
**Title 40 CFR Part 191
Subparts B and C
Compliance Recertification
Application
for the
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant**

Appendix DATA



**United States Department of Energy
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant**

**Carlsbad Field Office
Carlsbad, New Mexico**

Appendix DATA

Table of Contents

1		
2	DATA 1.0	INTRODUCTION 1
3	DATA 2.0	DELAWARE BASIN DRILLING SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM (DBDSP)..... 2
4	DATA 2.1	Program Overview 2
5	DATA 2.2	Reported Data 2
6	DATA 3.0	SUBSIDENCE MONITORING PROGRAM (SMP)..... 3
7	DATA 3.1	Program Overview 3
8	DATA 3.2	DATA 3.2 Reported Data 3
9	DATA 4.0	GEOTECHNICAL MONITORING PROGRAM (GMP)..... 4
10	DATA 4.1	Program Overview 4
11	DATA 4.2	Reported Data 5
12	DATA 5.0	GROUNDWATER MONITORING PROGRAM (GWMP)..... 6
13	DATA 5.1	Program Overview 6
14	DATA 5.2	Reported Data 6
15	DATA 6.0	METEOROLOGICAL MONITORING PROGRAM 7
16	DATA 6.1	Program Description 7
17	DATA 6.2	Reported Data 7
18	DATA 7.0	WASTE INFORMATION 8
19	DATA 7.1	Program Overview 8
20	DATA 7.2	Reported Data 8
21	DATA 8.0	WIPP BOREHOLES 9
22	DATA 8.1	Program Overview 9
23	DATA 8.2	Reported Data 9
24	DATA 9.0	REPOSITORY INVESTIGATIONS 10
25	DATA 9.1	Program Overview 10
26	DATA 9.2	Reported Data 10
27	DATA 10.0	COMPLIANCE MONITORING PROGRAM (CMP)..... 12
28	DATA 10.1	Program Overview 12
29	DATA 10.2	Reported Data 12
30	DATA 11.0	HYDROLOGIC INVESTIGATIONS 13
31	DATA 11.1	Program Overview 13
32	DATA 11.1.1	Exhaust Shaft Hydraulic Assessment..... 13
33	DATA 11.1.2	Culebra Water-Level Rise Investigation 13
34	DATA 11.2	Reported Data 13
35	DATA 11.2.1	Exhaust Shaft Hydraulic Assessment..... 13
36	DATA 11.2.2	Culebra Water-Level Rise Investigation 14
37	DATA 12.0	WASTE CONTAINERS AND EMPLACEMENT 17
38	DATA 12.1	Program Overview 17
39	DATA 12.2	Reported Data 17

1

ATTACHMENTS

- 2 Attachment A: Delaware Basin Drilling Surveillance Data
- 3 Attachment B: Historical Water Level Data
- 4 Attachment C: Water Quality Sampling Data
- 5 Attachment D: Inventory and Emplaced Waste Data
- 6 Attachment E: WWIS Nuclide Report
- 7 Attachment F: TRU Waste Inventory Update Report
- 8 Attachment G: WIPP Borehole Update
- 9 Attachment H: WIPP Waste Containers and Emplacement

1 **DATA 1.0 INTRODUCTION**

2 Appendix DATA provides the data used to develop the 2004 Compliance Recertification
 3 Application (CRA). Interpretation and analysis of that data is provided in the appropriate
 4 sections of CRA-2004.

5 Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) paragraph 194.15, Content of Recertification
 6 Applications (Sections 194.15 (a) (1), (2), (3), and (5)), requires that the U.S. Department of
 7 Energy (DOE) provide information gained since the Compliance Certification Application
 8 (CCA) related to site geology, hydrology, meteorology, and emplaced waste. Additional
 9 monitoring results and the results of laboratory investigations completed after the CCA must also
 10 be provided, as well as information regarding the waste emplaced in the disposal system.

11 In the initial U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) certification of compliance for the
 12 WIPP (63 FR 27354), the EPA agreed that 10 compliance monitoring parameters (COMPs)
 13 would be monitored during the operational period of the project. Of the 10, subsidence does not
 14 have to be monitored during the operational period, but is done to gage the behavior of the
 15 disposal system. This document provides monitoring data related to these COMPs. The
 16 locations, in this appendix, of the data for the COMPS are listed below:

17 COMP	Location of Relevant Data
18 Culebra groundwater composition	Sections 5, 10, 11, and
19	Attachment C
20 Change in Culebra groundwater flow	Sections 5, 10, 11, and
21	Attachment B
22 Probability of encountering a Castile brine reservoir	Sections 2, 10, and Attachment A
23 Drilling rate	Sections 2, 10, and Attachment A
24 Subsidence measurement	Sections 3 and 10
25 Waste activity	Sections 7, 10, and
26	Attachments D-F
27 Creep closure and stresses	Sections 4 and 10
28 Extent of brittle deformation	Sections 4, 9, and 10
29 Initiation of brittle deformation	Sections 4 and 10
30 Displacement of deformation features	Sections 4 and 10

31 Monitoring is performed to detect substantial deviations from the assumptions used in the CCA.
 32 The above COMPs are being monitored during the pre-closure period.

1 **DATA 2.0 DELAWARE BASIN DRILLING SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM (DBDSP)**

2 The Delaware Basin Drilling Surveillance Program monitors drilling activities in the vicinity of
3 WIPP. This section provides a brief discussion of that program and identifies the relevant data
4 reports.

5 **DATA 2.1 Program Overview**

6 The EPA requires the DOE to demonstrate the expected containment performance of the disposal
7 system using a performance assessment (PA). The PA documented in the CCA demonstrated
8 that the WIPP complies with the EPA's containment standards for undisturbed and human
9 intrusion scenarios.

10 The EPA required the use of historic drilling information to derive the drilling rate for PA
11 intrusion scenarios. The DBDSP monitoring data is used to determine the drilling rate and
12 continues to monitor drilling related activities to ensure the assumptions and scenarios used in
13 PA remain valid. These monitoring activities will continue until the DOE and EPA agree that no
14 benefit can be gained by further monitoring.

15 **DATA 2.2 Reported Data**

16 Relevant data generated through the Delaware Basin Monitoring Program are provided in
17 Attachment A, as well as the following reports.

- 18 • Delaware Basin Monitoring Annual Report; DOE/WIPP-99-2308 Rev. 0, September
19 1999.
- 20 • Delaware Basin Monitoring Annual Report; DOE/WIPP-99-2308 Rev. 1, September
21 2000.
- 22 • Delaware Basin Monitoring Annual Report; DOE/WIPP-99-2308 Rev. 2, September
23 2001.
- 24 • Delaware Basin Monitoring Annual Report; DOE/WIPP-99-2308 Rev. 3, September
25 2002.

1 **DATA 3.0 SUBSIDENCE MONITORING PROGRAM (SMP)**

2 Subsidence monitoring is the measurement of vertical movement of the land surface relative to a
3 reference location, using state-of-the-art leveling equipment. This section provides a brief
4 discussion of this program and identifies the relevant data reports.

5 **DATA 3.1 Program Overview**

6 The subsidence monitoring program uses a leveling survey to measure the relative vertical height
7 differences between benchmarks placed a known distance apart. Usually, one reference
8 benchmark is the standard and the relative movement of the other benchmarks is measured to
9 detect vertical height differences. Land surface movement is determined by comparing more
10 recent survey data with survey results from earlier surveys. Subsidence measurements would
11 detect substantial deviations from expected subsidence.

12 **DATA 3.2 Reported Data**

13 Data generated through the Subsidence Monitoring Program are provided in the following
14 reports. Each report is inclusive of previous data collection activities.

- 15 • WIPP Subsidence Monument Leveling Surveys 1986, 1997, DOE/WIPP 98-2293, June
16 1998.
- 17 • WIPP Subsidence Monument Leveling Surveys 1998, DOE/WIPP 99-2293, October
18 1998.
- 19 • WIPP Subsidence Monument Leveling Surveys 1999, DOE/WIPP 00-2293, October
20 1999.
- 21 • WIPP Subsidence Monument Leveling Surveys 2000, DOE/WIPP 01-2293, October
22 2000.
- 23 • WIPP Subsidence Monument Leveling Surveys 2001, DOE/WIPP 02-2293, October
24 2001.
- 25 • WIPP Subsidence Monument Leveling Surveys 2002, DOE/WIPP 03-2293, October
26 2002.

1 **DATA 4.0 GEOTECHNICAL MONITORING PROGRAM (GMP)**

2 The geotechnical monitoring program measures in-situ geotechnical data in the WIPP repository.
3 This section provides a brief discussion of the geotechnical monitoring program and identifies
4 the relevant data reports.

5 **DATA 4.1 Program Overview**

6 The geotechnical monitoring program obtains in-situ data to support the continuous assessment
7 of underground facilities. A detailed description of the geotechnical programs and procedures is
8 presented in WP07-1, Geotechnical Engineering Program Plan. Specifically, the program
9 provides for:

- 10 • early detection of conditions that could affect operational safety;
- 11 • guidance for design modifications and remedial actions; and
- 12 • data for interpreting the behavior of underground openings in comparison with
13 established design criteria.

14 The geotechnical programs generate instrumentation data and observations, confirm the
15 understanding of site characteristics, and aid in the assessment of the stability and performance
16 of the underground facility. Associated programs include the Geosciences Program, the
17 Geomechanics Program, and the Rock Mechanics Program. They are described in the following
18 paragraphs.

19 The Geosciences Program serves to confirm site suitability through surface and underground
20 field investigations. These activities generate data used in monitoring the repository and in rock
21 mechanics studies. Information from the Geosciences Program is used to document the existing
22 geologic conditions and characteristics and to monitor excavation response. Activities
23 associated with this program include geologic and fracture mapping of the excavation surface,
24 core logging, and borehole observations.

25 The Geomechanics Program monitors the geomechanical response of the underground openings
26 after mining using instrumentation installed in the shafts and drifts of the facility. Geotechnical
27 instrumentation installed underground in the shafts and drifts include tape extensometer points,
28 convergence meters, borehole extensometers, piezometers, strain gages, load cells, and crack
29 meters. The instrumentation is sensitive enough to detect small changes in rock displacements
30 and stresses.

31 In order to determine significant deviations from expected conditions, the Rock Mechanics
32 Program assesses the performance of the WIPP for long-term safety and excavation stability of
33 the underground openings during the operational phase. The results from these assessments
34 allow the identification of areas of potential instability and the application of remedial actions, if
35 necessary. Field data are used to compare the actual mechanical performance of the excavations
36 to expected results. Analytical methods, such as numerical modeling, are used to determine the
37 potential effects of mining new excavations, excavation sequence, and long-term behavior of the

1 repository. The time-dependent properties of the salt are of significance. Extensive
2 experimental work and observations have established an appropriate, constitutive relationship for
3 salt that is used to predict its in-situ mechanical performance. These assessments rely heavily on
4 the in-situ instrumentation data and field observations from the geosciences and geomechanics
5 programs.

6 **DATA 4.2 Reported Data**

7 Data generated through the geotechnical monitoring program are reported annually in the
8 Geotechnical Analysis Report. References for these reports prepared since the development of
9 the CCA are provided below. Each report is inclusive of previous data collection activities.

- 10 • Westinghouse Electric Corporation, 1997, Geotechnical Analysis Report for July 1995 –
11 June 1996, Carlsbad, NM.
- 12 • Westinghouse Electric Corporation, 1998, Geotechnical Analysis Report for July 1996 –
13 June 1997, DOE/WIPP 98-3118, Carlsbad, NM.
- 14 • Westinghouse Electric Corporation, 1999, Geotechnical Analysis Report for July 1997 –
15 June 1998, DOE/WIPP 99-2300, Carlsbad, NM.
- 16 • Westinghouse TRU Solutions, LLC, 2000, Geotechnical Analysis Report for July 1998 –
17 June 1999, DOE/WIPP 00-3177, Carlsbad, NM.
- 18 • Westinghouse TRU Solutions, LLC, 2001, Geotechnical Analysis Report for July 1999 –
19 June 2000, DOE/WIPP 01-3177, Carlsbad, NM.
- 20 • Westinghouse TRU Solutions, LLC, 2002, Geotechnical Analysis Report for July 2000 –
21 June 2001, DOE/WIPP 02-3177, Carlsbad, NM.
- 22 • Washington TRU Solutions, LLC, 2003, Geotechnical Analysis Report for July 2001
23 – June 2002, DOE/WIPP 03-3177, Carlsbad, NM.

1 **DATA 5.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING PROGRAM (GWMP)**

2 The Groundwater Monitoring Program collects and analyzes groundwater from various wells at
3 or near the WIPP Site. This section briefly describes the GWMP and identifies relevant reports.

4 **DATA 5.1 Program Overview**

5 The GWMP is designed to ensure compliance with the WIPP Compliance Certification
6 mandated by 40 CFR 191 Subparts B and C. One function of the GWMP most relevant to
7 compliance with 40 CFR 191 Subparts B and C and 40 CFR 194 is the collection of Culebra
8 groundwater data such as water levels and water quality from numerous wells located at and near
9 the facility. The Culebra was selected as the focus of the GWMP. It has been extensively
10 studied during past hydrologic characterization programs and was found to be the most likely
11 hydrologic pathway to the accessible environment for any potential human-intrusion-caused
12 release scenario. Data obtained through this program are used to generate the Culebra
13 groundwater composition and the Culebra groundwater flow COMP parameters. Details on how
14 the program is implemented are provided in Appendix MON-2004.

15 **DATA 5.2 Reported Data**

16 Attachment B provides a summary of water levels during the recertification time frame.
17 Attachment C shows the water quality data for the Water Quality Sampling Program (WQSP)
18 wells. The annual Site Environmental Reports listed below provide data relevant to the GWMP.

- 19 • Westinghouse Electric Corporation, 1996, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Site Environmental
20 Report for Calendar Year 1995, DOE/WIPP 96-2182, Carlsbad, NM.
- 21 • Westinghouse Electric Corporation, 1997, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Site Environmental
22 Report for Calendar Year 1996, DOE/WIPP 97-2225, Carlsbad, NM.
- 23 • Westinghouse Electric Corporation, 1998, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Site Environmental
24 Report for Calendar Year 1997, DOE/WIPP 98-2225, Carlsbad, NM.
- 25 • Westinghouse Electric Corporation, 1999, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Site Environmental
26 Report for Calendar Year 1998, DOE/WIPP 99-2225, Carlsbad, NM.
- 27 • Environmental Science & Research Foundation, 2000, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Site
28 Environmental Report for Calendar Year 1999, DOE/WIPP 00-2225, Carlsbad, NM.
- 29 • Environmental Science & Research Foundation, 2001, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Site
30 Environmental Report for Calendar Year 2000, DOE/WIPP 01-2225, Carlsbad, NM.
- 31 • Westinghouse TRU Solutions, 2002, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant 2001 Site Environmental
32 Report, DOE/WIPP 02-2225, Carlsbad, NM.
- 33 • Washington Regulatory & Environmental Services, 2003, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Site
34 Environmental Report Calendar Year 2002, DOE/WIPP 03-2225, Carlsbad, NM.

1 **DATA 6.0 METEOROLOGICAL MONITORING PROGRAM**

2 The meteorological monitoring program measures atmospheric data for the WIPP Site. This
3 section provides a brief description of the program and a list of relevant reports.

4 **DATA 6.1 Program Description**

5 The primary WIPP meteorological station is located 600.5 m (1,970 ft) northeast of the Waste
6 Handling Building. The main function of the station is to provide data for atmospheric
7 modeling. The station measures and records wind speed, wind direction, and temperature at
8 elevations of 2, 10, and 50 m (6.5, 33, and 165 ft). The station records ground-level
9 measurements of barometric pressure, relative humidity, precipitation, and solar radiation.

10 **DATA 6.2 Reported Data**

11 The annual Site Environmental Reports listed in Section 5.2 provide data relevant to the
12 Meteorological Monitoring Program. CCA Appendix CLI provides information on past (long-
13 term) climatic conditions and possible future expectations at the WIPP site.

1 **DATA 8.0 WIPP BOREHOLES**

2 Information regarding WIPP monitoring wells is identified in this section and relevant data are
3 provided.

4 **DATA 8.1 Program Overview**

5 Information provided in this section was reported in DOE/WIPP 95-2092, Rev. 1, Waste
6 Isolation Pilot Plant Borehole Data Report (CCA Appendix BH). The purpose of CCA
7 Appendix BH was to serve as a central document providing data on boreholes. The report
8 contained a comprehensive database on wells drilled in support of the WIPP and boreholes that
9 were located within the 16-section Land Withdrawal Area.

10 **DATA 8.2 Reported Data**

11 Attachment G provides updates on all of the monitoring wells used in Appendix BH and the new
12 monitoring wells drilled since the initial certification. The attachment also adds the wells that
13 were in use but inadvertently omitted from CCA Appendix BH.

1 **DATA 9.0 REPOSITORY INVESTIGATIONS**

2 The WIPP repository investigations program conducts research activities to confirm
3 assumptions, reduce uncertainty and resolve issues regarding the conceptual models and
4 parameters used in performance assessment. The program is briefly described in this section and
5 references to relevant reports are provided.

6 **DATA 9.1 Program Overview**

7 The DOE has implemented and/or continued several experimental activities that were designed
8 to address specific issues/needs of the WIPP repository. In addition, other investigations have
9 been initiated to examine impacts of planned changes. The general areas covered under these
10 investigations include:

- 11 • geochemistry,
- 12 • engineered barriers, and
- 13 • rock mechanics.

14 **DATA 9.2 Reported Data**

15 Data acquired by the DOE from the repository investigations are available in the following
16 reports published since the initial certification:

- 17 • Los Alamos National Laboratory, The Actinide Source-Term Waste Test Program
18 (STTP) Final Report, Volume I, LA-UR-01-6822, Summer 2001.
- 19 • Sandia National Laboratories, 2001a, “Sandia National Laboratories Technical Baseline
20 Reports, WBS 1.3.5.4, Repository Investigations, Milestone RI010, January 31, 2001,”
21 ERMS 516749, Sandia WIPP Records Center, Carlsbad, NM.
- 22 • Sandia National Laboratories, 2001b, “Sandia National Laboratories Technical Baseline
23 Reports, WBS 1.3.5.4, Repository Investigations, Milestone RI020, July 31, 2001,”
24 ERMS 518970, Sandia WIPP Records Center, Carlsbad, NM.
- 25 • Sandia National Laboratories, 2002a, “Sandia National Laboratories Technical Baseline
26 Reports, WBS 1.3.5.3, Compliance Monitoring; WBS 1.3.5.4, Repository Investigations,
27 Milestone RI110, January 31, 2002,” ERMS 520467, Sandia WIPP Records Center,
28 Carlsbad, NM.
- 29 • Sandia National Laboratories, 2002b, “Sandia National Laboratories Technical Baseline
30 Reports, WBS 1.3.5.3, Compliance Monitoring; WBS 1.3.5.4, Repository Investigations,
31 Milestone RI130, July 31, 2002,” ERMS 523189, Sandia WIPP Records Center,
32 Carlsbad, NM.

- 1 • Sandia National Laboratories, 2003, “Sandia National Laboratories Technical Baseline
2 Report, WBS 1.3.5.3, Compliance Monitoring; WBS 1.3.5.4, Repository Investigations,
3 Milestone RI 03-210, January 31, 2003,” ERMS 526049, Sandia WIPP Records Center,
4 Carlsbad, NM

1 **DATA 10.0 COMPLIANCE MONITORING PROGRAM (CMP)**

2 Annually, the CMP extracts data from the repository investigations and five of the monitoring
3 programs described above (DBDSP, SMP, GMP, GWMP, and WWIS) to derive values for the
4 ten COMPs described in Section DATA 1.0 and to evaluate if significant changes in the
5 parameters have occurred. The CMP activities are briefly described in this section. Data
6 generated under the CMP are also identified.

7 **DATA 10.1 Program Overview**

8 The objective of the CMP is to provide assurance that any deviations from the expected long-
9 term performance of the repository are identified at the earliest possible time. The CMP is
10 implemented in accordance with DOE/WIPP-99-3119, 40 CFR Parts 191 and 194 Compliance
11 Monitoring Implementation Plan. Annual evaluations of the compliance parameters follow the
12 requirements found in Sandia Analysis Plan AP-069, An Analysis Plan for Annually Deriving
13 Compliance Monitoring Parameters and their Assessment Against Performance Expectations to
14 Meet the Requirements of 40 CFR § 194.42.

15 **DATA 10.2 Reported Data**

16 The data and the results of the annual COMPs assessments performed in accordance with the
17 requirements of the CMP are provided in the four reports cited below.

- 18 • Sandia National Laboratories, 2000a, “Sandia National Laboratories Annual Compliance
19 Monitoring Parameter Assessment (for Year 1998), WBS 1.2.10.09.01.02, Pkg. No.
20 510062, July,” Carlsbad, NM.
- 21 • Sandia National Laboratories, 2000b, “Sandia National Laboratories Annual Compliance
22 Monitoring Parameter Assessment (for Year 1999), WBS 1.2.10.09.01.02, Pkg. No.
23 510062, October,” Carlsbad, NM.
- 24 • Sandia National Laboratories, 2001, “Sandia National Laboratories Annual Compliance
25 Monitoring Parameter Assessment Report (for Year 2001), WBS 1.3.5.3.1, Pkg. No.
26 510062, October,” Carlsbad, NM.
- 27 • Sandia National Laboratories, 2002, “Sandia National Laboratories Annual Compliance
28 Monitoring Parameter Assessment (for Year 2002), WBS 1.3.5.3.1, 191/194 Compliance
29 Monitoring, November,” Carlsbad, NM.

1 **DATA 11.0 HYDROLOGIC INVESTIGATIONS**

2 The Exhaust Shaft Hydraulic Assessment was initiated in September 1996 to investigate the
3 source and extent of water seepage into the exhaust shaft at the WIPP, and an investigation of
4 rising water levels in the Culebra member was initiated in 1999. These hydrologic investigations
5 are briefly described in this section. Sources of data generated from the investigations are also
6 identified.

7 **DATA 11.1 Program Overview**

8 *DATA 11.1.1 Exhaust Shaft Hydraulic Assessment*

9 Investigations led to the observation of a shallow perched groundwater horizon in a saturated
10 layer within the lower Santa Rosa Formation and the upper Dewey Lake Formation, about 15 m
11 (49 ft) below ground surface. During the original drilling of the shaft, no water was encountered
12 at that horizon, indicating that the presence of water may be related to site activities subsequent
13 to shaft drilling. Three wells and 12 piezometers were installed over an 80-acre area between
14 September 1996 and July 1997. Water level and water quality parameters have been monitored
15 and reported on a regular basis since installation.

16 *DATA 11.1.2 Culebra Water-Level Rise Investigation*

17 During the 1999 annual COMPs assessment, Culebra water levels in many of the WIPP
18 monitoring wells exceeded the ranges of uncertainty established for equilibrium freshwater
19 heads used in the CCA to calibrate transmissivity fields needed for Culebra flow and transport
20 calculations. Culebra water-level rises had also been observed at the time of the CCA submittal
21 in 1996, but were attributed to natural recovery of water levels following years of hydraulic well
22 testing at the WIPP site and grouting of the WIPP shafts to prevent observed leakage.
23 Subsequent to the 1999 COMPs assessment, Culebra water levels showed a continued rise even
24 though water levels at the WIPP site were thought to have fully recovered from hydraulic testing
25 and shaft grouting. In response to this observation, the DOE initiated an investigation into the
26 cause of the water-level rise and the impact of the rise on the long-term performance of the
27 WIPP.

28 **DATA 11.2 Reported Data**

29 Data acquired from the two hydrologic investigations are provided in the reports cited below
30 under separate headings for the exhaust shaft hydraulic assessment and the Culebra water-level
31 rise investigation.

32 *DATA 11.2.1 Exhaust Shaft Hydraulic Assessment*

33 The Geotechnical Analysis Reports listed in Section 4.2 provide data relevant to the exhaust
34 shaft hydraulic assessment.

- 35 • INTERA, 1997, "Exhaust Shaft Hydraulic Assessment Data Report," DOE-WIPP 97-
36 2219, Carlsbad, NM, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant.

- 1 • U.S. Department of Energy, 1997, “Exhaust Shaft: Phase 2 Hydraulic Assessment Data
2 Report Involving Drilling, Installation, Water-Quality Sampling and Testing of
3 Piezometers 1 – 12,” DOE-WIPP 97-2278, Carlsbad, NM, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant.
- 4 • U.S. Department of Energy, 2000, “Exhaust Shaft: Phase III Hydraulic Assessment Data
5 Report, October 1997 – October 1998,” DOE-WIPP 99-2302, Carlsbad, NM, Waste
6 Isolation Pilot Plant.

7 ***DATA 11.2.2 Culebra Water-Level Rise Investigation***

- 8 • Beauheim, R.L, 2002, “Analysis Plan for Evaluation of the Effects of Head Changes on
9 Calibration of Culebra Transmissivity Fields, AP-088, Rev. 1,” ERMS 524785, Carlsbad,
10 NM, Sandia National Laboratories.
- 11 • Chace, D.A., 2003a, “Testing of Wells at the WIPP Site, Test Plan TP 03-01, Rev. 0,”
12 ERMS 525667, Carlsbad, NM, Sandia National Laboratories.
- 13 • Chace, D.A., 2003b, “Compliance Monitoring Program: Recompletion and Testing of
14 Wells for Evaluation of Monitoring Data from the Magenta Member of the Rustler
15 Formation at the WIPP Site, Test Plan TP 00-03, Rev. 1,” ERMS 525860, Carlsbad, NM,
16 Sandia National Laboratories.
- 17 • Holt, R.M., 2002, “Analysis Report Task 2 of AP-088 Estimating Base Transmissivity
18 Fields,” ERMS 523889, Carlsbad, NM, Sandia National Laboratories.
- 19 • Holt, R.M., 2003a, “Addendum to Analysis Report Task 2 of AP-088 Estimating Base
20 Transmissivity Fields,” ERMS 527601, Carlsbad, NM, Sandia National Laboratories.
- 21 • Holt, R.M., 2003b, “Addendum 2 to Analysis Report Task 2 of AP-088 Estimating Base
22 Transmissivity Fields,” ERMS 529416, Carlsbad, NM, Sandia National Laboratories.
- 23 • Jepsen, R.A., 2000, “Test Plan, TP 99-10 Groundwater Monitoring Activities: Troll
24 Measurements, Bell Canyon Injection Well Monitoring Near H-9, and Meteorological
25 Monitoring at H-9, Rev. 0,” ERMS 509869, Carlsbad, NM, Sandia National
26 Laboratories.
- 27 • Lowry, T.S., 2003. “Analysis Report Task 5 of AP-088 Evaluation of Mining Scenarios,”
28 ERMS 531138, Carlsbad, NM, Sandia National Laboratories.
- 29 • McKenna, S.A., and D. Hart, 2003a, “Analysis Report Task 3 of AP-088 Conditioning of
30 Base T Fields to Steady-State Heads,” ERMS 529633, Carlsbad, NM, Sandia National
31 Laboratories.
- 32 • McKenna, S.A., and D. Hart, 2003b, “Analysis Report Task 4 of AP-088 Conditioning of
33 Base T Fields to Transient Heads,” ERMS 531124, Carlsbad, NM, Sandia National
34 Laboratories.

- 1 • Powers, D.W., 2001, "Examining Culebra Water Levels, TP 01-01, Rev. 0," ERMS
2 518995, Carlsbad, NM, Sandia National Laboratories.
- 3 • Powers, D.W., 2002a, "Analysis Report Task 1 of AP-088 Construction of Geologic
4 Contour Maps," ERMS 522086, Carlsbad, NM, Sandia National Laboratories.
- 5 • Powers, D.W., 2002b, "Addendum to Analysis Report Task 1 of AP-088 Construction of
6 Geologic Contour Maps," ERMS 523886, Carlsbad, NM, Sandia National Laboratories.
- 7 • Powers, D.W., 2003a, "Addendum 2 to Analysis Report Task 1 of AP-088 Construction
8 of Geologic Contour Maps," ERMS 525199, Carlsbad, NM, Sandia National
9 Laboratories.
- 10 • Powers, D.W., 2003b, "Test Plan for Geohydrological Conceptual Model for the Dewey
11 Lake Formation in the Vicinity of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP), TP 02-05, Rev.
12 0," ERMS 526493, Carlsbad, NM, Sandia National Laboratories.
- 13 • Powers, D.W., Holt, R.M., Beauheim, R.L., and McKenna, S.A. (2003 in press).
14 "Geological factors related to the transmissivity of the Culebra Dolomite Member,
15 Permian Rustler Formation, Delaware Basin, southeastern New Mexico," in Johnson,
16 K.S., and Neal, J.T., eds., *Evaporite Karst and Engineering/Environmental Problems in
17 the United States: Oklahoma Geological Survey Circular 109.*
- 18 • Sandia National Laboratories, 2001a, "Sandia National Laboratories Technical Baseline
19 Reports, WBS 1.3.5.4, Repository Investigations, Milestone RI010, January 31, 2001,"
20 ERMS 516749, Sandia WIPP Records Center, Carlsbad, NM.
- 21 • Sandia National Laboratories, 2001b, "Sandia National Laboratories Technical Baseline
22 Reports, WBS 1.3.5.4, Repository Investigations, Milestone RI020, July 31, 2001,"
23 ERMS 518970, Sandia WIPP Records Center, Carlsbad, NM.
- 24 • Sandia National Laboratories, 2002a, "Sandia National Laboratories Technical Baseline
25 Reports, WBS 1.3.5.3, Compliance Monitoring; WBS 1.3.5.4, Repository Investigations,
26 Milestone RI110, January 31, 2002," ERMS 520467, Sandia WIPP Records Center,
27 Carlsbad, NM.
- 28 • Sandia National Laboratories, 2002b, "Sandia National Laboratories Technical Baseline
29 Reports, WBS 1.3.5.3, Compliance Monitoring; WBS 1.3.5.4, Repository Investigations,
30 Milestone RI130, July 31, 2002," ERMS 523189, Sandia WIPP Records Center,
31 Carlsbad, NM.
- 32 • Sandia National Laboratories, 2003a, "Sandia National Laboratories Technical Baseline
33 Report, WBS 1.3.5.3, Compliance Monitoring; WBS 1.3.5.4, Repository Investigations,
34 Milestone RI 03-210, January 31, 2003," ERMS 526049, Sandia WIPP Records Center,
35 Carlsbad, NM.

- 1 • Sandia National Laboratories, 2003b. “Program Plan WIPP Integrated Groundwater
2 Hydrology Program, FY03-FY09, Revision 0, March 14, 2003.” ERMS 526671, Sandia
3 WIPP Records Center, Carlsbad, NM.
- 4 • U.S. Department of Energy, 2003, Strategic Plan for Groundwater Monitoring at the
5 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant. DOE/WIPP-03-3220, February 2003, Carlsbad, NM.

1 **DATA 12.0 WASTE CONTAINERS AND EMPLACEMENT**

2 Information regarding WIPP waste emplacement containers and underground waste
3 emplacement layouts are provided in this section. Approved containers that are inside other
4 containers, such as pipe overpacks, will not be discussed.

5 **DATA 12.1 Program Overview**

6 Information provided in this section was compiled from several sources to serve as a central
7 document describing both waste emplacement containers and waste emplacement layouts. Both
8 Contact Handled- (CH-) and Remote Handled- (RH-) waste containers are described along with
9 CH- and RH-waste emplacement layouts in a typical panel in the underground repository. Only
10 containers approved for storage in the repository will be discussed.

11 **DATA 12.2 Reported Data**

12 Attachment H provides the detailed information on the various waste containers and their
13 emplacement in the underground repository.