Calculation of Radionuclide Inventories for Use in NUTS in the Performance Assessment Baseline Calculation

Revision 0

ERMS 539644 April 21, 2005 May 5, 2005 9# 5-805

Author	CiOL.	5/5/05
	Christi Leigh, 6821	Date
	SNL Performance Assessment and Decision Analysis G	roup
Author	Jan Hone	5/5/05
	Janis Trone, 6821	Date
	SNO Performance Assessment and Decision Analysis G	roup
Technical Review	J. W. Same	5-5-05
	Jun Garner, 6821	Date
2	SNL Performance Assessment and Decision Analysis G	roup
QA Review	Dougles R. Edmits Doug Edminston, 6820	5/5/05 Date
	SNL Carlsbad Programs Group	
Management Review	David Kessel, 6821	5/6/oS
	SNL Performance Assessment and Decision Analysis M.	lanager

WIPP:1.4.1.2:PA:QA-L:539325

Information Only

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	3
	1.1 ACRONYMS	
	1.2 SYMBOLS	
2.	PROBLEM DESCRIPTION	5
3.	COMPUTATIONAL METHODOLOGY	6
	3.1 THEORY	6
	3.2 IMPLEMENTATION	7
	3.3 EQUATIONS	
4.	RESULTS	9
5.	RELEVANT PROCEDURES AND REFERENCES	9
	5.1 PROCEDURES	9
	5.2 REFERENCES	
	TABLES	
Tab	able 1: Percent Contribution at 2033 to EPA Units for Isotopes Modele	ed in
	NUTS	5
Tab	ble 2: Radionuclide Half-life Values Used in Calculation	6
Tab	able 3: Lumped Radionuclide Inventory Values As of 12/31/2033	9

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1996 the Department of Energy (DOE) completed a performance assessment (PA) calculation for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP). The PA was part of the Compliance Certification Application (CCA) submitted to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to demonstrate compliance with the radiation protection regulations of 40 CFR 191 and 40 CFR 194 (DOE 1996). As required by the WIPP Land Withdrawal Act (Public Law 102-579), DOE is required to submit documentation to EPA for the recertification of the WIPP every five years in order to continue operating the site. This required that a Compliance Recertification Application (CRA) be prepared, and CRA-2004 was submitted to the EPA in March 2004 (DOE 2004).

EPA is currently performing a completeness review of CRA-2004 and will soon start a final evaluation to determine if CRA-2004 demonstrates continued compliance with the disposal regulations governing WIPP. It is expected that as part of their final evaluation, the EPA will require that another PA, the Performance Assessment Baseline Calculation (PABC), is performed.

During the final preparation of CRA-2004, Mr. P.E. Shoemaker (Shoemaker 2003) of Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) requested a review of the waste stream profiles that form the basis of the inventory estimates for CRA-2004 (DOE 2004). The review was performed by Mr. J.P. Harvill (2004) of Washington TRU Solutions LLC (WTS). Mr. Harvill (2004) found a number of inconsistencies and possible omissions in the reporting of transuranic (TRU) waste inventories for CRA-2004. In response to Mr. Harvill's review, LANL-CO and SNL investigated the noted inconsistencies and possible omissions. The results of the laboratories investigations are documented in Leigh and Crawford (2004).

In addition, the EPA as part of its completeness review for CRA-2004 thoroughly examined the CRA-2004 inventory and visited several of the TRU waste sites to review information about the TRU waste inventory. The EPA review highlighted the need for inventory updates that should be incorporated in the PABC.

An update to the CRA-2004 inventory, governed by AP-113, Analysis Plan For Inventory Reconciliation: Compliance Recertification Application, has been completed. This inventory update is the basis for the PA inventory for the PABC.

This analysis is governed by AP-119, Analysis Plan For Deriving Radionuclide Inventory Information for Performance Assessment Calculations: Post CRA Performance Assessment Baseline Calculation which discusses the methodology that will be used by Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) to determine the WIPP repository radionuclide inventory information for use in the PA calculation for the PABC. In particular, the radionuclide inventories for the NUTS code are needed.

This analysis was performed in accordance with the SNL Quality Assurance Program and was prepared as prescribed by the SNL NWMP Procedure, NP 9-1, *Analyses*.

1.1 ACRONYMS

AP Analysis Plan

CCA Compliance Certification Application

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

CH Contact Handled

CRA Compliance Recertification Application

DOE Department of Energy

EPA Environmental Protection Agency
ERMS Electronic Records Management System

LANL-CO Los Alamos National Laboratories – Carlsbad Operations

NP NWMP Procedure

NWMP Nuclear Waste Management Program

ORIGEN Oak Ridge Isotope Generation and Depletion Code

PA Performance Assessment

PABC Performance Assessment Baseline Calculation

RH Remote Handled

SNL Sandia National Laboratories

TRU Transuranic

WIPP Waste Isolation Pilot Plant

WTS Washington TRU Solutions LLC

1.2 SYMBOLS

A (A 041)	A COLOR DELICATE COLOR AND
$A_L(Am241)_{CH}$	Activity of the parameter INVCHD for the material AM241L
A_L (Th230) $_{\mathrm{CH}}$	Activity of the parameter INVCHD for the material TH230L
A_L (Pu238) $_{CH}$	Activity of the parameter INVCHD for the material PU238L
A_L (U234) $_{CH}$	Activity of the parameter INVCHD for the material U234L
A_L (Pu239) $_{CH}$	Activity of the parameter INVCHD for the material PU239L
$A_L (Am241)_{RH}$	Activity of the parameter INVRHD for the material AM241L
A_L (Th230) $_{RH}$	Activity of the parameter INVRHD for the material TH230L
A_L (Pu238) $_{RH}$	Activity of the parameter INVRHD for the material PU238L
A_L (U234) $_{RH}$	Activity of the parameter INVRHD for the material U234L
A_L (Pu239) $_{RH}$	Activity of the parameter INVRHD for the material PU239L
$A(Am241)_{CH}$	Activity of ²⁴¹ Am from Table 1 for CH-TRU
A(Th230) _{CH}	Activity of ²³⁰ Th from Table 1 for CH-TRU
A(Th229) _{CH}	Activity of ²²⁹ Th from Table 1 for CH-TRU
A(Pu238) _{CH}	Activity of ²³⁸ Pu from Table 1 for CH-TRU
A(U234) _{CH}	Activity of ²³⁴ U from Table 1 for CH-TRU
A(U233) _{CH}	Activity of ²³³ U from Table 1 for CH-TRU
A(Pu239) _{CH}	Activity of ²³⁹ Pu from Table 1 for CH-TRU
A(Pu240) _{CH}	Activity of ²⁴⁰ Pu from Table 1 for CH-TRU
A(Pu241) _{CH}	Activity of ²⁴¹ Pu from Table 1 for CH-TRU
A(Pu242) _{CH}	Activity of ²⁴² Pu from Table 1 for CH-TRU
$A(Am241)_{RH}$	Activity of ²⁴¹ Am from Table 1 for RH-TRU
A(Th230) _{RH}	Activity of ²³⁰ Th from Table 1 for RH-TRU



A(Th229) _{RH}	Activity of ²²⁹ Th from Table 1 for RH-TRU
A(Pu238) _{RH}	Activity of ²³⁸ Pu from Table 1 for RH-TRU
$A(U234)_{RH}$	Activity of ²³⁴ U from Table 1 for RH-TRU
$A(U233)_{RH}$	Activity of ²³³ U from Table 1 for RH-TRU
$A(Pu239)_{RH}$	Activity of ²³⁹ Pu from Table 1 for RH-TRU
$A(Pu240)_{RH}$	Activity of ²⁴⁰ Pu from Table 1 for RH-TRU
$A(Pu241)_{RH}$	Activity of ²⁴¹ Pu from Table 1 for RH-TRU
$A(Pu242)_{RH}$	Activity of ²⁴² Pu from Table 1 for RH-TRU
$\tau_{1/2}$ (RN)	Half-life of a radionuclide

2. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

LANL (2005) reports that the DOE waste generator sites are expecting to dispose of TRU waste containing 138 different radionuclides in the WIPP. Not all of these radionuclides have significant inventories for disposal and not all of these radionuclides are expected to contribute to potential releases from the WIPP repository over the 10,000 year regulatory period. The analysis, Radionuclides Expected to Dominate Potential Releases in the Performance Assessment Baseline Calculation Revision 0 (Leigh and Fox 2005a), identifies which radionuclides are expected to contribute to potential releases from the repository for the individual transport pathways modeled in performance assessment. For transport through the Salado to the accessible boundary and transport through the Salado to the Culebra which is modeled using the NUTS code in performance assessment, the important radionuclides are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Percent Contribution at 2033 to EPA Units for Isotopes Modeled in NUTS

	Total Inventory [Curies] ^a		Source EPA Unit ^b				
ID	СН	RH	Total	СН	RH	Total	Cum %
Pu-238	1.13E+06	2.96E+03	1.13E+06	4.85E+03	1.28E+01	4.86E+03	47.72
Pu-239	5.77E+05	5.24E+03	5.82E+05	2.49E+03	2.26E+01	2.51E+03	72.37
Am-241	5.01E+05	1.65E+04	5.17E+05	2.16E+03	7.09E+01	2.23E+03	94.25
Pu-240	9.38E+04	1.58E+03	9.54E+04	4.04E+02	6.81E+00	4.11E+02	98.29
U-233	1.10E+03	1.27E+02	1.23E+03	4.74E+00	5.47E-01	5.29E+00	98.34
U-234	3.13E+02	3.08E+01	3.44E+02	1.35E+00	1.33E-01	1.48E+00	98.35
Pu-242	1.22E+01	4.80E-01	1.27E+01	5.25E-02	2.07E-03	5.46E-02	98.35
Th-229	4.65E+00	5.64E-01	5.21E+00	2.00E-02	2.43E-03	2.25E-02	98.35
Th-230	1.69E-01	1.07E-02	1.80E-01	7.30E-04	4.61E-05	7.76E-03	98.35

^aDecayed radionuclide data taken from Leigh and Fox 2005b; ^bSource EPA Units taken from Leigh and Fox 2005a.

The radionuclides in Table 1 are important contributors to the unit of waste at the time of repository closure and they have half-lives that are long enough to contribute to potential releases via the Salado. In addition, Leigh and Fox 2005a identifies ²⁴¹Pu as being important because it is the parent isotope for ²⁴¹Am and it has a significant initial inventory (Leigh and Fox 2005a). The inventory for ²⁴¹Pu at the time of repository closure (2033) is 4.20E+05 curies in contact handled (CH) TRU waste and 2.80E+04 curies in remote handled (RH) TRU waste (Leigh and Fox 2005b).

The radionuclides in Table 1 are members of the following decay chains. The half-lives used in equations 10-13 are given in Table 2.

$$\begin{array}{c}
^{238} Pu \\
\downarrow \\
^{242} Pu \rightarrow ^{238} U \rightarrow ^{234} U \rightarrow ^{230} Th \rightarrow ^{226} Ra \rightarrow ^{210} Pb
\end{array} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
^{243}\text{Cm} \\
\downarrow^{243}\text{Am} \to ^{239}\text{Pu} \to ^{235}\text{U} \to ^{231}\text{Pa} \to ^{227}\text{Ac}
\end{array} \tag{2}$$

$$^{244}\text{Cm}$$

$$\downarrow^{252}\text{Cf} \rightarrow ^{248}\text{Cm} \rightarrow ^{244}\text{Pu} \rightarrow ^{240}\text{Pu} \rightarrow ^{236}\text{U} \rightarrow ^{232}\text{Th} \rightarrow ^{228}\text{Ra}$$
(3)

$$^{245}\text{Cm} \rightarrow ^{241}\text{Pu} \rightarrow ^{241}\text{Am} \rightarrow ^{237}\text{Np} \rightarrow ^{233}\text{U} \rightarrow ^{229}\text{Th}$$
 (4)

Table 2: Radionuclide Half-life Values Used in Calculation¹

Radionuclide	Half-life (seconds)	
²⁴¹ Am	1.364E+10	
²³⁹ Pu	7.594E+11	
²⁴¹ Pu	4.544E+8	
²⁴² Pu	1.221E+13	

¹ PAPDB, 2002

Because NUTS is a computationally intensive code, minimizing the number of radionuclides that NUTS must track in a calculation is beneficial. Therefore, inventories for the uranium, plutonium, americium and thorium isotopes need to be combined into "lumped" inventories to facilitate the NUTS calculations for performance assessment. Technical justification for lumping is provided below.

3. COMPUTATIONAL METHODOLOGY

3.1 THEORY

The theory behind combining radionuclide inventories into "lumped" inventories for transport calculations is that radionuclides of the same elemental form will transport at the same rate. Therefore, the inventories for isotopes of uranium can be combined. Inventories for isotopes of

plutonium can be combined, and inventories for isotopes of thorium can be combined. Using the isotopes identified in Table 1 as important: (1) the activity of ²³⁴U and ²³³U will be combined to produce values for the material U234L (the lumped uranium inventory) for the NUTS calculation, (2) the activity of ²³⁹Pu, ²⁴⁰Pu and ²⁴²Pu will be combined to produce values for the material PU239L for the NUTS calculation, and (3) the activity of ²³⁰Th and ²²⁹Th will be combined to produce values for the material TH230L in the NUTS calculation.

²³⁸Pu is also listed as an important radionuclide for the NUTS calculation in Table 1. The inventory of ²³⁸Pu is not combined with the other plutonium isotopes because of its relatively short half-life.

Finally, the inventory of ²⁴¹Pu is combined with the inventory of ²⁴¹Am because ²⁴¹Pu is a parent isotope to ²⁴¹Am and ²⁴¹Pu has a relatively short half-life when compared to ²⁴¹Am. Combining the inventories for ²⁴¹Am and ²⁴¹Pu produces the inventory value for the material AM241L in the NUTS calculation.

The resulting decay chains for the NUTS calculations are:

238
Pu \rightarrow^{234} U \rightarrow^{230} Th (5)

239
Pu (6)

$$^{241}Am \tag{7}$$

3.2 IMPLEMENTATION

One can either add the curies of two isotopes to get a combined inventory or one can add the moles. Depending on the half-lives of the isotopes that are being combined, one method will result in a larger combined activity than the other. If for example, isotope A has a longer half-life than isotope B and the inventory of isotope B is to be combined with the inventory of isotope A, the method that results in the largest combined activity for isotope A is to add the curies of isotope B to the curies of isotope A. If on the other hand, the half-life of isotope A is shorter than the half-life of isotope B, the method that results in the largest combined activity for isotope A is to add the moles of isotope B to the moles of isotope A. Therefore, when combining the plutonium isotopes, ²⁴²Pu, ²⁴⁰Pu, and ²³⁹Pu, the curies of ²⁴⁰Pu are added to the curies of ²³⁹Pu but the moles of ²⁴²Pu are added to the moles of ²³⁹Pu. When combining the thorium isotopes, the curies of ²³⁰Th are added to the curies of ²³⁰Th. When combining the uranium isotopes, the curies of ²³³U are added to the curies of ²³⁴U.

3.3 EQUATIONS

Equations 8 through 17 show the computational methodology for combining radionuclide activities into lumped activity values.

$$A_L(Pu238)_{CH} = A(Pu238)_{CH}$$
 (8)



$$A_L(Pu238)_{RH} = A(Pu238)_{RH}$$
 (9)

where:

A_L (Pu238) _{CH} activity value for the property INVCHD for the material PU238L

A(Pu238)_{CH} activity value for ²³⁸Pu from Table 1 for CH-TRU

A_L (Pu238)_{RH} activity value for the property INVRHD for the material PU238L

A(Pu238)_{RH} activity value for ²³⁸PU from Table 1 for RH-TRU

$$A_{L}(Am241)_{CH} = A(Am241)_{CH} + A(Pu241)_{CH} * \tau_{1/2}(Pu241)/\tau_{1/2}(Am241)$$
 (10)

$$A_{L}(Am241)_{RH} = A(Am241)_{RH} + A(Pu241)_{RH} * \tau_{1/2}(Pu241)/\tau_{1/2}(Am241)$$
 (11)

where:

A_L(Am241)_{CH} activity value for the property INVCHD for the material AM241L

A(Am241)_{CH} activity value for ²⁴¹Am from Table 1 for CH-TRU

A(Pu241)_{CH} activity value for ²⁴¹Pu from Table 1 for CH-TRU

A_L (Am241)_{RH} activity value for the property INVRHD for the material AM241L

A(Am241)_{RH} activity value for ²⁴¹Am from Table 1 for RH-TRU

A(Pu241)_{RH} activity value for ²⁴¹Pu from Table 1 for RH-TRU

 $\tau_{1/2}$ (Am241) half-life of ²⁴¹Am from Table 2 $\tau_{1/2}$ (Pu241) half-life of ²⁴¹Pu from Table 2

$$A_L(Pu239)_{CH} = A(Pu239)_{CH} + A(Pu240)_{CH} + A(Pu242)_{CH} * \tau_{1/2}(Pu242) / \tau_{1/2}(Pu239)$$
 (12)

$$A_L(Pu239)_{RH} = A(Pu239)_{RH} + A(Pu240)_{RH} + A(Pu242)_{RH} * \tau_{1/2}(Pu242) / \tau_{1/2}(Pu239)$$
 (13)

Where:

 $A_L(Pu239)_{CH}$ activity value for the property INVCHD for the material PU239L $A(Pu239)_{CH}$ activity value for 239 Pu from Table 1 for CH-TRU

A(Pu240)_{CH} activity value for ²⁴⁰_{Pu} from Table 1 for CH-TRU

A(Pu242)_{CH} activity value for ²⁴²Pu from Table 1 for CH-TRU

 $A_L(Pu239)_{RH}$ activity value for the property INVRHD for the material PU239L

A(Pu239)_{RH} activity value for ²³⁹Pu from Table 1 for RH-TRU

A(Pu240)_{RH} activity value for ²⁴⁰Pu from Table 1 for RH-TRU

A(Pu242)_{RH} activity value for ²⁴²Pu from Table 1 for RH-TRU

 $\tau_{1/2}$ (Pu239) half-life of ²³⁹Pu from Table 2 $\tau_{1/2}$ (Pu242) half-life of ²⁴²Pu from Table 2

$$A_L(U234)_{CH} = A(U234)_{CH} + A(U233)_{CH}$$
 (14)

$$A_{L}(U234)_{RH} = A(U234)_{RH} + A(U233)_{RH}$$
 (15)

Information Only

Where:

$A_L(U234)_{CH}$	activity value for the property INVCHD for the material U234L
A(U234) _{CH}	activity value for ²³⁴ U from Table 1 for CH-TRU
A(U233) _{CH}	activity value for ²³³ U Table 1 for CH-TRU
A_{L} (U234) _{RH}	activity value for the property INVRHD for the material U234L
$A(U234)_{RH}$	activity value for ²³⁴ U from Table 1 for RH-TRU
$A(U233)_{RH}$	activity value for ²³³ U Table 1 for RH-TRU

$$A_L(Th230)_{CH} = A(Th230)_{CH} + A(Th229)_{CH}$$
 (16)

$$A_{L}(Th230)_{RH} = A(Th230)_{RH} + A(Th229)_{RH}$$
(17)

Where:

$A_L(Th230)_{CH}$	activity value for the property INVCHD for the material TH230L
$A(Th230)_{CH}$	activity value for ²³⁰ Th from Table 1 for CH-TRU
A(Th229) _{CH}	activity value for ²²⁹ Th Table 1 for CH-TRU
A_L (Th230) $_{RH}$	activity value for the property INVRHD for the material TH230L
$A(Th230)_{RH}$	activity value for ²³⁰ Th from Table 1 for RH-TRU
$A(Th229)_{RH}$	activity value for ²²⁹ Th Table 1 for RH-TRU

4. RESULTS

Using the radionuclide activity values from Table 1 and the equations from Section 3.3 gives the values in Table 3 for the lumped radionuclide inventories at the end of 2033.

Table 3: Lumped Radionuclide Inventory Values As of 12/31/2033

Material	INVCHD	INVRHD	
	(Total Curies)	(Total Curies)	
AM241L	5.15E+05	1.74E+04	
PU238L	1.13E+06	2.96E+03	
PU239L	6.71E+05	6.83E+03	
TH230L	4.82E+00	5.75E-01	
U234L	1.41E+03	1.58E+02	

5. RELEVANT PROCEDURES AND REFERENCES

5.1 PROCEDURES

AP-113, Analysis Plan for Inventory Reconciliation: Compliance Recertification Application, Sandia National Laboratory Nuclear Waste Management Analysis Plan, February 3, 2005.

AP-119, Analysis Plan For Deriving Radionuclide Inventory Information for Performance Assessment Calculations: Post CRA Performance Assessment Baseline Calculation. Sandia National Laboratory Nuclear Waste Management Program Analysis Plan, April 4, 2005.

NP 9-1, Analyses. Sandia National Laboratory Nuclear Waste Management Program Procedure, August 29, 2001.

5.2 REFERENCES

Harvill 2004. Summary of Review of Transuranic Waste Baseline Inventory Waste Profile Forms Developed to Support the Compliance Recertification Application. ERMS # 534062. January 7, 2004.

LANL 2005. Radionuclide Activities in TRU Waste Stream from TWBID Revision 2.1 Version 3.13, Data Version D.4.15. Letter from Beverly Crawford to Janis Trone. ERMS# 539324. Carlsbad, NM: Los Alamos National Laboratory. April 13, 2005.

Leigh and Crawford 2004. Inventory Reassessment Summary For the CRA-2004 TRU Waste Inventory. ERMS # 535837. Los Alamos National Laboratory. Carlsbad, NM.

Leigh and Fox 2005a. Radionuclides Expected to Dominate Potential Releases in the Performance Assessment Baseline Calculation Revision 0. ERMS# 539643. Sandia National Laboratories. Carlsbad, NM.

Leigh and Fox. 2005b. Unit Conversion and Data Transfer for Decay to 2033 Using ORIGEN2, Version 2.2: Post CRA Performance Assessment Baseline Calculation Revision 0. ERMS# 539329. Sandia National Laboratories. Carlsbad, NM.

PAPDB, 2002. Performance Assessment Parameters Database, Version 1.0. ERMS# 518619.: Sandia National Laboratories. Carlsbad, NM.

Shoemaker 2003. Letter Requesting Joe Harvill Review of CRA-2004 Waste Profile Forms. ERMS# 534059. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories. . Carlsbad, NM.

U.S. DOE (U.S. Department of Energy) 2004. Title 40 CFR 191 Subparts B and C Compliance Recertification Application 2004. DOE/WIPP 2004-3231, March 2004.

U.S. DOE 2002. Appendix 1.3.7, "Remote-Handled Transuranic Waste Authorized Methods for Payload Control (RH-TRAMPAC)". Safety Analysis Report for the RH-TRU 72-B Waste Shipping Package, November 2002, Rev. 3. U.S. Department of Energy, Carlsbad, NM.

U.S. DOE 1996. Title 40 CFR Part 191 Compliance Certification Application for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant. DOE/CAO-1996-2184. U.S. Department of Energy. Carlsbad, NM.

Information Only