
**Title 40 CFR Part 191
Compliance Certification
Application
for the
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant**

Appendix PEER




**United States Department of Energy
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant**


**Carlsbad Area Office
Carlsbad, New Mexico**

FOREWORD

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

Appendix PEER is divided by 25 major tabs. These 25 tabs break the appendix into the major topical areas. Within each tab there may be several documents of interest. For example, each tab under Current Peer Reviews contains the Peer Review Plan, the Peer Review Report and the verification of independence for the Peer Panel. These documents are separated by paper inserts only.





CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

PEER 1 Conceptual Models Peer Review
 PEER 1.1 Conceptual Models Peer Review Report

PEER 2 Supplementary Information Regarding Conceptual Models Peer Review

PEER 3 Waste Characterization Peer Review
 PEER 3.1 Waste Characterization Peer Review Report

PEER 4 Engineered Alternatives Cost/Benefit Study Peer Review
 PEER 4.1 Engineered Alternatives Cost/Benefit Study Peer Review Report

PEER 5 Engineered Systems Data Qualifications Peer Review
 PEER 5.1 Engineered Systems Data Qualifications Peer Review Report

PEER 6 Waste Form and Disposal Room Data Qualification Peer Review
 PEER 6.1 Waste Form and Disposal Room Data Qualification Peer Review Report

PEER 7 Natural Barriers Data Qualification Peer Review
 PEER 7.1 Natural Barriers Data Qualification Peer Review Report

PEER 8 Passive Institutional Controls Peer Review
 PEER 8 Passive Institutional Controls Peer Review Report

PEER 9 National Academy of Sciences
 PEER 9.1 National Academy of Sciences Letter Report of May 1, 1979
 PEER 9.2 National Academy of Sciences Letter Report of September 10, 1979
 PEER 9.3 National Academy of Sciences Continuing Evaluation of the Carlsbad Site
 PEER 9.4 National Academy of Sciences Progress Report: July 1, 1978 to December 31, 1979
 PEER 9.5 National Academy of Sciences Interim Report: July 1, 1978 to July 31, 1982
 PEER 9.6 National Academy of Sciences Review of the Scientific and Technical Criteria for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
 PEER 9.7 National Academy of Sciences Letter Report of April 1987 Planned Sorbing-Tracer Field Tests
 PEER 9.8 National Academy of Sciences Report of March 3, 1988 Brine Accumulation in the WIPP Facility
 PEER 9.9 National Academy of Sciences Letter Report of December 1988 Experiments on Room Closure Rates

Title 40 CFR Part 191 Compliance Certification Application

- 1 PEER 9.10 National Academy of Sciences Review Comments on
2 DOE/WIPP 89-011 Draft Test Phase Plan
3 PEER 9.11 National Academy of Sciences Letter Report of April 1991
4 Summary of Recommendations
5 PEER 9.12 National Academy of Sciences Letter Report of June 1992
6
7 PEER 10 Supplementary Information Regarding the National Academy of Sciences
8
9 PEER 11 Performance Assessment Peer Review Panel – Trauth Memorandum
10
11 PEER 12 Design Review Comments Regarding Shaft Seals
12
13 PEER 13 Engineered Alternatives Task Force Report Peer Review Comments
14
15 PEER 14 Blue Ribbon Panel Review Report and Senate Hearing Testimony
16
17 PEER 15 Advisory Committee on Nuclear Facility Safety Review Reports
18
19 PEER 16 Performance Assessment Review Team Peer Review
20
21 PEER 17 INTERVAL (Progress Report 5-10)
22
23 PEER 18 WIPP Conceptual Model Uncertainty Group Review
24
25 PEER 19 Environmental Evaluation Group Review Report
26 PEER 19.1 EEG-3: Radiological Health Review of the Draft Environmental
27 Impact Statement, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, U.S. Department of
28 Energy
29 PEER 19.2 EEG-8: The Significance of Certain Rustler Aquifer Parameters
30 for Predicting Long-Term Radiation Doses from WIPP
31 PEER 19.3 EEG-9: An Approach to Calculating Upper Bounds on Maximum
32 Individual Doses from the Use of Contaminated Well Water
33 Following a WIPP Repository Breach
34 PEER 19.4 EEG-10: Radiological Health Review of the Final Environmental
35 Impact Statement, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, U.S. Department of
36 Energy
37 PEER 19.5 EEG-11: Calculated Radiation Doses from Radionuclides
38 Brought to the Surface if Future Drilling Intercepts the WIPP
39 Repository and Pressurized Brine
40 PEER 19.6 EEG-12: Potential Release Scenario and Radiological
41 Consequence Evaluation of Mineral Resources at WIPP
42 PEER 19.7 EEG-22: EEG Review Comments on the Geotechnical Reports
43 Provided by DOE to EEG Under the Stipulated Agreement
44 Through March 1, 1983



Title 40 CFR Part 191 Compliance Certification Application

- 1 PEER 19.8 EEG-23: Evaluation of the Suitability of the WIPP Site
- 2 PEER 19.9 EEG-29: Evaluation of the Safety Analysis Report for the Waste
- 3 Isolation Pilot Plant Project
- 4 PEER 19.10 EEG-40: Review of the Final Safety Analysis Report (Draft).
- 5 DOE Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, December 1988
- 6 PEER 19.11 EEG-41: Review of the Draft Supplement Environmental Impact
- 7 Statement, DOE Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, April 1989
- 8 PEER 19.12 EEG-50: Implications of Oil and Gas Leases at the WIPP on
- 9 Compliance with EPA TRU Waste Disposal Standards, June 1992
- 10 PEER 19.13 EEG-57: An Appraisal of the 1992 Preliminary Performance
- 11 Assessment for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, September 1994
- 12 PEER 19.14 EEG-61: Review of the WIPP Draft Application to Show
- 13 Compliance with EPA Transuranic Waste Disposal Standards,
- 14 March 1996
- 15
- 16 PEER 20 Supplemental Information to the Environmental Evaluation Group
- 17
- 18 PEER 21 Fracture Expert Group Summary Report
- 19
- 20 PEER 22 Fanghanel Review Report
- 21
- 22 PEER 23 Independent Technical Review of Bin and Alcove Test Programs
- 23
- 24 PEER 24 Performance Assessment Reviews (1990 – 1992)
- 25 PEER 24.1 Performance Assessment Report Intera Review
- 26 PEER 24.2 1992 Performance Assessment Report Comments and Responses
- 27 – EPA Review of Volumes 4 – 5
- 28 PEER 24.3 1992 Performance Assessment Report Dr. Pate – Cornell Review
- 29 PEER 24.4 1992 Performance Assessment Report Comments and Responses
- 30 – EPA/EEG/NMED
- 31 PEER 24.5 Technical Support Group Review of Experimental Plan for
- 32 Tracer-Testing in the Culebra Dolomite
- 33
- 34 PEER 25 Technical Support Group Review
- 35
- 36

