference Elevation	Forty niner	Depths (meters) to Top of Units				Salado salt	Revisions
			Mag- enta	Tama- risk	Cul- ebra	ulm		
149	916.5	4.3			5.8	13.4	74.4	
150	903.7	1.5					79.2	
151	901.6	10.7			12.2	21.3	79.2	
152	905.3	12.2					68.6	
153	917.1	0.0				15.2	88.4	
154	933.3	8.2					95.7	
155	918.1	4.0	4.0	12.5	16.8	24.1	87.2	
156	908.3	1.8			1.8	12.8	70.7	
157	926.0	10.7	10.7	18.9	21.9	27.4		
158	941.8	4.6	4.6	10.7	13.7	23.8	85.0	
159	1001.3	45.1	64.6	72.5	102.7	109.7	141.7	
160	1002.5	52.4	72.8	78.3	107.3	116.4	146.9	
161	987.9	30.5	51.8	57.9	86.9	93.0	131.1	
162	988.8	32.9	55.5	63.4	96.9	104.2	131.1	
163	988.8	33.5	54.9	61.0	91.4	100.6	132.6	
164	955.9	0.0			18.3	27.4	101.2	
165	935.7	0.0			22.9	33.5	96.9	
166	993.0	38.7	57.0	64.6	93.0	101.2	134.7	
167	1019.6	82.9	97.5	105.2	132.6	141.7	182.9	
168	1001.0	33.5	56.4	67.1	94.5	102.1	157.9	
169	986.0	5.8	28.7	36.9	66.8	76.8	124.7	
170	934.8	1.2	11.9	18.0	31.1	41.8	95.7	
171	956.8	25.3	25.3	32.6	34.7	47.5	108.8	
172	986.0	48.8	48.8	53.0	70.7	79.9	134.1	
173	1022.6	87.8	108.5	116.1	145.7	154.8	191.1	
174	908.6	2.1						
175	937.0	116.4					175.3	
176	927.5	59.4					135.0	
177	913.2	24.1			24.1	33.2	100.6	
178	888.2	170.1			170.1	176.8	349.0	
179	896.4	9.8			9.8	21.3	79.6	
180	1062.2	118.3	141.7	147.2	179.2	187.5	237.1	
181	1016.5	65.2	65.2	69.8	86.0	93.6	147.5	
182	986.0	129.5	138.4	143.6	173.4	181.7	228.9	
183	1020.5	81.4			116.1	127.1	183.2	
184	1047.9	120.1	120.1	123.1	156.7	164.3	196.3	
185	1022.6	88.1	88.1	92.7	123.1	130.8	182.6	
186	1013.5	149.7	149.7	155.8	185.6	194.2	247.2	
187	965.6						275.5	
188	979.0	104.9	104.9	110.0	133.2	141.4	197.8	
189	1046.1	123.4	143.9	151.8	178.3	186.5	241.1	
190	1037.8	136.2	155.4	163.1	194.2	202.7	244.4	
191	1041.5	140.2	163.4	171.0	196.0	202.1	261.5	
192	1031.4	196.9	216.1	224.9	256.9	267.0	323.4	
193	994.0	247.8			247.8	262.1	324.6	
194	1075.4	235.7	253.3	259.8	286.9	294.8	336.6	
195	1059.2	203.9	225.2	230.4	255.7	266.4	305.7	
196	1042.4	144.8	165.5	172.5	205.4	214.9	249.9	
197	1034.5	135.0	156.4	163.7	193.5	203.3	244.4	
198	1031.4	133.2	153.9	160.0	190.5	199.6	247.5	

FFG No.	Reference Elevation	Forty niner	Depths (meters) to Top of Units				Salado salt	Revisions
			Mag- enta	Tama- risk	Cul- ebra	ulm		
199	1038.8	150.0	171.3	178.9	211.8	220.1	258.2	
200	1040.9	138.4	160.0	167.9	202.7	212.8	255.7	
201	1074.1	179.5	200.9	208.5	235.9	244.1	295.4	
202	1075.6	241.4	259.1	267.3	301.8	312.4	352.0	
203	1071.4	230.1	248.4	255.7	295.4	303.9	343.8	
204	1096.4	231.6	249.9	258.5	282.9	291.1	329.2	
205	1082.0	201.4	221.5	228.8	256.9	265.4	313.5	
206	1067.7	171.9	193.2	200.3	230.7	239.6	288.3	
207	1072.6	180.4	200.3	207.6	239.0	246.6	296.9	
208	1060.1	157.3	178.0	185.9	217.0	225.6	279.8	
209	1074.1	200.9	200.9	207.9	235.9	244.4	286.8	
210	1066.2	180.4	200.3	207.3	238.7	247.5	300.2	
211	1060.4	174.3					283.5	
212	1078.4	207.9	225.6	233.2	260.9	269.4	310.0	
213	1051.6	148.1	177.1	183.2	213.7	222.8	256.3	
214	1061.6	183.8	206.7	213.4	243.2	253.0	303.9	
215	1041.8	189.3	210.6	218.2	248.7	256.9	307.2	
216	993.6	256.6	276.8	283.2	304.8	310.9	473.0	
217	1057.7	184.1	206.3	214.0	242.9	252.1	301.4	
218	1053.1	189.6	209.1	217.3	249.6	258.8	309.1	dup FFG 602; deleted 602
219	1036.3	125.9	146.6	156.4	187.5	196.0	253.0	
220	1051.0	191.1	214.3	218.8	252.4	261.5	308.8	
221	1027.8	213.4	231.6	240.8	271.3	283.5	342.9	
222	1019.9	249.3	270.1	278.3	306.6	314.9	415.4	
223	1008.9						491.0	
224	1133.6	456.6	477.9	485.5	535.8	543.5	575.5	
225	1138.3	454.6	475.9	482.0	534.8	540.3	572.0	
226	1150.3	467.1	489.3	496.3	548.5	555.5	588.4	
227	1149.4	471.2					598.9	
228	1133.6	459.9	481.9	490.4	545.3	552.9	584.3	
229	1146.0	444.4	466.6	474.0	531.3	538.9	573.9	
230	1134.5	445.9	469.4	476.4	533.4	539.5	576.1	
231	1120.1	416.1	438.3	445.9	500.2	506.3	541.9	
232	1124.1	406.3	428.5	435.9	492.6	498.3	538.0	
233	1114.7	405.4	428.9	435.9	490.7	496.8	532.8	
234	1112.8	367.0	390.1	397.8	452.6	459.3	496.5	
235	1117.1	394.7	418.5	425.8	481.6	488.6	521.2	
236	1101.2	332.8	354.8	362.7	418.5	424.0	459.3	
237	1137.8	402.5	425.7	433.0	491.6	503.4	537.0	
238	1152.8	436.2	461.8	467.3	524.3	531.3	568.5	
239	1177.1	474.0	498.0	503.8	556.6	563.6	606.6	
240	1162.2	467.0	491.0	497.7	552.3	559.6	593.4	
241	1165.3	476.4	499.0	506.3	560.2	567.2	602.6	
242	1115.0	315.2	331.9	338.3	382.8	390.8	433.7	
243	1153.7	389.9	410.6	418.2	485.3	494.4	538.6	
244	1120.0	321.6	339.2	346.9	398.7	404.8	430.7	
245	1170.7	573.6	597.7	603.8	659.9	667.2	700.1	
246	1161.9	560.2	583.4	588.9	645.9	653.8	688.8	
247	1145.4	556.3	581.6	587.4	644.1	651.7	685.3	
248	1150.0	555.3	578.8	584.0	643.4	651.7	685.5	

Information Only

FFG No.	Reference Elevation	Depths (meters) to Top of Units					Salado salt	Revisions
		Forty niner	Mag- enta	Tama- risk	Cul- ebra	ulm		
249	1169.2	575.5	599.5	605.0	663.9	670.9	705.0	
250	1159.8	485.7	508.3	515.3	572.3	579.3	614.3	
251	1139.0	570.3	594.1	600.5	661.7	669.0	706.8	
252	1134.1	425.5	450.2	456.3	514.5	521.5	566.6	
253	1108.6	448.1	469.4	476.1	541.9	547.1	586.7	
254	1111.6	460.6	481.6	487.7	549.6	556.9	593.8	
255	1122.6	512.7	534.9	542.5	608.1	616.3	655.3	
256	1136.0	578.2	600.8	606.2	658.1	665.1	697.1	
257	1137.2	536.8	557.8	563.6	613.9	620.0	653.2	
258	1120.4	505.4	525.5	532.8	574.2	584.0	622.7	
259	1139.6	554.7	578.5	586.1	636.4	644.7	682.8	
260	1111.0	489.2	507.2	513.6	554.7	562.1	595.9	
261	1106.1	495.9	513.3	519.7	563.9	568.8	603.5	
262	1109.5				623.9	632.5	669.0	
263	1115.6	562.2	589.0	594.5	659.1	667.1	708.8	
264	1121.1	343.5	360.6	367.9	417.3	424.9	467.6	
265	1130.8	355.4	374.9	381.0	444.7	453.5	496.2	
266	1131.4	372.5	394.7	400.5	466.0	474.6	521.8	
267	1120.4	384.0	406.9	412.1	479.1	487.7	537.7	
268	1115.9	399.9	425.2	431.3	502.3	509.6	552.6	
269	1105.8	376.6	403.4	408.9	478.1	488.2	537.5	
270	1057.0	265.2	282.5	287.7	326.7	335.9	367.6	
271	1049.4	215.5	234.4	240.5	275.5	281.6	316.1	
272	1073.5	226.9	251.0	257.1	321.7	329.6	376.3	
273	1079.2	262.3	281.8	289.1	326.0	333.9	377.5	
274	1137.2	286.2	303.0	310.0	344.1	351.4	389.8	
275	1135.7	277.1	295.4	301.4	335.0	341.1	368.5	
276	1125.9	264.3	280.7	288.3	323.1	330.1	359.7	
277	1123.2	269.7	286.5	294.1	327.7	334.1	369.7	
278	1098.2	229.8	252.4	259.7	321.6	332.8	375.8	
279	1107.9	247.8	267.0	274.6	331.0	340.2	372.2	
280	1120.3	261.7	283.0	289.4	331.5	340.3	382.1	
281	1147.3	311.5	333.1	339.9	384.7	392.9	438.0	
282	1146.4	349.3					485.5	
283	1090.9	506.3	527.0	532.8	594.7	601.7	640.4	
284	1117.1	386.8	405.1	411.2	469.1	475.8	520.9	
285	1112.5	352.3	371.2	377.6	442.9	452.0	496.5	
286	1101.5	264.0	281.3	287.4	327.7	335.5	372.8	
287	1094.6	282.6	301.5	308.5	356.4	361.3	401.5	
288	1110.4	344.7	365.5	371.6	441.7	447.8	493.5	
289	1081.9	345.6	362.0	368.1	401.3	408.0	442.8	
290	1103.4	277.7	296.9	303.9	332.5	342.6	370.0	
291	1132.0	365.8	389.5	395.3	463.3	471.2	516.9	
292	1090.6	316.4	332.2	338.3	365.8	372.8	403.9	
293	1085.1	319.1	334.4	340.5	367.0	374.6	412.7	
294	1095.5	500.2	522.7	528.5	591.0	598.0	637.3	
295	1087.5	504.7	527.3	532.8	598.0	607.5	648.6	
296	1106.4	513.9					661.7	
297	1104.9	537.4	565.7	572.4	635.8	649.5	684.6	
298	1070.0	500.8	517.6	523.3	541.9	549.6	580.0	

FFG No.	Reference Elevation	Forty niner	Depths (meters) to Top of Units				Salado salt	Revisions
			Mag-enta	Tama-risk	Cul-ebra	ulm		
299	1078.4	484.0	509.3	514.2	580.6	588.6	637.0	
300	1062.2	518.5	541.6	546.8	581.6	589.2	645.3	
301	1046.4	531.6	555.3	560.8	610.5	616.0	687.0	
302	1092.7	550.2	574.2	578.5	649.2	655.9	672.4	
303	1099.3	563.4	588.1	594.2	650.3	657.3	694.5	
304	1088.1	547.7	570.6	575.2	642.2	649.2	688.8	
305	1093.9	559.3	584.6	590.7	650.7	659.3	694.3	
306	1075.9	583.7	606.6	610.8	662.9	670.6	714.5	
307	1078.7	560.8	585.2	590.7	646.5	654.4	694.9	
308	1075.9	584.6	610.2	615.4	699.8	708.1	752.9	
309	1093.6	558.4	585.5	590.4	659.0	665.7	705.0	
310	1087.5	523.3	548.3	552.9	612.3	618.4	657.5	
311	1085.4	586.7	598.9	604.4	656.8	665.1	698.0	
312	1076.9	539.5	566.3	572.4	647.1	652.9	692.8	
313	1106.1	171.8	191.0	198.0	235.8	244.1	273.9	
314	1121.1	258.8	278.0	285.0	332.2	339.5	386.2	
315	1131.1	348.2	366.8	372.6	429.6	436.9	480.2	
316	1133.2	361.8	385.3	391.1	454.8	463.0	509.0	
317	1097.6	305.4	320.6	324.9	365.2	372.5	404.5	
318	1123.5	365.5	381.3	388.9	413.3	420.9	457.5	
319	1120.7	351.4	369.1	374.9	416.1	424.3	458.7	
320	1129.6	367.3	388.3	394.1	460.2	467.6	513.6	
321	1124.7	364.2	386.8	392.6	456.3	463.0	511.8	
322	1124.7	369.6	391.5	397.3	454.9	462.5	507.9	
323	1120.4	369.3	390.9	397.0	445.2	452.5	493.6	
324	1122.0	360.3	376.7	384.0	422.5	429.8	468.8	
325	1079.9	260.3	279.5	286.5	317.6	326.7	366.4	
326	1117.7	363.3	381.6	388.6	411.2	419.7	460.2	
327	1102.2	353.9	373.1	378.6	412.4	420.3	456.9	
328	1121.4	364.4	386.9	392.7	447.6	456.7	500.9	
329	1120.4	364.8	386.5	392.0	451.4	459.0	507.2	
330	1115.6	360.7	382.4	387.6	446.1	454.6	504.0	
331	1103.7	350.2	375.2	381.0	450.8	456.9	501.1	
332	1124.7	380.7	405.4	410.9	485.2	491.9	537.7	
333	1130.5	384.2	407.7	413.2	479.9	487.5	531.7	
334	1125.9	382.8	407.8	413.3	481.0	488.9	536.8	
335	1129.6	372.5	395.9	404.8	466.3	474.6	521.8	
336	1124.1	369.7	393.5	399.0	466.0	473.7	520.9	
337	1124.4	385.9	410.6	416.4	482.5	490.1	539.8	
338	1123.5	378.7	402.8	408.3	476.6	484.5	533.9	
339	1107.9	396.8	423.1	427.6	496.2	503.8	554.1	
340	1107.0	385.6	413.0	418.2	489.2	497.7	547.1	
341	1025.0	523.3					623.3	
342	1056.1	308.5	329.2	335.9	373.4	379.8	404.5	
343	1032.1	732.1						
344	1040.6	327.2	347.9	355.5	381.5	389.7	418.0	
345	1073.2	297.7	321.1	326.6	394.6	401.9	444.6	
346	1076.9	328.1	354.6	360.1			482.0	
347	1039.7	273.7	295.0	303.0	340.2	346.9	384.4	
348	1035.7	244.8	262.4	267.6	297.2	302.7	349.6	

FFG No.	Reference Elevation	Forty niner	Depths (meters) to Top of Units				Salado salt	Revisions
			Mag- enta	Tama- risk	Cul- ebra	ulm		
349	1034.8	270.6	292.6	296.8	320.3	325.5	356.0	
350	1041.5	232.6	252.4	258.5	296.3	301.8	329.2	
351	1102.8	370.6	397.2	401.7	473.4	481.6	531.3	
352	1103.1	371.6	397.5	403.6	473.7	481.3	530.0	
353	1095.8	344.1	369.1	374.6	444.7	451.7	497.4	
354	1051.0	233.2	250.2	255.7	289.0	295.0	328.6	
355	1027.2	32.3						
356	1008.9	45.1					120.4	
357	1011.9	54.3						
358	988.6	25.1						
359	985.4	41.1					144.8	
360	1008.9							
361	1012.5	1.5	25.6	29.9	57.3	64.0	106.7	
362	1010.7	54.3			91.4	99.7	169.2	
363	1009.5	36.6			62.5	71.6	128.0	
364	993.6	50.9			75.3	83.8		
365	1003.4	60.0						
366	1010.4	50.0	69.8	76.5	98.8	106.4	146.6	
367	1006.4	30.5	51.8	57.9	74.7	83.8	129.5	
368	1012.5	-0.3						
369	1012.1							
370	1012.9	0.0			44.2	50.3	93.6	
371	1012.9	0.0	15.2	18.3	47.2	54.3	93.0	
372	1006.4				57.3	64.9		
373	998.1	53.1			89.1	96.1		
374	995.2	48.8	54.3	65.5	86.9	93.0	140.2	
375	994.3	76.2						
376	1010.4	25.6			62.8	70.7	114.0	
377	996.7	118.0					225.2	
378	986.6	72.2						
379	986.0	89.0						
380	989.4	80.6					210.8	
381	1021.4	0.0			106.7	112.8	146.3	
382	1034.8	60.7						
383	1046.1	90.8	107.3	114.9	137.8	143.9	178.9	
384	976.0		30.2	38.1	54.9	63.7		
385	990.6	68.6			74.7	83.8	134.1	
386	961.9	58.2					153.3	
387	1019.9	53.3	79.6	85.3	108.8	118.3	157.9	
388	1019.6	60.4	82.9	90.2	118.9	125.9		
389	1008.0	31.4			83.2	90.5		
390	1022.6	48.2	68.6	77.1	103.0	109.1	159.1	
391	1025.3	51.8	73.8	80.8	106.1	112.2	157.0	
392	1019.6	51.8	71.0	77.7	109.1	115.2	156.4	
393	1061.6	226.0	245.5	251.0	276.0	280.6	308.9	
394	1050.3	124.4	141.7	147.2	167.9	173.1	203.6	
395	1059.2	140.8	157.6	163.4	184.7	191.7	217.0	
396	1090.0	188.4	205.7	212.8	236.2	242.9	302.7	
397	1036.9	254.8	285.0	290.2	338.3	358.1		
398	1011.6	185.9	206.0	213.1	239.9	244.4		

FFG No.	Reference Elevation	Forty niner	Depths (meters) to Top of Units				Salado salt	Revisions
			Mag-enta	Tama-risk	Cul-ebra	ulm		
399	1001.6	163.1			216.4	221.0		
400	980.5	74.1						
401	972.3	97.5			132.6	138.7		
402	1023.1	20.6	43.7	51.1	76.0	86.4		
403	995.2	32.2	53.8	59.9	80.6	91.9	148.3	
404	976.6	50.9	75.0	79.2	103.3	109.4		
405	970.2	62.2					144.5	
406	968.4	0.0						
407	969.9	11.6	29.9	37.5	61.9	71.0		
408	965.0	51.8	51.8	56.4	57.9	64.0	137.2	
409	970.5				27.4	38.1		
410	950.7	94.0					223.6	
411	957.7	70.4			70.4	83.8	168.6	
412	970.2	14.9					125.3	
413	968.7	53.6			53.6	62.5	133.5	
414	965.8	47.7					157.4	
415	964.4	32.6					114.9	
416	971.1	40.8					130.8	
417	966.7	46.6					128.6	
418	1033.9	50.6			103.6	110.9		
419	1052.5	55.5	75.9	83.5	109.7	115.8		
420	1045.1	52.4	71.6	80.8	108.2	117.3		
421	1047.0	63.4	86.9	92.0	123.7	133.2	167.6	
422	1054.3	77.7	96.0	108.2	131.1	138.7		
423	1057.0	196.9					299.3	
424	1057.7	247.8						
425	1003.7	40.2					158.2	
426	996.1	34.1			69.2	76.8	139.6	
427	1042.7	94.5					166.1	
428	1048.5	110.9					205.7	
429	1044.2	63.1					160.6	
430	1007.7	44.5					198.4	
432	978.4	46.6	54.3	60.4	93.9	101.5	141.1	Surf el, top Rust wrong?
433	968.0	47.5			70.4	75.6	151.2	
434	944.6	41.1					118.2	
435	943.7	0.0					94.5	
436	943.4	42.2					120.3	
437	937.9	27.9					118.7	
438	1082.2	189.6	207.6	215.5	246.6	252.4	284.7	
439	941.2	23.8						
440	938.5	51.5					120.1	
441	938.8	32.3					120.7	
442	937.0							
443	934.2						113.7	
444	943.4	32.8					118.2	
445	960.7	40.5			40.5	49.1	133.5	
446	937.0	19.8					107.6	
447	922.3	25.0					98.8	
448	924.2	6.4					108.8	
449	931.8						112.5	

FFG No.	Reference Elevation	Forty niner	Depths (meters) to Top of Units				Salado salt	Revisions
			Mag-enta	Tama-risk	Cul-ebra	ulm		
450	925.7						106.4	
451	929.3	9.1					106.7	
452	934.2						126.8	
453	1049.5	187.3			267.2	276.6	323.0	
454	1011.8	87.2					184.7	
455	1061.3	223.7	243.8	250.9	291.1	299.9	337.4	
456	1063.4	234.4	250.9	258.2	286.8	293.5	332.5	
457	1023.5	138.4	155.4	162.2	192.3	200.9	239.0	
458	1025.8	137.6	153.2	163.5	192.5	200.7	240.3	
459	1070.5	253.9	271.0	278.6	309.1	318.2	353.3	
460	1049.7	225.6					321.0	
461	1047.6	222.2					317.9	
462	1032.1	148.0	166.3	174.6	203.5	211.4	250.8	
463	1021.1	107.6	128.0	134.7	166.7	177.4	209.7	
464	1035.4	135.0	155.4	163.1	192.0	201.8	247.8	
465	1031.4	128.6	148.4	156.1	186.5	196.3	247.5	
466	1070.5	235.6					331.6	
467	1025.7	519.5	537.5	542.4	594.8	602.7	645.4	
468	1064.7	571.2	599.2	604.7	687.0	691.6	742.5	
469	1046.4	612.3					688.5	
470	1067.1	557.5	582.2	587.0	659.0	664.5	707.1	
471	1036.6	510.8	536.1	541.6	610.5	616.0	664.2	
472	1032.4	468.2	494.1	499.6	530.7	536.8	593.1	
473	1060.7	569.1	592.5	597.1	670.3	677.0	721.2	
474	1100.6	349.9	371.2	377.3	423.1	428.9	465.7	
475	1103.7	354.0	374.8	379.9	417.4	426.0	465.9	
476	1090.1	268.3	285.1	292.7	329.9	338.4	378.7	
477	1102.8	328.3	342.0	351.1	376.1	384.0	423.1	
478	1104.8	349.2	365.1	371.2	402.2	410.8	449.5	
479	1106.4	353.9	370.0	376.4	399.6	407.5	445.3	
480	1096.1	341.7	363.6	369.7	408.1	414.8	454.5	
481	1090.9	359.1	375.2	381.9	409.3	416.4	455.7	
482	1103.4	342.0	359.1	364.8	391.7	399.6	438.0	
483	1094.2	309.1	326.4	332.8	353.0	361.5	403.3	
484	1095.6	323.4	342.0	347.5	369.7	374.9	423.4	
485	1096.5	317.1	333.9	339.7	366.2	373.5	413.7	
486	1097.6	331.3	348.1	354.2	381.6	389.2	428.9	
487	1097.0	333.1	350.5	356.6	381.6	390.1	427.6	
488	1088.6	340.6	357.4	362.0	390.3	396.1	439.7	
489	1086.6	322.0	338.2	344.3	369.3	377.8	423.5	
490	1072.6	217.0	233.8	239.9	265.8	271.3	306.9	
491	1077.5	221.6	241.1	247.2	277.7	284.4	324.9	
492	1067.4	249.9	268.8	274.9	301.8	310.3	346.9	
493	1069.2	265.6	283.9	289.4	316.8	326.0	359.5	
494	1069.5	258.2	277.4	283.5	315.5	322.5	356.3	
495	1072.3	272.9	289.3	295.1	322.5	329.2	375.9	
496	1108.3	392.9	419.7	424.0	492.3	504.1	552.9	
497	1090.6	369.1	389.5	395.0	440.7	448.4	488.9	
498	1104.9	367.9	390.8	396.5	459.3	467.3	515.7	
499	1091.5	376.1	402.0	406.9	479.1	488.3	541.6	

FFG No.	Reference Elevation	Forty niner	Depths (meters) to Top of Units				Salado salt	Revisions
			Mag-enta	Tama-risk	Cul-ebra	ulm		
500	1091.5	365.5	386.8	392.9	448.1	456.3	508.7	
501	1075.6	344.1	365.5	371.6	402.6	410.0	450.2	
502	1092.4	367.6	389.5	395.0	454.2	461.5	525.2	
503	1064.1	358.7	380.1	384.7	440.1	447.8	490.4	
504	1070.5	346.9	364.5	370.6	396.2	402.9	451.7	
505	1077.8	323.1	338.3	343.5	375.5	381.6	427.3	
506	1069.8	320.6	338.9	344.4	369.7	379.2	420.3	
507	1051.9	339.1	359.5	363.5	444.9	452.5	502.8	
508	1051.9	288.6	307.8	313.3	363.0	371.2	423.1	
509	1066.5	298.7	321.3	327.4	398.4	404.2	450.2	
510	1080.5	313.2	335.7	341.8	410.4	421.7	465.3	
511	1102.8	374.6	400.5	406.3	473.7	483.4	532.2	
512	1073.5	325.2	352.7	358.7	429.8	438.9	496.8	
513	1061.0	298.0	320.3	326.1	394.0	401.7	455.0	
514	1060.1	305.4	328.9	334.1	414.2	423.1	482.8	
515	1082.3	359.7	384.4	389.5	465.1	471.5	526.1	
516	1075.0	359.1	383.7	389.5	462.4	473.4	529.1	
517	1053.1	243.8	264.3	269.4	297.8	302.4	320.6	
518	1036.3	238.4	258.2	264.3	294.1	300.5	316.1	
519	1033.9	268.2	290.2	293.8	329.8	337.4	374.0	
520	1030.8	377.8	395.4	399.1	439.9	445.4	488.1	
521	1028.7	355.4	373.7	378.3	395.6	400.5	424.0	
522	1055.2	523.5	550.9	555.5	621.0	627.7	672.8	
523	1041.8	500.5	524.9	532.5	592.5	598.6	652.9	
524	1024.1	331.0	349.0	353.3	408.1	416.7	462.4	
525	1047.0	503.7	533.3	538.5	603.1	610.4	658.6	
526	1033.9	60.4			83.2	90.8	122.8	
527	1031.7	72.8	93.0	98.1	137.5	143.6	160.6	
528	1023.5	71.9	89.3	97.5	127.4	132.0	159.4	
529	1022.3	36.3						
530	1016.5	16.2			50.6	58.8	86.3	
531	998.2	78.9			103.3	109.4	143.0	
532	990.3	74.7		83.2	110.6	117.3	151.8	
533	994.3	65.5					138.7	
534	1021.1	74.7			128.3	137.8		
535	995.9	56.2	76.0	83.1	113.8	120.2	145.5	
536	996.1	67.7			103.6	111.6	142.6	
537	985.4	80.8			105.5	112.8	144.8	
538	1017.1	73.2						
539	1019.6	86.0					182.6	
540	1028.1	79.6					178.9	
541	1047.0	103.3					189.9	
542	1011.9	104.9					204.5	
543	997.9	27.0			65.7	71.2		
544	999.7	19.7					101.3	
545	1013.8	12.8						
546	1013.5	30.2	33.2	37.8	59.1	66.8		
547	1000.0	27.4					118.9	
548	1047.3	116.7	133.2	139.6	164.0	170.1		
549	909.8	37.5				55.8	110.0	

FFG No.	Reference Elevation	Forty niner	Depths (meters) to Top of Units				Salado salt	Revisions
			Mag-enta	Tama-risk	Cul-ebra	ulm		
550	889.1	35.4					99.1	
552	922.9	190.2			190.2	200.9		
556	908.9	60.4			60.4	75.6		
559	902.3	0.0			102.7	108.8	171.3	
561	1002.2							
562	981.5	310.9	329.2	336.2	359.7	367.0		
563	969.9	387.4	405.1	412.4	432.5	441.7		
564	969.3	306.3					411.5	
565	984.2	302.5	317.3	321.3	337.4	341.1	389.2	Rustler 302.5, not 332.5
566	971.3	277.4	292.0	317.0	336.8	342.9	393.2	
568	957.1	322.5			325.2	331.3		
569	952.2	263.0	281.6	289.0	319.4	328.0		
570	945.8	387.7				415.1		
572	995.2	252.4			252.4		320.0	
575	966.2	333.1						
577	972.9	203.1						
580	979.6	164.9					244.1	
582	981.8	271.6					356.9	
583	998.2	275.2					377.3	
584	1006.8	233.6	239.1	242.5	264.1	270.2	315.9	
585	1025.0	294.1			338.3	346.6	381.6	
586	1020.2	376.7					465.7	
589	975.4	192.5					280.3	
593	968.0	181.9					261.4	
594	989.7	253.0						
595	999.1	328.6						
596	1004.9	352.1						
599	942.4	247.7					298.9	
600	1003.4	274.3	275.8	281.3	303.3	310.9		
601	983.9	338.3	360.9	368.2	403.9	411.2		
602								Data dropped; Same as FFG218
606	1012.9	289.9	309.4	317.0	339.2	345.3	409.7	
607	1001.3	258.2	278.0	282.9	320.0	329.5	377.0	
608	1018.6	264.0	286.8	292.0	355.4	363.9	424.9	
609	1025.3	267.0	286.5	292.6	368.8	378.6	439.2	
610	1023.2	276.5	300.8	310.0	374.0	383.1	434.9	
611	1009.2	277.4	301.8	306.0	365.2	373.7	429.8	
612	977.1	243.7	261.4	264.4	298.0	307.4	352.2	
613	945.9	217.4	232.4	240.0	268.0	277.2	324.1	
614	919.0	242.3						
615	926.9	200.3					281.0	
617	881.2	18.3						
618	897.0	195.1			210.3	217.9		
620	909.9	150.1	171.4	178.7				
621	905.9	210.9						
624	907.1					71.2		
627	870.5					69.5		
628	881.5	13.3						
629	881.8	51.2					128.6	
630	912.6	56.7						

FFG No.	Reference Elevation	Forty niner	Depths (meters) to Top of Units				Salado salt	Revisions
			Mag-enta	Tama-risk	Cul-ebra	ulm		
631	903.1	44.7						
637	878.4							Location in question
638	975.4	383.7	402.3	408.1	438.6	445.3		
639	961.5	395.2	417.7	424.1	453.4	463.1		
640	966.2	317.1	335.4	343.1	368.4	379.6	446.7	
642	929.9			246.0			358.7	
643	975.4	286.5	305.7	313.0	333.1	338.3	399.3	
644	936.7	213.2	230.3	235.5	259.5	266.2		
645	929.6	165.7						
647	931.5	158.5						
648	960.7	402.3	419.4	424.6	447.4	460.2		
652	1106.4	227.7	246.6	252.7	283.5	290.5	320.0	
653	1096.1	216.1	236.2	242.0	273.4	280.4	307.5	
654	1098.5	199.0	218.5	223.7	252.7	259.4	286.2	
655	1093.0	195.7	214.9	219.8	245.7	252.7	280.1	
656	1091.8	197.5	214.9	221.0	246.6	253.3	282.9	
657	1083.3	177.1	193.5	199.6	220.4	227.1	253.3	
658	1088.1	189.9	206.3	213.7	238.7	245.4	271.9	
659	1072.6	170.7	186.5	192.9	215.8	224.0	251.5	
660	1071.1	151.9	169.6	174.2	197.7	204.7	226.0	
661	1082.0					215.8	243.2	
662	1085.7	191.1	209.4	214.9	242.3	248.4	275.5	
663	1093.9	209.4					294.1	
664	1084.5	196.3	216.1	222.5	248.1	253.6	289.6	
665	1075.3	157.0					237.4	
666	1063.1	125.0	142.6	148.7	173.1	179.2	203.0	
667	1059.2	135.9	153.6	159.7	183.5	189.9	213.4	
668	1043.3	95.6			117.2	123.9	138.2	
669	1036.3	102.1			123.4	130.5	145.7	
670	1049.1	103.0	122.2	129.8	151.8	160.0	173.1	
671	1044.9	127.2			144.9	153.7	171.4	
672	1058.0	114.3	132.3	138.1	160.9	168.2	189.9	
673	1037.2	122.5			143.0	149.7	166.7	
674	1064.7	127.7	143.0	149.7	171.3	179.2	204.5	
675	1078.4	182.4	200.7	206.8	226.9	234.2	259.2	
676	1084.5	179.5	192.6	200.3	222.2	229.8	252.7	
677	1064.4	132.0	146.6	153.9	174.7	181.1	207.3	
678	1062.8	127.1					197.8	
679	1060.7	125.9	143.6	150.3	169.5	176.8	199.6	
680	1043.6	86.3					162.5	
681	1041.2	93.9					168.6	
682	1059.2	92.4					168.6	
683	1032.7	140.5						
684	1061.9	152.7					231.3	
685	1003.5	85.4			85.4	92.4	177.8	
689	1059.2	242.0	259.7	265.5	294.7	302.4	341.1	
690	1052.2	227.4	247.2	253.3	283.5	291.4	334.1	
691	1052.5	236.2	256.3	262.1	291.7	299.6	341.1	
692	1057.7	251.5	271.3	277.4	307.8	316.1		
693	1050.6	232.9	253.6	259.7	290.2	296.9	338.0	

FFG No.	Reference Elevation	Forty niner	Depths (meters) to Top of Units				Salado salt	Revisions
			Mag- enta	Tama- risk	Cul- ebra	ulm		
694	1042.4	232.3	253.0	259.1	292.0	299.3	362.1	
695	1048.5	234.4	253.6	259.7	292.0	299.3	345.9	
696	1050.6	234.7	253.6	260.0	292.3	299.0	347.5	
697	1045.8	227.7	246.6	252.1	285.6	291.7	345.9	
698	1039.7	178.3	198.1	204.2	237.7	244.4	304.8	
699	1029.6	218.5	236.8	242.9	274.0	280.1	338.6	
700	1027.1	225.7	244.6	250.1	277.8	282.7	344.9	
701	1032.1	221.5	243.5	250.2	282.5	291.3	345.6	
702	1036.6	224.9	243.8	249.9	281.0	289.6	342.9	
703	1047.0	229.8	248.1	255.4	285.3	293.2	330.1	
704	1032.7	226.5	247.2	253.3	287.1	295.4	346.3	
705	1023.8	288.3	308.2	314.2	344.1	352.0	413.0	
706	1025.7	270.7	289.6	295.0	323.4	331.3	388.6	
707	1019.3	278.3	299.0	305.1	332.5	342.3	402.6	
708	1026.6	235.0	253.3	259.4	289.9	297.8	356.9	
709	1008.6	327.1	344.1	349.9	375.8	382.8		
710	1007.4	324.9	342.0	348.1	375.8	382.2	428.2	
711	1012.9	318.5	337.7	344.7	378.3	386.8	442.3	
712	1018.0	282.4	299.2	307.1	339.7	348.5		
713	1011.3	338.8	355.5	363.2	390.6	397.6		
714	1024.1	233.8	253.9	262.2	292.6	299.0		
715	1025.3	225.6	242.3	250.5	283.5	290.2		
716	1060.6	362.7	379.8	384.0	455.7	463.3	507.5	
717	1056.1	333.6	352.8	358.0	383.9	390.9	434.2	
718	1044.9	321.4	338.2	344.0	380.2	388.8	432.1	
719	1040.4	343.7	361.0	366.2	414.4	421.7	469.2	
720	1019.9	320.3	340.8	348.4	394.1	405.4	449.3	
721	1026.9	328.9	347.8	353.3	380.7	387.4	432.5	
722	1063.4	297.8	345.0	350.5	348.1	357.2	396.8	Top Tamarisk, Magenta wrong
723	1054.3	246.1	262.6	269.0	291.5	299.2	341.8	
724	1044.2	305.3	325.1	330.6	357.7	366.2	410.4	
725	1029.6	317.3	334.7	339.9	376.7	383.1	419.1	
726	1018.6	319.7	335.9	341.1	370.0	377.6	429.5	
727	1020.8	317.9	340.8	345.9	381.6	390.1	445.3	
728	1012.2	315.8	334.4	338.9	365.5	374.0	421.8	
729	1014.4	307.8	325.5	330.7	365.5	373.4	418.5	
730	1018.9	294.1	313.3	317.6	345.3	353.6	396.2	
731	1022.3	301.6	319.3	324.5	351.9	359.5	404.6	
732	1040.3	300.8	319.7	327.1	353.9	362.1		
733	1028.4	221.9	240.8	247.2	278.6	286.5	330.1	
734	1029.0	270.4	287.1	292.0	321.6	329.8	374.9	
735	1016.5	312.4	331.9	337.4	377.6	386.2	432.5	
736	1025.6	266.9	286.5	293.2	349.2	357.8	410.2	
737	1040.5	337.9	357.7	361.7	420.2	428.7	481.2	
738	1018.3	304.5	321.3	325.8	356.3	363.9	408.1	
739	1015.1	261.2	280.7	285.3	320.3	331.3	386.5	
740	1015.6	260.9	278.9	285.0	353.0	362.4	406.6	
741	1014.7	293.5	311.8	317.0	356.0	363.6	412.4	
742	1023.8	249.3	270.1	275.2	323.1	333.1	377.3	
743	1013.2	256.0	272.8	278.0	327.1	338.0	382.5	

B-14  
Information Only

FFG No.	Reference Elevation	Forty niner	Depths (meters) to Top of Units				Salado salt	Revisions
			Mag- enta	Tama- risk	Cul- ebra	ulm		
744	1012.5	272.8	289.6	294.7	335.3	341.7	382.5	
745	1006.4	276.1	297.5	300.5	348.7	356.0	408.1	
746	1007.5	287.7	308.4	314.5	362.0	370.3	425.7	
747	1016.2	234.1	253.0	259.1	290.2	297.2		
748	999.7	207.9	224.6	231.6	259.7	267.9	315.5	
749	1000.7	362.1	380.4	386.5	412.7	419.1	474.0	
750	996.4	298.7	315.8	323.7	351.1	359.7		
751	997.0	419.7	436.8	441.7	466.6	475.2	510.2	
753	991.5	329.1	354.1	359.5	389.4	397.3		
754	983.6	272.2	292.3	299.0	327.4	335.9	382.8	
755	980.8	342.6	357.2	364.8	375.5	383.1	552.3	
756	965.3	176.8	195.1	201.2	222.8	230.7	294.7	
757	966.8	173.7	192.6	197.2	226.2	234.7	292.6	
759	975.4						384.7	
760	983.0	386.0	402.5	408.0	433.9	443.0	480.2	
761	953.4	178.9					282.2	
762	956.5	192.5	214.1	219.9	252.8	261.4	317.8	
763	951.6	174.0	192.3	198.7	239.3	246.9	297.5	
764	945.8	167.0	185.6	191.7	222.2	229.5		
765	946.4	164.1	182.7	191.9	226.6	232.7	290.6	
766	950.7	252.1	270.7	277.4	306.6	315.8	363.3	
767	959.2	277.1	294.4	299.9	329.5	337.7	377.6	
768	941.5	153.6	172.2	178.6	216.1	223.7	278.0	
769	943.4	143.6	162.8	168.9	208.8	214.6	271.0	
770	1011.6	282.3	297.0	301.8	331.7	340.6	386.6	
771	1011.6	292.3	310.6	316.7	356.9	365.5	411.2	
772	1014.7	274.6	294.1	299.3	332.5	341.1	386.5	
773	1007.7	234.6	253.2	259.3	290.1	298.6	343.7	
774	1003.4	237.3	257.1	263.5	302.2	309.8	354.0	
775	1016.5	280.1	300.2	306.6	338.6	345.6	399.0	
776	1015.0	276.5	295.4	301.4	338.9	348.1	393.5	
777	1022.6	239.9	258.2	263.7	294.1	303.9	345.9	
778	1009.5	280.8	299.7	306.1	336.9	345.7	389.3	
779	1002.8	272.5	291.4	298.4	328.9	338.0	383.7	
780	991.8	222.4	243.1	248.9	280.3	288.2	331.8	
781	958.9	206.3	227.7	233.2	267.3	277.4	334.1	
782	990.3	234.1	253.9	261.5	290.8	299.6	344.7	
783	1002.8	266.7	286.2	292.0	323.1	330.7	373.7	
784	1005.5	256.3	274.6	279.5	321.0	326.4	363.0	
785	1019.3	244.1	266.7	274.3	304.8	313.3	356.6	
786	1014.7	253.6	271.9	278.0	310.6	320.0	358.4	
787	995.2	242.9	261.5	268.2	301.1	309.1	350.8	
788	976.3	219.8	239.9	245.4	277.7	285.9	325.2	
789	950.4	197.8	218.2	224.0	263.0	270.1	322.8	
790	943.1	185.6	204.5	210.0	246.6	255.7	312.7	
791	1005.2	292.5	316.8	322.0	366.8	376.3	422.0	
792	1008.3	257.6	276.1	279.5	317.0	326.4	373.4	
793	1016.5	235.9	254.2	259.4	324.0	333.1	353.3	
794	1014.4	240.8	256.3	262.1	290.5	298.7	344.4	
795	1011.3	232.0	251.2	254.8	293.8	302.1	346.3	

FFG No.	Reference Elevation	Forty niner	Depths (meters) to Top of Units				Salado salt	Revisions
			Mag-enta	Tama-risk	Cul-ebra	ulm		
796	1027.2	213.4	231.6	237.7	271.0	279.2	322.5	
797	1027.8	228.6	246.3	252.4	284.1	293.5	334.7	
798	1027.8	231.0	250.2	256.6	289.5	298.1	337.7	
799	1015.3	194.2	213.4	217.9	256.0	262.7	306.3	
800	987.7	239.3	257.3	261.2	294.4	301.8	349.9	
801	979.0	289.4	307.1	311.7	354.3	363.5	408.3	
802	1001.3	249.3	267.0	271.0	309.7	318.8	366.1	
803	929.0	204.8	224.9	228.6	266.7	276.8	322.5	
804	953.4	241.2	261.7	265.0	301.6	311.4	356.5	
805	999.6	310.2	335.0	338.9	382.2	390.8	445.6	
806	963.2	314.2	331.9	335.6	366.1	374.0	417.3	
807	944.3	260.0	280.1	285.6	317.9	326.7	371.9	
808	935.7	266.1					378.0	
809	929.0	210.0	230.7	235.0	271.0	280.4	325.8	
810	928.7	222.8	242.9	246.9	287.1	293.5	339.2	
811	909.9	377.2	391.8	396.4	432.0	441.2	488.4	
812	961.0	302.4	334.1	337.1	409.7	423.4	475.2	
813	939.7	287.4	312.4	317.0	375.8	386.2	443.5	
814	905.3	206.5	225.4	229.4	265.0	274.2	316.8	
815	932.0	275.7	301.6	304.6	350.4	359.5	415.0	
817	1009.8	327.7	351.4	359.7	399.3	406.9		

This table was created by reproducing Table 2 of Richey (1989) with a few additional notations where obvious typographical errors or duplications occurred. Locations and other data for each borehole can be found in a supplemental table in this appendix. Cross-referencing is through the FFG number assigned to the drillhole by Richey.

**APPENDIX C**  
**COMPARISON OF DATA SETS FROM RICHEY (1989)**  
**AND HOLT AND POWERS (1988 AND SUPPLEMENT)**

**Information Only**

## APPENDIX C

### COMPARISON OF DATA SETS FROM RICHEY (1989) AND HOLT AND POWERS (1988 AND SUPPLEMENT)

To examine systematic differences, if any, between the controlled data set presented in Holt and Powers (1988), and supplemented here, and the data set in Richey (1989), we identified drillholes common to each set (Appendix C-1). The thickness of each Rustler unit or equivalent was computed in English units. For each data set, basic thickness statistics were computed (Appendix C-2). The difference in thickness between data sets for each unit was calculated for each drillhole, and basic statistics were again computed (Appendix C-2).

Given the methods of interpreting geophysical logs (see Holt and Powers, 1988, for a review), differences of 1 or 2 feet are not generally significant, especially for a single drillhole. The Forty-niner, Magenta, Tamarisk, and Culebra fall within this range, while the unnamed lower member differs considerably.

We note that the Richey data indicate an average of about 2 ft more Tamarisk and about 2 ft less Culebra when compared to our data. There is a systematic difference in how we place the Culebra-Tamarisk contact (Holt and Powers, 1988) that fits very well with the statistical analysis here.

Our thickness of unnamed lower member is not comparable to data in the Richey reference. Richey clearly designates this final measurement as depth to Salado salt. We attempted to determine the depth to the stratigraphic contact between the Rustler and the Salado. *These are two very different concepts leading to very different depth data.* Salt has been dissolved from the upper Salado in many drillholes, leading to significant differences. It is also possible that the top of salt may have been interpreted within the Rustler for some drillholes. The relatively small average difference reflects the fact that top of Salado salt coincides with Rustler-Salado contact in drillholes at and east of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant. If top of salt was interpreted within the Rustler in some drillholes, these values would tend to average out differences when Salado salt was dissolved.

Our data were prepared under IT Corporation quality assurance procedures. The fact that these data sets correspond closely for equivalent units demonstrates that the technical approach was very similar for the Richey data set, although we do not have a reported procedure for their work.

**Information Only**

**APPENDIX C-1**  
**TABLE OF IDENTICAL DRILLHOLES IN RICHEY (1989) AND**  
**HOLT AND POWERS (1988) SUPPLEMENTED**  
**BY DRILLHOLES RECENTLY INTERPRETED**  
**BY POWERS**

**Information Only**

**Table of Identical Boreholes in Richey (1989 and  
Holt and Powers (1988) Supplemented by Boreholes  
Recently Interpreted by Powers**

<b>ID No.</b>	<b>FFG No.</b>	<b>T.</b>	<b>R.</b>	<b>Sec.</b>	<b>Distance (in ft) from section lines</b>	
=====						
1054	526	19	30	5	1980n	330w
1060	98	19	34	12	660n	660w
1071	389	20	30	31	1980n	760e
1072	395	20	31	7	1650s	660e
1074	39	20	32	10	330n	990w
1075	40	20	32	13	660s	1980w
1076	41	20	32	15	1980s	1980w
1090	418	21	29	3	1980n	1980w
1091	419	21	29	4	4620s	1980w
1092	422	21	29	5	1980n	660e
1094	424	21	29	6	3147n	660e
1096	425	21	29	18	1980s	1980e
1097	428	21	29	22	1980n	1980e
1098	429	21	29	34	660n	1980w
1100	107	21	30	26	660s	1980w
1101	432	21	30	35	1980s	660w
1105	225	21	32	1	3255n	1972e
1106	226	21	32	1	660s	1980w
1107	227	21	32	2	660s	1980e
1108	228	21	32	2	3300n	660w
1109	233	21	32	4	1683n	1650w
1111	238	21	32	10	1980n	1980e
1112	239	21	32	11	660s	660e
1114	240	21	32	11	1980n	1980e
1115	242	21	32	21	660n	660w
1116	243	21	32	26	1980n	660e
1136	685	22	29	6	660s	660e
1139	114	22	30	1	990s	1980w
1186	314	22	32	13	660s	660e
1187	274	22	32	14	660s	1980w
1188	276	22	32	17	1980s	1980e
1189	277	22	32	18	660s	660e
1190	278	22	32	19	660s	660e
1191	279	22	32	20	1980n	1980e
1192	280	22	32	22	1980n	660w
1193	281	22	32	25	660n	1980w
1196	299	22	34	8	660s	1980e
1198	302	22	35	1	660s	660w
1199	303	22	35	3	660n	660w
1202	304	22	35	9	1980s	1980w
1204	308	22	35	20	1980n	660e
1207	312	22	35	35	660s	660w
1235	166	23	30	24	1980n	660w
1238	453	23	31	2	660n	660e
1245	455	23	31	11	660s	660e
1246	456	23	31	14	1980s	1980w

ID No.	FFG No.	T.	R.	Sec.	Distance (in ft) from section lines	
=====						
1248	457	23	31	16	1980s	1980w
1249	458	23	31	21	660s	660e
1252	459	23	31	25	1980n	1970w
1253	460	23	31	26	1980n	1650e
1254	461	23	31	26	1980n	1980e
1256	462	23	31	27	1980s	660w
1258	463	23	31	32	660n	660w
1259	465	23	31	33	1980n	660w
1260	466	23	31	36	660s	660w
1261	315	23	32	3	1980n	660e
1262	264	23	32	9	660s	1980e
1263	316	23	32	11	1980n	1980e
1264	265	23	32	15	1980n	1980e
1265	317	23	32	18	1980n	660e
1266	318	23	32	20	660s	1980e
1270	266	23	32	24	660s	660e
1271	321	23	32	25	990s	330w
1272	320	23	32	25	990n	2310w
1273	322	23	32	26	330s	330e
1275	323	23	32	26	660s	1980w
1277	324	23	32	28	660n	1980w
1278	325	23	32	31	660s	660w
1279	326	23	32	33	1980n	660e
1280	327	23	32	34	1980s	330e
1282	328	23	32	35	1650n	2310e
1291	330	23	32	36	1980s	1980e
1292	329	23	32	36	1980n	660w
1293	331	23	33	4	660s	660e
1294	332	23	33	6	330s	330e
1295	333	23	33	7	660s	660w
1296	334	23	33	17	660s	660w
1297	335	23	33	18	660s	660w
1302	336	23	33	19	1980s	1910w
1304	337	23	33	20	660s	660e
1305	338	23	33	31	660n	660e
1306	267	23	33	32	660n	1980e
1307	268	23	33	35	660s	660w
1308	345	23	34	18	1980s	1980w
1309	346	23	34	19	1980n	1980w
1310	347	23	34	22	1980n	1980e
1332	178	24	29	27	660s	660w
1334	187	24	30	18	460n	660e
1336	191	24	30	25	660s	660w
1337	193	24	30	29	660s	660e
1338	194	24	31	2	1980n	1980w
1339	195	24	31	3	660s	660e
1341	196	24	31	4	660n	660e
1343	197	24	31	4	1659n	2310w
1345	200	24	31	6	1980n	1980w
1346	201	24	31	7	660s	660e
1347	203	24	31	11	660n	1980e

c-4  
**Information Only**

ID No.	FFG No.	T.	R.	Sec.	Distance (in ft) from section lines	
1349	205	24	31	17	660n	660e
1350	206	24	31	18	660s	660e
1351	207	24	31	20	660n	660e
1352	208	24	31	20	660s	1980w
1353	209	24	31	21	660n	660e
1354	212	24	31	24	660s	1980e
1355	211	24	31	28	660s	660e
1356	214	24	31	35	1980s	660w
1358	474	24	32	1	1980s	660w
1360	475	24	32	2	1980n	660e
1361	476	24	32	6	660n	1980e
1362	477	24	32	10	1980s	1980e
1366	478	24	32	11	1980s	1980e
1371	480	24	32	12	1980n	660w
1372	481	24	32	13	660s	660e
1374	482	24	32	14	660n	1980w
1380	483	24	32	15	660s	1980w
1382	485	24	32	22	1980s	1980e
1383	484	24	32	22	1980n	990e
1389	486	24	32	23	1980n	660w
1392	491	24	32	30	1980n	1980e
1393	492	24	32	33	660s	660e
1394	493	24	32	34	1980s	1980w
1395	495	24	32	35	660s	660w
1402	497	24	33	7	660s	660e
1404	498	24	33	8	660n	660w
1405	499	24	33	13	1980n	660e
1407	500	24	33	17	660n	1980e
1408	501	24	33	20	660s	1980w
1409	502	24	33	22	1980n	660w
1410	503	24	33	27	1980s	1980w
1411	504	24	33	29	660s	1980e
1412	505	24	33	30	330n	330w
1413	506	24	33	31	1980s	660e
1414	507	24	33	36	660n	660e
1416	510	24	34	4	660n	1650e
1418	511	24	34	6	660n	3300e
1420	517	24	35	5	1980n	1980w
1425	549	25	29	3	660n	660e
1427	550	25	29	8	660s	660e
1429	552	25	29	15	660s	660w
1432	556	25	29	27	660s	660w
1436	216	25	30	4	1980n	1980w
1437	572	25	30	4	660s	660w
1438	580	25	30	8	1980n	660e
1443	577	25	30	8	1980s	660w
1444	583	25	30	10	660s	645w
1445	584	25	30	10	2030n	2180e
1447	589	25	30	17	660s	660w
1456	593	25	30	20	1980s	660w

ID No.	FFG No.	T.	R.	Sec.	Distance (in ft) from section lines	
=====						
1460	218	25	31	2	1980n	1980e
1460	602	25	31	2	1980n	1980e
1461	222	25	31	28	660n	660w
1463	223	25	31	35	660s	660w
1464	689	25	32	3	1650s	1980e
1466	690	25	32	9	1650s	330e
1472	691	25	32	10	2145n	2310e
1478	692	25	32	11	660s	1980e
1482	693	25	32	14	2310n	330w
1486	695	25	32	15	660n	1980w
1502	697	25	32	16	1980n	1980e
1506	698	25	32	18	660n	1650w
1508	699	25	32	20	1650s	330e
1509	701	25	32	21	990s	990e
1528	702	25	32	22	2310s	330w
1531	703	25	32	23	660n	1980e
1533	704	25	32	27	330n	330w
1536	706	25	32	28	2310s	1650w
1542	705	25	32	28	2310s	990w
1543	707	25	32	29	1980n	330e
1545	709	25	32	31	1980n	660w
1546	710	25	32	32	1980n	1980w
1547	712	25	32	33	1980s	560e
1548	711	25	32	33	660s	660w
1550	716	25	33	1	660n	660w
1551	717	25	33	5	660n	660e
1552	718	25	33	8	1980s	660e
1553	719	25	33	11	660n	660w
1554	722	25	33	18	660n	660w
1555	724	25	33	20	660n	1980e
1556	725	25	33	21	660n	660e
1558	726	25	33	23	660s	660w
1559	727	25	33	24	660s	660w
1561	728	25	33	25	660s	660e
1562	729	25	33	27	660s	660e
1563	730	25	33	28	660s	660e
1564	731	25	33	28	660n	660e
1565	732	25	33	29	1980n	660w
1566	733	25	33	31	660s	660w
1568	734	25	33	32	1980s	660e
1570	735	25	33	36	660n	660w
1572	743	25	34	27	1980n	660e
1578	618	26	29	11	660s	660e
1582	621	26	29	13	1980s	660w
1583	620	26	29	13	1980s	1980w
1587	629	26	29	23	660s	660w
1588	631	26	29	24	660n	660w
1591	638	26	30	2	660s	660w
1592	639	26	30	3	660s	660w
1593	640	26	30	4	660n	660w

c-6  
**Information Only**

ID No.	FFG No.	T.	R.	Sec.	Distance (in ft) from section lines	
=====						
1594	642	26	30	6	660s	660w
1596	643	26	30	12	660s	660e
1597	645	26	30	18	660s	660w
1600	562	26	31	9	660s	660w
1601	564	26	31	15	660s	660w
1602	565	26	31	17	1980s	660e
1604	566	26	31	20	660s	660w
1605	751	26	32	5	660n	1980w
1606	756	26	32	15	1980s	660e
1608	763	26	32	25	990n	990w
1619	780	26	33	17	660s	660w
1620	789	26	33	30	1980s	660w
1621	792	26	34	3	660n	1980w
1623	799	26	34	20	660n	660e
1628	249	21	33	15	1980s	1980e
1629	252	21	33	32	1980s	1980w
1631	285	22	33	5	660s	330e
1632	289	22	33	15	1980s	1980e
1633	290	22	33	20	1980n	660w
1637	559	25	29	31	1980s	660e
1639	291	22	33	32	660s	660w
1640	624	26	29	14	660n	660w

The basic data for this report were prepared using Rbase 3.1, a commercial product of Microrim, Inc.

Tables of data from Richey (1989) and the Holt and Powers (1988) set, supplemented by recent data from Powers, were compared to find exact matches between the five columns including township, range, section, and distance from north, south, east, or west lines.

**APPENDIX C-2**  
**STATISTICAL COMPARISON OF RUSTLER DATA SETS**

**Information Only**

**APPENDIX C-2**  
**STATISTICAL COMPARISON OF RUSTLER DATA SETS**

**Information Only**

## APPENDIX C-2

### STATISTICAL COMPARISON OF DRILLHOLES COMMON TO RUSTLER DATA SETS

There were 219 drillholes in these two sets of data for which the drillhole locations are identical. Locations were matched exactly for township, range, section, distance from north or south line, and distance from east or west line. There may be other identical drillholes not identified because of minor differences in reported locations from source to source.

All data reported in tables for this appendix are based on English units of length. Data from Richey (1989), as presented in Appendix B-2, have been converted from metric to English units with a conversion factor of 3.28 feet/meter.

The thickness of each Rustler member was calculated for each data set by subtracting the depth to the top of the unit from the depth to the base of the unit, yielding a positive number for thickness. The two data sets were compared by subtracting the thickness value of the unit for any drillhole in the Richey data set from the equivalent thickness value in the Holt and Powers data set. If the Richey data set produces a larger value, this number will be negative.

Because the upper four members of the Rustler are easily interpreted, the differences between the two data sets tend to average near zero (bottom table). There is a slightly different interpretive criterion for the Tamarisk-Culebra contact that should produce a thicker Tamarisk and thinner Culebra in our data compared to Richey. The average thickness differences are consistent with this approach. Our concepts of a stratigraphic base of Rustler differs from "top of salt" in the Richey data, and the larger statistical measures are a consequence.

All data and statistical calculations were produced using Rbase 3.1™, a commercial product of Microrim, Inc. Standard statistical functions were unmodified. Simple variable functions were created to produce tabular data of thickness for each unit in each data set.

The number of values (sample size) for each unit varies because geophysical logs are not always interpretable for each unit in each drillhole. In addition, FFG 722 in the Richey data was eliminated from some calculations because of obvious mistakes in the depth to some units.

**Rustler Data Prepared for This Report (Appendix A-3)  
for Drillholes in Common<sup>a</sup> With Richey (1989)**

Unit	Number of Values <sup>a</sup>	Average Thickness <sup>b</sup>	Minimum Thickness <sup>b</sup>	Maximum Thickness <sup>b</sup>	Standard Deviation	Variance
Forty-niner	178	66	19	120	10	112
Magenta	178	20	10	28	3	11
Tamarisk	177	135	16	270	53	2,845
Culebra	188	24	10	40	4	23
Unnamed lower member	190	136	32	352	29	891

**Differences Between Common Drillholes in Data Set in Appendix A-3  
and Richey (1989) Data Set (Appendix B-2)**

Unit	Number of Values <sup>a</sup>	Average Thickness Difference <sup>c</sup>	Minimum Thickness Difference <sup>c</sup>	Maximum Thickness Difference <sup>c</sup>	Standard Deviation	Variance
Forty-niner <sup>d</sup>	168	0	-9	10	2	6
Magenta <sup>d</sup>	169	0	-12	11	3	9
Tamarisk <sup>d</sup>	165	2	-45	41	10	103
Culebra	174	-2	-18	23	5	28
Unnamed lower member	166	-8 <sup>e</sup>	-476	77	44	1,955

<sup>a</sup>There were 219 common drillholes identified (Appendix C-1) based on exact match of location data. Basic statistics were computed using Rbase 3.1, a commercial product of Microrim, Inc., without modifying statistical functions. Databases and data tables were established by Powers using Rbase 3.1.

<sup>b</sup>Thickness data are in feet. Richey data were converted from metric units by using a factor of 3.28 feet/meter. Standard Rbase functions were used to convert the data.

<sup>c</sup>The thickness differences (in feet) were computed by subtracting the value in the Richey data from our data. A negative number indicates that the Richey thickness is greater than our thickness.

<sup>d</sup>Drillhole FFG 722 was eliminated from these calculations because of major internal inconsistencies in depth data for the upper three Rustler members.

<sup>e</sup>The numbers in the Richey data set are not always equivalent to the unnamed lower member, and the difference in average value clearly shows that differing concepts were used in the different data sets.