

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant  
Compliance Certification Application  
**Reference 93**

Brush, L.H. 1996.

Memo to M.S. Tierney, RE: Ranges and Probability Distributions of  $K_d$ s for Dissolved Pu, Am, U, Th, and Np in the Culebra for the PA Calculations to Support the WIPP CCA, June 10, 1996. WPO 38801.

wpo 38801

Sandia National Laboratories

INFORMATION ONLY

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185-1341

date: June 10, 1996

to: M. S. Tierney, MS-1328 (Org. 6741)

L. H. Brush

from: L. H. Brush, MS-1341 (Org. 6748)

subject: Ranges and Probability Distributions of  $K_d$ s for Dissolved Pu, Am, U, Th, and Np in the Culebra for the PA Calculations to Support the WIPP CCA

## INTRODUCTION

This memorandum contains ranges and probability distributions of matrix distribution coefficients ( $K_d$ s) for dissolved Pu, Am, U, Th, and Np under conditions expected during transport in the Culebra Dolomite member of the Rustler Formation. In this memo, a matrix  $K_d$  is the equilibrium ratio of the mass of Pu, Am, U, Th, or Np adsorbed on the solid phase(s) per unit mass of solid(s) divided by the concentration of that element in the aqueous phase (see, for example, Freeze and Cherry, 1979). Performance-assessment (PA) personnel require  $K_d$ s for Pu, Am, U, Th, and Np for their calculations to support the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Compliance Certification Application (CCA) (Ramsey, 1996). (We will include this memorandum and all of the other memoranda cited herein in the parameter and analysis records packages for these  $K_d$ s.) Actually, PA requires  $K_d$ s for various isotopes of these elements; but Ramsey (1996) did not specify them. However, Garner (1996) stated that PA needs  $K_d$ s for  $^{239}\text{Pu}$ ,  $^{241}\text{Am}$ ,  $^{234}\text{U}$ , and  $^{230}\text{Th}$ . It is reasonable to assume that, in view of the small differences among the masses of different isotopes of these elements, one can apply a  $K_d$  determined for one isotope to any other isotope of the same element. We are submitting  $K_d$ s for Np in case PA requires them for sensitivity calculations to show that omitting this element does not affect the long-term performance of the repository significantly.

We, the Sandia-National-Laboratories (SNL), and SNL-subcontractor personnel working on or familiar with the dissolved-actinide Retardation Research Program (RRP) or related aspects of PA, have established these ranges and distributions from results obtained by the RRP through May 31, 1996 (see Table 1 below). These ranges and distributions pertain to dolomite-rich rock in the matrix (intact rock between the fractures) of the Culebra. (We use the terms

*Exceptional Service in the National Interest*

SWCF-A.1.1.10.3.1: PDD: QA: Culebra Dissolved Actinide Distribution  
Coefficients ( $K_d$ s), wpo # 38231

"matrix" and "fractures" in a general sense, corresponding to transport pathways with relatively low and high transmissivities, respectively. Our use of these terms is consistent with the double-porosity conceptual model of the Culebra proposed by L. Meigs and her colleagues at SNL and its subcontractors.) The ranges and distributions in Table 1 *do not* include  $K_{ds}$  for the clay-rich rock associated with fracture surfaces and dispersed in the matrix of the Culebra. We believe that, based on Sowards (1991) and Sowards et al. (1991, 1992) and recent, yet-to-be published studies of Culebra mineralogy, clay minerals such as corrensite (an ordered mixture of chlorite and saponite) *are* present on fracture surfaces *and* in the matrix of the Culebra at concentrations high enough to increase the retardation of Pu, Am, U, Th, and Np relative to that observed in laboratory studies with dolomite-rich rock (see Description of Laboratory Studies Used to Determine Matrix  $K_{ds}$  below). However, we *have not* included  $K_{ds}$  for clay minerals in these ranges and distributions because we do not have sufficient laboratory data for clay-rich rock under expected Culebra conditions at this time. Furthermore, we *have not* taken any credit for sorption by clay minerals on fractures. We believe that omitting  $K_{ds}$  for clays is conservative.

#### FRACTURE-SURFACE $K_{ds}$

We recommend that PA personnel set the fracture-surface  $K_{ds}$  (actually,  $K_{as}$ ) for Pu, Am, U, Th, and Np in the Culebra to zero. A distribution coefficient expressed on a per-unit-surface-area basis, or  $K_a$ , is the equilibrium ratio of the mass of Pu, Am, U, Th, or Np adsorbed on the solid phase(s) per unit area of solid(s) divided by the concentration of that element in the aqueous phase (Freeze and Cherry, 1979). We also recommend that PA not include sorption by a discrete layer of material associated with fracture surfaces. Setting these  $K_a$ s to zero will not affect the predicted retardation of actinide elements by the Culebra because: (1) we *have not* taken credit for sorption by clay minerals on fracture surfaces; (2) the surface area of the dolomite-rich rock lining the fractures is very small relative to that of the dolomite-rich rock in the matrix. WIPP Performance Assessment Department (1992) discussed sorption on fractures in detail.

#### MATRIX $K_{ds}$

This section briefly describes the laboratory studies used to determine matrix  $K_{ds}$  for dissolved Pu, Am, U, Th, and Np, and the modeling study used to predict the oxidation-state distributions of these elements under conditions expected in the Culebra. It then discusses the methodologies used to establish ranges and probability distributions of these  $K_{ds}$ .

## Description of Laboratory Studies Used to Determine Matrix $K_d$ s

The RRP carried out several laboratory studies of the sorption of Pu, Am, U, Th, and Np by dolomite-rich rock from the Culebra. Papenguth and Behl (1996) described these studies in detail. These studies used different experimental methods and considered the effects of several factors on actinide sorption in the Culebra. The results used to establish ranges and probability distributions of matrix  $K_d$ s for Pu, Am, U, Th, and Np in the Culebra appear in Appendices B through F, respectively, of this memorandum. (These lengthy appendices are available on request to anyone who did not receive them with this memo.) Detailed descriptions of these laboratory studies and the complete results will appear as SNL and/or SNL subcontractor reports by the time of submission of the CCA.

I. Triay and her group at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) carried out an empirical study of the sorption of Pu(V), Am(III), U(VI), Th(IV), and Np(V) by samples of dolomite-rich rock from the Culebra. Triay used four synthetic fluids, Brine A, ERDA-6, AISinR, and H-17, for her experiments with dolomite-rich rock. Brine A, developed by Molecke (1983) to simulate fluids equilibrated with K- and Mg-bearing minerals in overlying potash-rich zones in the Salado Formation prior to entering WIPP disposal rooms, is also similar to intergranular Salado brines at or near the stratigraphic horizon of the repository. These brines could accumulate in WIPP disposal rooms after filling and sealing, and flow from the repository into the Culebra in the event of human intrusion into the repository. ERDA-6 simulates brines that occur in isolated but occasionally large reservoirs in the underlying Castile Formation (Popielak et al., 1983). These brines could flow through the repository and into the Culebra in the event of human intrusion. AISinR simulates brine sampled from the Culebra in the WIPP Air Intake Shaft (AIS). H-17 simulates Culebra brine from the H-17 Hydropad. Triay also studied the effects of the partial pressure of  $\text{CO}_2$  (and, hence, dissolved  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration and, to some extent, pH) on sorption. She carried out experiments on the bench top (in contact with atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$ , which contains about 0.035%  $\text{CO}_2$ ) and in glove boxes with atmospheres containing 0.24, 1.4, and 4.1%  $\text{CO}_2$ . The  $\text{CO}_2$  partial pressures in these runs were  $10^{-3.5}$ ,  $10^{-2.73}$ ,  $10^{-1.98}$ , and  $10^{-1.50}$  atm, respectively, the range of  $P_{\text{CO}_2}$  calculated for Culebra ground waters by Siegel et al. (1991). Furthermore, Triay studied the effects of dissolved actinide concentration on sorption. These experiments yielded sorption isotherms, plots of the quantity of radionuclide sorbed by the solid phase or phases versus the final dissolved radionuclide concentration, or plots of  $K_d$ s versus the final dissolved radionuclide concentration. (We will include these isotherms in the parameter and analysis records packages for these  $K_d$ s.) These plots in turn provided information on the nature of the reaction(s) responsible for removal of radionuclides from solution. Finally, Triay studied the effects of equilibration time (3-days and 1-, 3-, 6-, or 8-weeks) and direction of reaction (sorption or desorption) on sorption. Triay crushed the samples, selected the 75-to-500- $\mu\text{m}$

size fraction (significantly larger than the mean dolomite grain diameter of about  $2\ \mu\text{m}$ ), washed these subsamples with dilute HCl to remove crystallographically strained surfaces, fine particles of dolomite, and Fe- and Mn-oxyhydroxides, and pretreated them with the same type of brine to be used for the actual experiment for periods of time for the most part identical to those of the sorption and desorption runs. Triay carried out each of the pretreatments and the actual experiments with 20 ml of brine and 1 g of rock. During the runs that yielded the  $K_{ds}$  to be used by PA, she used one actinide element at a time. At the end of her runs, Triay separated the aqueous and solid phases by sequential filtration to  $0.2\ \mu\text{m}$ , analyzed the solutions by liquid scintillation counting (LSC), and determined  $K_{ds}$  from the differences between the initial and the final radionuclide concentrations in the solutions. She carried out most of her experiments, and all of the runs that yielded the  $K_{ds}$  to be used by PA, with samples of dolomite-rich rock taken from AIS core segments adjacent to those used for the column-transport study (see below). X-ray-diffraction analysis of this rock failed to detect clay minerals in most cases. Because the detection limit of this technique is about 1%, the rock that yielded the  $K_{ds}$  for PA contains a lower concentration of clay minerals than the Culebra as a whole (estimated, based on previously published and ongoing studies of Culebra mineralogy, to be about 1 to 5%). Triay also carried out a few experiments with dolomite from the H-19 Hydropad and clay-rich rock from the lower, unnamed member of the Rustler. (The clay minerals in the lower member are identical to those lining fracture surfaces and dispersed in the matrix of the Culebra.) This study yielded a large number of  $K_{ds}$  for actual samples of nearly pure Culebra dolomite and actinide-bearing synthetic fluids closely resembling those that could actually flow through the Culebra after human intrusion.

P. V. Brady and his colleagues at SNL and LANL carried out a mechanistic study of the sorption of Pu(V), Am(III), Nd(III) (a nonradioactive analog of Am(III) and Pu(III)), U(VI), Th(IV), and Np(V) from synthetic 0.05, 0.5, and 5 M NaCl solutions by samples of well characterized, pure dolomite from Norway. Brady used a limited-residence-time (1 min.) reaction vessel to minimize the extent of dolomite dissolution, actinide precipitation, and other reactions unrelated to sorption during his experiments. This allowed him to study the effects of pH (from about 3 or 4 to 9 or 10 in most cases), the  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration of the headspace (atmospheric, 0.5, and 5%), and the concentrations of potentially significant cations and anions on sorption in the absence of complexities caused by other reactions. Brady crushed the samples, selected the  $<106\text{-}\mu\text{m}$  size fraction, washed them with dilute HCl, and pretreated them overnight in an NaCl solution with the same concentration to be used for the actual experiments, and a solution-to-solid ratio of 100 ml per g of dolomite. He carried out the actual experiments with several actinide elements at a time, and a solution-to-solid ratio of 200 ml/g. After his runs, Brady separated the aqueous and solid phases with a  $0.22\text{-}\mu\text{m}$  filter, analyzed the solutions by inductively coupled plasma emission/mass spectrometry, and determined  $K_{ds}$  from the differences between the initial and the final radionuclide concentrations in the solutions. Although

this study did not yield  $K_d$ s for actual samples of Culebra rock nor for synthetic Culebra fluids, it did yield results that have proven highly useful for interpreting the results of the empirical sorption study at LANL, and for extending the empirical data to the basic conditions (pH values of about 9 to 10) expected to result from use of an MgO backfill in WIPP disposal rooms (see below).

D. A. Lucero and his colleagues at SNL have studied actinide transport through intact, 5.7-inch-diameter cores obtained from the Culebra in the WIPP AIS. Lucero obtained the cores used for this study from 16-to-18-ft.-long horizontal boreholes aligned in the current direction of ground-water flow in the vicinity of the AIS (see Predictions of Actinide Oxidation States in the Culebra below) and stored them under conditions that minimized evaporation of pore water. Prior to his experiments, Lucero cut 4-to-20-inch sections from the original cores and potted them in Neoprene. He then placed the potted core sections in Al core holders, mounted them vertically in a glove box, and pressurized them to about 50 atm, the *in situ* pressure at the depths (716 and 721 ft.) from which these cores were obtained. Lucero carried out spike injections or, in a few experiments, continuous injections of Pu(V), Am(III), U(VI), Th(IV), and/or Np(V) by introducing synthetic AISinR or, in a few runs, synthetic ERDA-6 with low concentrations of the radionuclide(s) into a cavity cut in the top of each core. He then pumped additional brine through these cores at low flow rates (0.1 ml/min and, in a few runs, 0.05 ml/min) for periods of up to 237 days (equivalent to pumping up to 34.2 pore volumes of brine through these cores). These flow rates are at or close to the upper limit of the range of *in situ* fluid velocities. He collected the effluent continuously and analyzed it every 5 ml by  $\gamma$  spectrometry or LSC. He also used scanning  $\gamma$  emission tomography to image the cores. By injecting different radionuclides at different times and, in some cases, by using different brines, Lucero carried out multiple, sequential experiments with the same core. Because this study quantified actinide sorption from fluids flowing through intact samples of Culebra rock, it complements the static empirical and mechanistic sorption studies with crushed Culebra rock or pure dolomite. However, this study did not yield  $K_d$ s directly. For U and Np, which were moderately retarded by sorption, the observed delays between the elution peaks of nonsorbed radionuclides (such as  $^3\text{H}$  or  $^{22}\text{Na}$ ) and those of U and Np yielded discrete values for the retardation factors R, which were then used, along with porosities determined with the nonsorbing tracers, to calculate  $K_d$ s. For Pu, Am, and Th, which were strongly retarded by sorption, Lucero did not observe breakthrough, even after pumping brine through these cores for 61, 118, and 211 days (Pu and Am) and 133, 146, and 237 days (Th) (equivalent to 8.83, 17.0, and 30.4 pore volumes for Pu and Am, and 19.1, 21.0, and 34.2 pore volumes for Th). Therefore, he was only able to calculate minimum values of R and  $K_d$ . These minimum values depend on factors such as the initial concentration of each radionuclide, the volume of brine pumped through the core, and the analytical detection limit for the radionuclide.

## Predictions of Actinide Oxidation States in the Culebra

Because oxidation state significantly affects the chemical behavior, including sorption, of the actinide elements, predictions of the oxidation-state distributions of Pu, U, and Np in the Culebra are necessary to establish ranges and probability distributions of matrix  $K_d$ s for use in the PA calculations. For Pu, U, and Np, the following oxidation states are possible in low-temperature, geochemical systems: Pu(III), Pu(IV), Pu(V), and Pu(VI); U(IV) and U(VI); and Np(IV), Np(V), and Np(VI). For Am and Th, only one oxidation state, Am(III) or Th(IV), respectively, is possible.

We have used experimentally based predictions of the oxidation-state distributions of Pu, U, and Np in WIPP disposal rooms from the Actinide Source Term Program (ASTP) to specify the oxidation states of these elements in the Culebra. Based on a laboratory study carried out under expected WIPP conditions by D. Clark and his colleagues at LANL and previously published results obtained for applications other than the WIPP Project, ASTP personnel have predicted that Pu will speciate as Pu(III) or Pu(IV), but not as Pu(V) nor Pu(VI), that U will speciate as U(IV) and U(VI), and that Np will speciate as Np(IV) and Np(V), but not as Np(VI), in deep (Castile and Salado) brines in the repository. To evaluate the applicability of these predictions to the Culebra, H. W. Stockman of SNL carried out a modeling study of the oxidation states of Pu, U, and Np in the Culebra (see below). This study showed that Culebra fluids are poorly poised (have limited capacity to either oxidize or reduce actinide elements). Therefore, it is reasonable to use the oxidation-state distributions of Pu, U, and Np predicted for WIPP disposal rooms to specify the oxidation states of these elements in the Culebra. Using the ASTP predictions for the Culebra will ensure consistency between the oxidation-state distributions of these elements in WIPP disposal rooms and at the point of injection of deep (Castile or Salado) brines into the Culebra following human intrusion into the repository. This will in turn obviate the need to specify redox reactions in the Culebra, and the need to incorporate possible, concomitant dissolution and/or precipitation reactions in SECO, the PA model for Culebra flow and transport.

ASTP and PA personnel will calculate solubilities for *either* Pu(III), U(IV), and Np(IV), *or* Pu(IV), U(VI), and Np(V) in any given vector. PA will specify the oxidation states of these elements by sampling "oxstat," a parameter with a uniform probability distribution of 0 to 1. If the sampled value of oxstat is 0.5 or less, PA will use the solubilities predicted for Pu(III), U(IV), and Np(IV). (These solubilities are also sampled parameters.) If oxstat is greater than 0.5, PA will use solubilities for Pu(IV), U(VI), and Np(V). We recommend that PA use the ranges and distributions of  $K_d$ s for the oxidation states of Pu, U, and Np sampled for each vector.

This approach is equivalent to assuming that the oxidation-state distributions of Pu, U, and Np predicted for WIPP disposal rooms will be maintained along the

entire off-site transport pathway in the Culebra. (Previous PA calculations have predicted that, in the absence of climatic change, fluid will flow from the point of injection into the Culebra to the south or the southeast, and that the distance from the point of injection to the boundary of the Land Withdrawal Area will be about 2.5 or 3 km.) This assumption is certainly reasonable at the point of injection of deep brines into the Culebra and for some, perhaps significant, distance along the flow path. Because, in general, redox equilibrium is not observed in low-temperature aqueous solutions (see, for example, Lindberg and Runnells, 1984), the oxidation states of Pu, U, and Np predicted for the repository *could* persist along the entire flow path in the Culebra. At some point, however, the oxidation-state distributions of these elements *might* equilibrate with ambient conditions in the Culebra.

To evaluate the applicability of ASTP predictions to the Culebra, Stockman used the EQ3/6 geochemical software package (Daveler and Wolery, 1992; Wolery, 1992a, 1992b; Wolery and Daveler, 1992) to predict the oxidation-state distributions of Pu, U, and Np after mixing deep (Castile and Salado) brines containing these elements with Culebra brines. Stockman made various assumptions as to: (1) which naturally occurring or waste-derived dissolved species will control redox conditions in the deep brines after injection into the Culebra; (2) which naturally occurring dissolved or solid species control redox conditions in Culebra brines; (3) whether to use the data base in EQ3/6, or to modify it based on recently published studies of actinide chemistry. By calculating oxidation-state distributions of Pu, U, and Np before and after mixing deep brines with Culebra brines *under all possible combinations of these assumptions*, Stockman showed that Culebra fluids are poorly poised (have limited capacity to either oxidize or reduce actinide elements). Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the oxidation-state distributions of Pu, U, and Np predicted for WIPP disposal rooms will be maintained along the entire off-site transport pathway.

#### Predictions of Brine Mixing in the Culebra

Brine composition could also affect the sorptive behavior of actinide elements in the Culebra. Therefore, predictions of the extent to which deep (Castile and Salado) and Culebra brines mix in the Culebra are necessary to specify weighting factors to combine the ranges and probability distributions of matrix  $K_d$ s for dissolved Pu, Am, U, Th, and Np established for deep and Culebra brines and obtain an overall range and distribution for a given element or elemental oxidation state.

Opinions differ significantly on the extent to which deep and Culebra brines will mix in the Culebra. One extreme of a range of possibilities is that, because of the density difference between deep and Culebra brines and/or limited spreading due to heterogeneity, a "slug" of deep brine will flow along the entire off-site transport pathway without significant mixing. The other extreme is that, because

of relatively high heterogeneity, hydrodynamic dispersion will result in rapid mixing, and the composition of the injected fluid will resemble that of Culebra ground water on the order of hundreds of meters from the point of injection.

We are submitting these ranges and distributions to PA concurrently with the submission of Culebra hydrologic parameters by personnel from Geohydrology Department 6115. Therefore, we could not carry out brine-mixing calculations with the current hydrologic parameters prior to establishing these ranges and distributions. In the absence of mixing calculations, E. J. Nowak, Manager of Chemical & Disposal Room Processes Department 6831, instructed us to take the following, conservative approach: (1) establish *separate* ranges and distributions for deep and the Culebra brines for each actinide element or elemental oxidation state; (2) recommend that PA personnel use the range and distribution that results in less retardation for each element or elemental oxidation state.

#### Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix $K_{ds}$

This subsection briefly describes the methods used to establish ranges of matrix  $K_{ds}$  for dissolved Pu, Am, U, Th, and Np under conditions expected in the Culebra. We carried out most of the work described in this subsection at a meeting held April 1 and 2, 1996, here at the BDM Building. Sixteen SNL and SNL-subcontractor personnel working on or familiar with the RRP or related aspects of PA participated in all or part of this meeting. Two US DOE Carlsbad-Area-Office and Carlsbad-Technical-and-Administrative-Contractor personnel observed all or part of it. Appendix A (see below) contains the invitation to, agenda for, and list of the participants and observers at this meeting. (This and the other six appendices are available on request to anyone who did not receive them with this memorandum.) Detailed descriptions, including all of the empirical-sorption, mechanistic-sorption, and column-transport data considered and included or excluded, appear in Appendices B through F, respectively. Appendix G contains the results of experiments on the effects of four organic ligands on these  $K_{ds}$ .

At this meeting, we decided to establish *experimentally obtained* ranges for Am(III), U(VI), Th(IV), and Np(V), and to use the experimentally obtained ranges for Am(III) and Th(IV), and the oxidation-state analogy to establish ranges for Pu(III), and Pu(IV), U(IV), and Np(IV). Based on the ASTP predictions of oxidation-state distributions for Pu, U, and Np in WIPP disposal rooms and Stockman's predictions for the Culebra *under most of the possible combinations of assumptions* (see Predictions of Actinide Oxidation States in the Culebra above), we *did not* plan to establish an experimentally obtained range for Pu(V), nor to use the experimentally obtained range for U(VI) and the oxidation-state analogy to establish ranges for Pu(VI) and Np(VI).

We established *separate* ranges for the deep (Castile and Salado) and the Culebra brines for each actinide element or elemental oxidation state (see

Predictions of Brine Mixing in the Culebra above). However, we *did not* establish separate ranges for the two deep brines studied by Triay and her group in the LANL empirical sorption study (Brine A and ERDA-6) because the PA calculations carried out with the multiphase flow code Brine and Gas Flow to support the WIPP CCA have predicted that, in some vectors, the brine in WIPP disposal rooms will comprise mainly Castile brine; in other vectors, it will comprise mainly Salado brine; and in the rest, it will comprise various proportions of these and Culebra brines that will seep into the repository from above. (The latter brines will resemble Castile brines after reacting with Salado minerals.) Therefore, we established one range for Brine A and ERDA-6 to simulate these compositional variations. Similarly, the Culebra off-site transport pathway predicted by previous PA calculations (see Predictions of Actinide Oxidation States in the Culebra) contains ground waters that resemble *both* Culebra fluids used by Triay (AISinR and H-17). Therefore, we established one range and distribution for these fluids to simulate possible compositional variations along the flow path.

For U(VI), Th(IV), and Np(V) (see Appendices , we used the following methods to establish separate, *experimentally obtained* ranges for the deep and the Culebra brines. First, we considered *all* of the 6-week sorption data from the empirical study by Triay, the only experiments in which she has extensively studied the effects of dissolved actinide concentration on sorption. Because these are the only experiments carried out using a range of dissolved radionuclide concentrations, they are the only runs for which sorption isotherms have provided information on the nature of the reaction(s) responsible for removal of radionuclides from solution. (We will include these isotherms in the parameter and analysis records packages for these  $K_d$ s.) At the time of this meeting, Triay had not completed the 6-week desorption experiments. We would not, however, have included the results of the 6-week desorption runs, and did not include any data from the 3-day, nor the 1- nor 3-week desorption runs because these data could be artificially higher than those obtained from the sorption runs. Possible reasons for this include: (1) removal of a weakly sorbed actinide species concentrated in the aqueous phase by discarding the solution at the conclusion of a sorption experiment, thereby concentrating a strongly sorbed species prior to the start of a desorption run; (2) saturation of a sorption site with a high  $K_d$  followed by removal of the dissolved actinide after a sorption experiment, thereby resulting in sorption of a higher proportion of the actinide on the sorption site with a high  $K_d$  during the desorption run.

To establish the *initial* ranges for the deep brines, we first considered *all* the data obtained from the 6-week sorption experiments carried out with Brine A and ERDA-6 on the bench top (in contact with ambient atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$ ). Atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  has a partial pressure of about  $10^{-3.5}$  atm, the lowest  $\text{CO}_2$  partial pressure used in the LANL study. This partial pressure is equivalent to a  $\text{CO}_2$  content of 0.033% in the LANL study. We did not use data from runs equilibrated with higher partial pressures of  $\text{CO}_2$  for the initial ranges for the deep

brines because we anticipate that an MgO backfill will be emplaced in WIPP disposal rooms to remove CO<sub>2</sub>. Next, we discarded the data from runs in which the difference between the activity of the radionuclide in a standard (the radionuclide-bearing brine with which runs were started) and that in a control (a run conducted identically to that of an actual run, but without any rock) exceeded 3σ, where the standard deviation σ equals the square root of the total number of LSC counts. Discarding these data yielded the *initial* ranges for deep brines.

To establish the *initial* ranges for the Culebra brines (AISinR and H-17), we first considered *all* the data obtained from the 6-week sorption experiments carried out on the bench top (0.033% CO<sub>2</sub>) and in glove boxes with atmospheres containing 0.24 and 1.4% CO<sub>2</sub>. These three atmospheres had CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressures of 10<sup>-3.5</sup>, 10<sup>-2.73</sup>, and 10<sup>-1.98</sup> atm, respectively. Siegel now considers this range more likely for groundwaters in the predicted off-site transport pathway than the previous range of 10<sup>-3.5</sup> to 10<sup>-1.50</sup> atm calculated for the Culebra as a whole by Siegel et al. (1991). We also discarded the data from runs in which the difference between the activity of the radionuclide in a standard and that in a control exceeded 3σ to obtain the *initial* ranges for Culebra brines.

We then compared these initial ranges with the data from the mechanistic sorption study by Brady and his colleagues at SNL and LANL. For the most part, we used Brady's data to extend Triay's empirical sorption data for the deep brines to the basic conditions expected to result from the use of an MgO backfill in WIPP disposal rooms. We assumed that, if mixing is sufficient to produce fluids with compositions similar to those of Culebra brines, the pH of these mixtures will also be similar to those of Culebra brines. Therefore, we *did not* use Brady's data to extend Triay's data for the Culebra brines to basic conditions. So far, Brady has reported data obtained with 0.05 and 0.5 M NaCl solutions, but not with 5 M NaCl. Therefore, we used only his data for 0.5 M NaCl and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (the lowest CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure used in this study) to extend Triay's data for the deep brines to basic conditions. This comparison yielded our *revised* ranges for the deep brines.

Next, we compared the revised ranges with the data from the transport study with intact Culebra cores by Lucero and his colleagues at SNL. Lucero carried out his experiments under ambient atmospheric conditions; therefore, the CO<sub>2</sub> content of these experiments was probably similar to that in the LANL bench-top runs. Because Lucero *did not* observe breakthrough of Th(IV) (nor of Pu(V) nor Am(III)), it was only possible to determine minimum values of K<sub>d</sub> for Th(IV) (and for Pu(V) and Am(III)). This minimum K<sub>d</sub> (and those for Pu(V)) are consistent with the revised ranges based on the empirical and mechanistic sorption studies. Lucero *did* observe breakthrough of U(VI) and Np(V) in his experiments. Therefore, it was possible to determine actual K<sub>d</sub>s for these elements. In most cases, the K<sub>d</sub>s determined for U(VI) and Np(V) from the transport study are less than the lower limits of the ranges obtained for these elements from the sorption studies. Therefore, we extended the revised ranges where necessary to obtain

*final* ranges for U(VI) and Np(V) in the deep brines (Castile and Salado) and Culebra brines (see Table 1).

Finally we used the experimentally obtained ranges for Th(IV) and the oxidation-state analogy to establish ranges for Pu(IV), U(IV), and Np(IV).

We attempted to use the same methods to establish experimentally obtained ranges for Am(III) (see Appendix C). Inspection of the sorption isotherms for the 6-week LANL sorption data, however, revealed that the  $K_d$ s are proportional to the final dissolved Am(III) concentration. (We did not observe significant trends such as this in the isotherms for U(VI), Th(IV), nor Np(V)). These trends suggest that sorption of Am by the container walls, precipitation of an Am-bearing solid phase, coprecipitation of Am by another phase, incomplete separation of the aqueous and the solid phases at the end of an experiment, or some combination of these processes occurred in the runs with Am(III). Triay carried out additional posttest analyses of the brines from some of her 6-week sorption experiments with Am(III) to determine, if possible, what caused these trends, and to redetermine these  $K_d$ s. However, she continued to obtain data that displayed trends similar to those described above, and thus cannot rule out the occurrence of processes other than sorption. Therefore, we recommend using the *experimentally obtained* ranges for Pu(V) (Appendix B) for Am(III) by assuming that the  $K_d$ s for Am(III) are greater than or equal to those for Pu(V). This assumption is reasonable in view of results such as those in Figure 5 of Canepa (1992). In this case, the Am(III)  $K_d$  obtained for the Yucca Mountain Project is about one order of magnitude higher than that obtained for Pu(V) under the same conditions. (We will cite additional examples of differences between the  $K_d$ s for Am(III) and Pu(V) in future reports and presentations.) We *have not* used the oxidation-state analogy to justify the use of Pu(V) data for Am(III); instead, this approach is based on *differences* in the behavior of these oxidation states. Furthermore, we recommend using the range for Am(III) for Pu(III) (Table 1); for this recommendation, we invoke the oxidation-state analogy.

#### Methods Used to Establish Probability Distributions of Matrix $K_d$ s

M. S. Tierney, the PA Parameter Task Leader, provided guidance on establishing probability distributions of parameters for use in the PA calculations to support the CCA (see Tierney, 1996a; 1996b).

The RRP has studied the effects of several factors on sorption (see Description of Laboratory Studies Used to Determine Matrix  $K_d$ s, Predictions of Actinide Oxidation States in the Culebra, and Predictions of Brine Mixing in the Culebra above). Papenguth and Behl (1996) designed these studies to encompass the ranges of these factors expected in the Culebra. Therefore, the ranges of matrix  $K_d$ s established above correspond to the expected ranges of these factors in the Culebra. However, because of uncertainties about the extent to which deep (Castile and Salado) and Culebra brines will mix, there are uncertainties as to the

probability distributions of these factors (especially brine type, the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub>, and the resulting pH) in the Culebra. Therefore, we *do not* recommend that PA use a Student t distribution based on the data included in these ranges, despite the fact that we included more than three data points for every range shown in Table 1.

Tierney (1996a) states that use of the uniform or log-uniform [probability] distribution "is appropriate when all that is known about a parameter is its range." Because we cannot specify probability distributions for the factors that affect sorption, we recommend that PA personnel use a uniform or a log-uniform distribution. Tierney (1996a) specifies use of a log-uniform distribution "when the range ... spans many orders of magnitude." Inspection of the ranges for deep and Culebra brines in Table 1 reveals that these ranges span 1.40 and 2.60 orders of magnitude (deep and Culebra brines, respectively) for Pu(III) and Am(III); 1.35 orders of magnitude (deep brines only) for Pu(IV), U(IV), Th(IV), and Np(IV); 3.00 orders of magnitude (deep brines only) for U(VI); and 2.65 and 2.30 orders of magnitude (deep and Culebra brines, respectively) for Np(V). (Each of these values is the common logarithm of the maximum value of each range divided by its minimum value. We could not calculate this parameter for Th(IV) and Culebra brines because we were unable to establish this range; see Appendix E below. We could not calculate this parameter for the range for U(VI) and Culebra brines because its minimum value is 0.) Because these ranges all span three orders of magnitude or less, we recommend that PA use a uniform distribution instead of a log-uniform distribution for all of them.

#### Methods Used for Final Selection of the Range of Matrix K<sub>d</sub>s for Use in PA Calculations

We recommend that PA personnel use the range and probability distribution of matrix K<sub>d</sub>s for deep (Castile and Salado) or Culebra brines that results in less retardation for each element or elemental oxidation state (see Predictions of Brine Mixing in the Culebra above). Because we have recommended that PA use a uniform distribution for *all* the ranges (see Methods Used to Establish Probability Distributions of Matrix K<sub>d</sub>s above), the *average* K<sub>d</sub> that PA will sample for all of its vectors is the mean of the maximum and minimum values of each range. Therefore, we compared the means of the ranges for deep and Culebra brines to determine which range results in less retardation for each element or elemental oxidation state. Inspection of the ranges in Table 1 reveals that the means are 260 and 2005 ml/g (deep and Culebra brines, respectively) for Pu(III) and Am(III); 10,450 ml/g (deep brines only) for Pu(IV), U(IV), Th(IV), and Np(IV); 15.015 and 35 ml/g (deep and Culebra brines, respectively) for U(VI); and 451 and 100.5 ml/g (deep and Culebra brines, respectively) for Np(V). (Each of these values is the sum of the maximum and the minimum values of each range divided by two; to facilitate this comparison, we *did not* round each result to one significant figure. We could not calculate this parameter for Th(IV) and Culebra brines because we could not establish this range; see Appendix E below.)

Therefore, we recommend that PA use a range of 20 to 500 ml/g (the range for deep brines) for Pu(III) and Am(III); a range of 900 to 20,000 ml/g (deep brines) for Pu(IV), U(IV), Th(IV), and Np(IV); a range of 0.03 to 30 ml/g (deep brines) for U(VI); and a range of 1 to 200 ml/g (Culebra brines) for Np(V). In Table 1, these ranges appear in bold font.

Because the ASTP has decided to specify the oxidation states of Pu, U, and Np by sampling the "oxstat" parameter (see Predictions of Actinide Oxidation States in the Culebra), the range and distribution for each specified oxidation state will constitute the range and distribution for each of these elements during a given vector.

#### REFERENCES

- Canepa, J.A. 1992. *Proceedings of the DOE/Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project Radionuclide Adsorption Workshop at Los Alamos National Laboratory, September 11-12, 1990.* LA-12325-C. Los Alamos, NM: Los Alamos National Laboratory.
- Daveler, S.A., and T.J. Wolery. 1992. *EQPT, A Data File Preprocessor for the EQ3/6 Software Package: User's Guide and Related Documentation (Version 7.0).* UCRL-MA-110662 PT II. Livermore, CA: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.
- Freeze, R.A., and J.A. Cherry. 1979. *Groundwater.* Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Garner, J. 1996. "Radioisotopes to Be Used in the 1996 CCA Calculations." Unpublished memorandum to C. T. Stockman, March 15, 1996. Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.
- Molecke, M.A. 1983. *A Comparison of Brines Relevant to Nuclear Waste Experimentation.* SAND83-0516. Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.
- Lindberg, R.D., and D.D. Runnells. 1984. "Ground Water Redox Reactions: An Analysis of Equilibrium State Applied to Eh Measurements and Geochemical Modeling," *Science.* Vol. 225, no. 4665, 925-927.
- Molecke, M.A. 1983. *A Comparison of Brines Relevant to Nuclear Waste Experimentation.* SAND83-0516. Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

- Papenguth, H.W. and Y.K. Behl. 1996. *Test Plan for Evaluation of Dissolved Actinide Retardation at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant*. TP 96-02. Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.
- Popielak, R.S., R.L. Beauheim, S.R. Black, W.E. Coons, C.T. Ellingson and R.L. Olsen 1983. *Brine Reservoirs in the Castile Formation, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Project, Southeastern New Mexico*. TME 3153. Carlsbad, NM: U.S. Department of Energy WIPP Project Office.
- Ramsey, J. 1996. "Culebra Dissolved Actinide Parameter Request." Unpublished memorandum to E. J. Nowak, March 18, 1996. Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.
- Sewards, T. 1991. *Characterization of Fracture Surfaces in Dolomite Rock, Culebra Dolomite Member, Rustler Formation*. SAND90-7019. Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.
- Sewards, T., A. Brearly, R. Glenn, I.D.R. MacKinnon, and M.D. Siegel. 1992. *Nature and Genesis of Clay Minerals of the Rustler Formation in the Vicinity of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant in Southeastern New Mexico*. SAND90-2569. Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.
- Sewards, T., M.L. Williams, and K. Keil. 1991. "Mineralogy of the Culebra Dolomite," *Hydrogeochemical Studies of the Rustler Formation and Related Rocks in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Area, Southeastern New Mexico*. SAND88-0196. Eds. M.D. Siegel, S.J. Lambert, and K.L. Robinson. Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories, 3-1 to 3-43.
- Siegel, M.D., K.L. Robinson, and J. Myers. 1991. "Solute Relationships in Groundwaters from the Culebra Dolomite and Related Rocks in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Area, Southeastern New Mexico," *Hydrogeochemical Studies of the Rustler Formation and Related Rocks in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Area, Southeastern New Mexico*. SAND88-0196. Eds. M.D. Siegel, S.J. Lambert, and K.L. Robinson. Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories, 6-1 to 6-35.
- Tierney, M.S. 1996a. "Distributions." Unpublished memorandum to distribution, March 21, 1996. Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.
- Tierney, M.S. 1996b "Development of Parameter Values Distribution Functions." Unpublished memorandum to distribution, April 16, 1996. Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

WIPP Performance Assessment Department. 1992. *Preliminary Performance Assessment for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, December 1992. Volume 2: Technical Basis.* SAND92-0700/2. Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Wolery, T.J. 1992a. *EQ3/6, A Software Package for Geochemical Modeling of Aqueous Systems: Package Overview and Installation Guide (Version 7.0).* UCRL-MA-110662 PT I. Livermore, CA: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.

Wolery, T.J. 1992b. *EQ3NR, A Computer Program for Geochemical Aqueous Speciation-Solubility Calculations: Theoretical Manual, User's Guide, and Related Documentation (Version 7.0).* UCRL-MA-110662 PT III. Livermore, CA: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.

Wolery, T.J., and S.A. Daveler. 1992. *EQ6, A Computer Program for Reaction-Path Modeling of Aqueous Geochemical Systems: Theoretical Manual, User's Guide, and Related Documentation (Version 7.0).* UCRL-MA-110662 PT IV. Livermore, CA: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.

Table 1. Ranges of Matrix  $K_{ds}$  (ml/g) for Pu, Am, U, Th, and Np, and Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rck. Ranges in bold font to be used by PA. Oxidation state of Pu, U, and Np to be specified by the value of "oxstat" parameter sampled to calculate dissolved actinide concentrations in WIPP disposal rooms. All probability distributions are uniform (see text). Table compiled by L. H. Brush on April 3, 1996, based on results of meeting held April 1 and 2, 1996. Table checked by Brush and Y. Behl on April 3, 1996. Table revised by Brush on April 6, 1996, based on memo by D. A. Lucero and G. O. Brown dated April 5, 1996. Table checked by Behl on April 8, 1996.

Oxidation State	Element				
	Pu	Am	U	Th	Np
VI	NA	NA	<b>0.03 to 30<sup>A,C</sup></b> 0 to 70 <sup>B,C</sup>	NA	NA
V	NA	NA	NA	NA	2 to 900; <sup>A,C</sup> 1 to 200 <sup>B,C</sup>
IV	<b>900 to 20,000;<sup>A,D</sup></b> NE	NA	<b>900 to 20,000;<sup>A,E</sup></b> NE	<b>900 to 20,000;<sup>A,C</sup></b> NE	<b>900 to 20,000;<sup>A,G</sup></b> NE
III	<b>20 to 500;<sup>A,H</sup></b> 10 to 4,000 <sup>B,H</sup>	<b>20 to 500;<sup>A,I</sup></b> 10 to 4,000 <sup>B,I</sup>	NA	NA	NA

A: range for deep (Castile and Salado) brines only (see text).

B: range for Culebra brines only (see text).

C: experimentally obtained range (see text).

D: experimentally obtained range for Th(IV) applied to Pu(IV) by oxidation-state analogy.

E: experimentally obtained range for Th(IV) applied to U(IV) by oxidation-state analogy.

F: experimentally obtained range for Th(IV) and deep brines applied to Th(IV) and Culebra brines.

G: experimentally obtained range for Th(IV) applied to Np(IV) by oxidation-state analogy.

H: experimentally obtained range for Pu(V) applied to Pu(III) (see text).

I: experimentally obtained range for Pu(V) applied to Am(III) (see text).

NA: not applicable (element will not speciate in this oxidation state).

NE: not established for Culebra brines (see Appendix E).

APPENDIX A: MEETING TO ESTABLISH RANGES AND PROBABILITY  
DISTRIBUTIONS OF ACTINIDE  $K_d$ s FOR THE WIPP PA  
CALCULATIONS AND THE CCA

Invitation

March 25, 1996

Dear Colleague:

Attached is the agenda for the meeting to establish ranges and probability distributions of actinide  $K_d$ s for use in the long-term performance-assessment (PA) calculations to support the WIPP Compliance Certification Application (CCA). We will hold this meeting at the BDM Sandia Vista Building at 2301 Buena Vista SE in Albuquerque, NM, on Monday and Tuesday, April 1 and 2, 1996. Currently, we plan to meet in the Nuclear Waste Management Conference Room, the large conference room, all day Monday and Tuesday morning, and in Room 2105, a small conference room, on Tuesday afternoon. Because a key is required to enter the building in which the large conference room is located, I or someone else will meet you in the reception area of the Sandia Vista Building at 8:45 on Monday morning to take you to the large conference room if you do not have a key.

I view this as our main opportunity to reach consensus on the ranges and probability distributions of  $K_d$ s for Pu, Am U, Th, and Np that we will submit to the US DOE's Carlsbad Area Office for their use in meeting the requirements of the Consultation and Cooperation (C & C) Agreement with the State of New Mexico, and then to PA personnel for their calculations to support the CCA.

Because most of you presented most of your results at the Retardation Research Program Review Meeting in Carlsbad last month, I have scheduled only one presentation for next week's meeting, Predictions of Actinide Oxidation States in the Culebra by Harlan Stockman of Sandia. However, please bring viewgraphs updated to include as many of your new data as possible for use in our discussions. Because you are very busy, please do not feel obligated to spend a lot of time making nice viewgraphs.

Thank you very much in advance for taking time out of your busy schedule to participate in this meeting. I am looking forward to your input next week.

Best regards,

Larry Brush  
WIPP Chemical & Disposal Room  
Processes Department 6748

Distribution:

R. J. Lark, DOE/CAO  
I. Triay, LANL  
MS 0750 P. V. Brady (Org. 6118)  
MS 0750 H. W. Stockman (Org. 6118)  
MS 1320 E. J. Nowak (Org. 6831)  
MS 1320 Y. Behl (Org. 6748)  
MS 1320 G. O. Brown (Org. 6748)  
MS 1320 K. G. Budge (Org. 6748)  
MS 1320 R. V. Bynum (Org. 6831)  
MS 1320 R. Holt (Org. 6748)  
MS 1320 D. A. Lucero (Org. 6748)  
MS 1320 H. W. Papenguth (Org. 6748)  
MS 1320 W. G. Perkins (Org. 6748)  
MS 1320 M. D. Siegel (Org. 6748)  
MS 1328 M. S. Tierney (Org. 6741)  
MS 1328 M. A. Martell (Org. 6749)  
MS 1335 M. S. Y. Chu (Org. 6801)  
MS 1337 W. D. Weart (Org. 6000)  
MS 1341 J. T. Holmes (Org. 6748)  
MS 1341 L. H. Brush (Org. 6748)  
MS 1341 L. J. Storz (Org. 6748)  
MS 1341 R. F. Weiner (Org. 6751)  
MS 1395 L. E. Shephard (Org. 6800)  
MS 1395 M. G. Marietta (Org. 6821)  
MS 1330 SWCF (Org. 6352), WBS 1.1.10.3.1 (2)

## Agenda

Monday and Tuesday, April 1 and 2, 1996

BDM Sandia Vista Building

2301 Buena Vista SE

Albuquerque, NM

Monday, April 1

9:00 - 9:30	Introduction	L. H. Brush, SNL
9:30 - 10:30	Predictions of Actinide Oxidation States in the Culebra	H. W. Stockman, SNL
10:30 - 10:45	Break	
10:45 - 11:45	Proposed Use of Ranges and Distributions of $K_d$ s by PA	M. S. Tierney, SNL
11:45 - 13:00	Lunch	BDM Cafeteria
13:00 - 15:00	Discussion of Range and Distribution of $K_d$ s for Pu(V)	All participants
15:00 - 15:15	Break	
15:15 - 17:15	Discussion of Range and Distribution of $K_d$ s for Am(III) and Pu(III)	All participants

## Agenda (continued)

Tuesday, April 2

8:00 - 10:00	Discussion of Range and Distribution of $K_{ds}$ for Th(IV), Pu(IV), and U(IV)	All participants
10:00 - 10:15	Break	
10:15 - 11:45	Discussion of Range and Distribution of $K_{ds}$ for U(VI) and, if necessary, Pu(VI)	All participants
11:45 - 13:00	Lunch	BDM Cafeteria
13:00 - 13:30	Discussion of Range and Distribution of $K_{ds}$ for U(VI) (continued)	All participants
13:30 - 13:45	Break	
13:45 - 15:45	Discussion of Range and Distribution of $K_{ds}$ for Np(V)	All participants

## Participants

- Y. Behl, SciRes (SNL column transport study)
- P. V. Brady, SNL (Principal Investigator for the SNL/LANL mechanistic sorption study)
- L. H. Brush, SNL (Principal Investigator for the dissolved-actinide Retardation Research Program (RRP))
- R. V. Bynum, SAIC (Actinide Source Term Program management)
- C. Duffy, independent LANL contractor (LANL empirical sorption study)
- K. M. Economy, Ecodynamics (PA Culebra transport calculations)
- R. Holt, independent SNL contractor (characterization of clay minerals in the Culebra)
- E. J. Nowak, SNL (Manager, WIPP Chemical & Disposal Room Processes Department 6748)
- H. W. Papenguth, SNL (former Principal Investigator for the dissolved-actinide RRP)
- W. G. Perkins, SNL (Retardation Research Program management)
- M. D. Siegel, SNL (Principal Investigator for the Stanford mechanistic sorption study, brine mixing, and characterization of clay minerals in the Culebra)
- H. W. Stockman, SNL (predictions of actinide oxidation states in the Culebra)
- C. T. Stockman, SNL (predictions of actinide oxidation states in the Culebra)
- M. S. Tierney, SNL (Task Leader for the PA database)
- I. Triay, LANL (Group Leader, Chemical Science and Technology Group, and Principal Investigator for the LANL empirical sorption study)
- R. F. Weiner, SNL (Actinide Source Term Program)

## Observers

- D. Hobart, Carlsbad Administrative and Technical Assistance Contractor (Actinide Source Term Program, Retardation Research Program)
- R. J. Lark, US DOE Carlsbad Area Office

## APPENDIX B: RANGES AND PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTIONS OF MATRIX $K_{ds}$ FOR Pu(V) AND DOLOMITE-RICH CULEBRA ROCK

Based on a laboratory study carried out under expected WIPP conditions and previously published results obtained for applications other than the WIPP Project, ASTP personnel have predicted that Pu will speciate as Pu(III) or Pu(IV), but not as Pu(V) nor Pu(VI), in deep (Castile and Salado) brines in the repository. Furthermore, a modeling study of the effects of mixing deep and Culebra brines on the oxidation states of Pu, U, and Np in the Culebra showed that Culebra fluids are poorly poised (see Predictions of Actinide Oxidation States in the Culebra above). Therefore, Pu will not speciate as Pu(V) in the Culebra. However, we could not establish experimentally obtained ranges of matrix  $K_{ds}$  for Am(III) (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_{ds}$  above and Appendix C below). Instead, we established experimentally obtained ranges for Pu(V) and used them for Am(III) and Pu(III) by assuming that the  $K_{ds}$  for Am(III) and Pu(III) are greater than or equal to those for Pu(V).

To establish the *initial* ranges for Pu(V) and the deep brines, we first considered *all* of the data from the 6-week empirical sorption experiments carried out with Brine A and ERDA-6 on the bench top (0.033% CO<sub>2</sub>) by Triay and her group at LANL (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_{ds}$  for the reasons for considering these data). These runs were: #6004, #6024, #6044, #6064, and #6084 (see Table B-1 below), and #6005, #6025, #6045, #6065, and #6085 (Table B-2). Next, we discarded the data from #6025, #6045, and #6065, the runs in which the difference between the activity of the <sup>239</sup>Pu in a standard and that in a control exceeded 3 $\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_{ds}$ .) Discarding the data from these three runs yielded an *initial* range of 22.7 ml/g (from #6004) to 459 ml/g (#6005) for Pu(V) and the deep brines.

To establish the *initial* ranges for Pu(V) and the Culebra brines, we first considered *all* of Triay's 6-week sorption data obtained with AISinR and H-17 on the bench top (0.033% CO<sub>2</sub>) and in glove boxes with atmospheres containing 0.24 and 1.4% CO<sub>2</sub> (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_{ds}$ ). These were: #6006, #6026, #6046, #6066, #6086, #24006, #24026, #24046, #24066, #24086, #12006, #12026, #12046, #12066, and #12086 (Table B-3), and #6007, #6027, #6047, #6067, #6087, #24007, #24027, #24047, #24067, #24087, #12007, #12027, #12047, #12067, and #12087 (Table B-4). We then discarded the data from #6006, #24006, #24066, and #6007, the runs in which the difference between the activity of the <sup>239</sup>Pu in a standard and that in a control exceeded 3 $\sigma$ , to obtain the *initial* range of 9.61 ml/g (from #12007) to 3,620 ml/g (#12046) for Pu(V) and the Culebra brines.

Next, we compared these initial ranges with the data from the mechanistic sorption study by Brady and his colleagues at SNL and LANL. We used Brady's data to extend Triay's empirical sorption data for the deep brines to the basic conditions expected to result from the use of an MgO backfill in WIPP disposal rooms, *but not* to extend

Triay's data for the Culebra brines to basic conditions (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_d$ s). Brady's data for 0.5 M NaCl, atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$ , and the highest pH values under these conditions are (to three significant figures) 350 and 411 ml/g at a pH of 9.87 and 9.88, respectively (Table B-5). Because these values are *within* the initial range for Pu(V) and the deep brines (see above), and because we did not use this comparison to extend the initial range for Pu(V) and the Culebra brines to basic conditions, our *revised* ranges for Pu(V) remain 22.7 to 459 ml/g and 9.61 to 3,620 ml/g for the deep and Culebra brines, respectively.

We then compared both of these revised ranges with the data from the transport study with intact Culebra cores by Lucero and his colleagues at SNL. Lucero carried out his experiments under ambient atmospheric conditions; therefore, the  $\text{CO}_2$  content of these experiments was probably similar to that in Triay's bench-top (0.033%  $\text{CO}_2$ ) runs. Because Lucero *did not* observe breakthrough of Pu(V), it was only possible to determine a minimum  $K_d$  for this element. Lucero and his colleagues submitted their results on March 28, 1996, (see Table B-6), then revised them on April 5 and 16, 1996 (Tables B-7 and B-8, respectively). The minimum  $K_d$ s reported for experiments C-3, D3, and E-2 (Table B-8) *are consistent with the  $K_d$ s reported by Triay for Pu(V) and AISinR in her experiments carried out on the bench top (0.033%  $\text{CO}_2$ )* (Table B-3). Because these values are consistent with the revised range for Pu(V) and the Culebra brines (see above), and because Lucero did not carry out any experiments with Pu(V) and the deep brines (Table B-8), our *final* ranges for Pu(V) remain 22.7 to 459 ml/g and 9.61 to 3,620 ml/g for the deep and Culebra brines, respectively. However, we rounded these ranges to 20 to 500 ml/g and 10 to 4,000 ml/g, respectively prior to inclusion in Table 1 above.

We recommend that PA personnel use a uniform probability distribution for both of these ranges (see Methods Used to Establish Probability Distributions of Matrix  $K_d$ s above).

Furthermore, we recommend that PA use the range of 20 to 500 ml/g (the range for deep brines) for Pu(V) because this range results in less retardation of this element than the range for Culebra brines (see Methods Used for Final Selection of the Range of Matrix  $K_d$ s for Use in PA Calculations above).

Finally, we recommend that PA use a range of 20 to 500 ml/g for Pu(III) and Am(III) (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_d$ s and Appendix C).

Table B-1. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Pu(V), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and Brine A (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8. No  $K_d$ s in this table excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 23 and 30, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 19 and 26, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996. Table revised by Brush on June 7, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Range of Initial $^{239}\text{Pu}$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $\text{CO}_2$
Brine A	$1.98 \times 10^{-7}$ to $2.39 \times 10^{-7}$	22.7, #6004	23.7, #24004	31.9, #12004	28.3 #18004
Brine A	$1.11 \times 10^{-8}$ to $5.28 \times 10^{-8}$	54.9, #6024	261, #24024	38.5, #12024	35.9 #18024
Brine A	$2.38 \times 10^{-8}$ to $3.16 \times 10^{-8}$	28.0, #6044	34.6, #24044	43.9 #12044	39.4 #18044
Brine A	$3.78 \times 10^{-9}$ to $7.03 \times 10^{-9}$	27.2, #6064	30.5, #24064	65.0 #12064	32.1 #18064
Brine A	$3.08 \times 10^{-9}$ to $3.37 \times 10^{-9}$	28.6, #6084	30.5, #24084	31.2 #12084	30.3 #18084

Table B-2. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Pu(V), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and ERDA-6 (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 23 and 30, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 19 and 26, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996. Table revised by Brush on June 7, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Range of Initial $^{239}\text{Pu}$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $\text{CO}_2$
ERDA-6	1.45 x 10 <sup>-9</sup> to 6.01 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	459, #6005	6,890, #24005	7,070, #12005	151 #18005
ERDA-6	3.88 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 1.24 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	<b>3,920,</b> <b>#6025</b>	<b>6,500,</b> <b>#24025</b>	6,960 #12025	205 #18025
ERDA-6	2.36 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 6.35 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>	<b>2,980,</b> <b>#6045</b>	<b>3,040,</b> <b>#24045</b>	7,540 #12045	253 #18045
ERDA-6	1.59 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 1.67 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>	<b>677,</b> <b>#6065</b>	1,140, #24065	1,970 #12065	170 #18065
ERDA-6	3.29 x 10 <sup>-11</sup> to 1.20 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	147, #6085	<b>1,180,</b> <b>#24085</b>	<b>1,460</b> <b>#12085</b>	4,550 #18085

Table B-3. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Pu(V), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and AISinR (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 23 and 30, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 19 and 26, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996. Table revised by Brush on June 7, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Range of Initial $^{239}\text{Pu}$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $\text{CO}_2$
AISinR	$1.76 \times 10^{-9}$ to $9.71 \times 10^{-8}$	<b>348,</b> <b>#6006</b>	<b>62.5,</b> <b>#24006</b>	1,890, #12006	4,100 #18006
AISinR	$4.22 \times 10^{-10}$ to $3.91 \times 10^{-8}$	1,990, #6026	37.2, #24026	1,050 #12026	5,050, #18026
AISinR	$1.91 \times 10^{-10}$ to $1.85 \times 10^{-8}$	221, #6046	39.8, #24046	3,620 #12046	6,260 #18046
AISinR	$1.20 \times 10^{-10}$ to $3.18 \times 10^{-9}$	435, #6066	<b>50.3,</b> <b>#24066</b>	848 #12066	1,910 #18066
AISinR	$8.43 \times 10^{-11}$ to $1.93 \times 10^{-9}$	499, #6086	42.9, #24086	1,070, #12086	1,360 #18086

Table B-4. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Pu(V), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and H-17 (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 23 and 30, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 19 and 26, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996. Table revised by Brush on June 7, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Range of Initial $^{239}\text{Pu}$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $\text{CO}_2$
H-17	$5.94 \times 10^{-8}$ to $3.01 \times 10^{-7}$	<b>157,</b> <b>#6007</b>	89.5, #24007	9.61, #12007	26.7 #18007
H-17	$4.55 \times 10^{-9}$ to $7.16 \times 10^{-8}$	191, #6027	155, #24027	13.3 #12027	33.5 #18027
H-17	$3.80 \times 10^{-9}$ to $3.18 \times 10^{-8}$	332, #6047	183, #24047	<b>14.3</b> <b>#12047</b>	36.6 #18047
H-17	$9.35 \times 10^{-10}$ to $5.48 \times 10^{-9}$	256, #6067	182, #24067	25.2 #12067	43.7 #18067
H-17	$2.01 \times 10^{-10}$ to $2.00 \times 10^{-9}$	235, #6087	637, #24087	93.9 #12087	45.8 #18087

Table B-5. Effects of pH and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Pu(V) and Pure Dolomite (SNL/LANL Mechanistic Sorption Study). Sorption runs with Norwegian dolomite and 0.5 M NaCl. Data current as of March 31, 1996. Table retyped by L. H. Brush on April 24, 1996. Table checked by Y. Behl on April 28, 1996.

pH (standard units)	$K_d$ (ml/g), atmospheric $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.5% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 5% $CO_2$
9.88	410.9	NA	NA
9.87	349.56	NA	NA
8.42	0	NA	NA
6.7	-44.928	NA	NA
6.2	34.662	NA	NA
5.81	34.662	NA	NA
5.21	34.662	NA	NA
4.62	128.72	NA	NA
4.05	-113.15	NA	NA
3.51	-44.928	NA	NA
3.11	-310.23	NA	NA

NA: not applicable.

Table B-5. Effects of pH and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Pu(V) and Pure Dolomite (SNL/LANL Mechanistic Sorption Study) (continued).

pH (standard units)	$K_d$ (ml/g), atmospheric $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.5% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 5% $CO_2$
6.9	NA	465.69	NA
8.49	NA	3711.1	NA
7.32	NA	1029.4	NA
7.19	NA	1162.5	NA
6.99	NA	968.83	NA
6.88	NA	418.41	NA
6.55	NA	319.12	NA
6.17	NA	570.38	NA
5.28	NA	199.2	NA
3.97	NA	99.265	NA
3.13	NA	99.265	NA

NA: not applicable.

Table B-5: Effects of pH and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Pu(V) and Pure Dolomite (SNL/LANL Mechanistic Sorption Study) (continued).

pH (standard units)	$K_d$ (ml/g), atmospheric $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.5% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 5% $CO_2$
6.39	NA	NA	124.82
6.91	NA	NA	499.76
6.89	NA	NA	NR
6.8	NA	NA	499.76
6.75	NA	NA	520.02
6.84	NA	NA	371.73
6.49	NA	NA	423.82
6.07	NA	NA	222.63
5.76	NA	NA	147.77
4.91	NA	NA	22.561
3.62	NA	NA	124.82

NA: not applicable.

NR: not reported.

Table B-6. Minimum Values of R and  $K_d$  for Pu(V) in Intact Culebra Cores (SNL Column Transport Study). Data current as of March 26, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 30, 1996, based on memo by D. A. Lucero, G. O. Brown, and K. G. Budge dated March 28, 1996. Table checked by Brush on March 31, 1996.

Run #	Solid (core)	Brine	Flow rate (ml/min)	Run Time (days)	Ef-fluent Vol. (L)	$R_{min}$	Porosity (%)	$K_{d, min}$ (ml/g)	Range ( $\pm$ ml/g)
C-3	VPX-28-6C	AIS.	0.1	211	30.45	5,200	3.7 <sup>S</sup>	84	NA
D-3	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.1	118	17.02	1,140	9.1, <sup>S</sup> 5.3 <sup>D</sup>	46	NA
E-2	VPX-27-7A	AIS.	0.1	61	8.83	405	23, <sup>S</sup> 15.7 <sup>D</sup>	40	NA

AIS: AISinR.

D: dual porosity assumed.

NA: not available yet.

S: single porosity assumed.

Table B-7: Minimum Values of R and  $K_d$  for Pu(V) in Intact Culebra Cores (SNL Column Transport Study). Data current as of March 26, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 30, 1996, based on memo by D. A. Lucero, G. O. Brown, and K. G. Budge dated March 28, 1996. Table checked by Brush on March 31, 1996. Table revised by Brush on April 6, 1996, based on memo by Lucero and Brown dated April 5, 1996. Table checked by Y Behl on April 8, 1996.

Run #	Solid (core)	Brine	Flow rate (ml/min)	Run Time (days)	Ef-fluent Vol. (L)	$R_{min}$	Porosity (%)	$K_{d, min}$ (ml/g)	Range ( $\pm$ ml/g)
C-3	VPX-28-6C	AIS.	0.1	211	30.45	5,200	3.7 <sup>S</sup>	84	NA
D-3	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.1	118	17.02	1,140	9.1 <sup>S</sup>	46	NA
E-2	VPX-27-7A	AIS.	0.1	61	8.83	405	23 <sup>S</sup>	40	NA

AIS: AISinR.

NA: not available yet.

S: single porosity assumed.

Table B-8. Minimum Values of R and  $K_d$  for Pu(V) in Intact Culebra Cores (SNL Column Transport Study). Data current as of March 26, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 30, 1996, based on memo by D. A. Lucero, G. O. Brown, and K. G. Budge dated March 28, 1996. Table checked by Brush on March 31, 1996. Table revised by Brush on April 6, 1996, based on memo by Lucero and Brown dated April 5, 1996. Table checked by Y Behl on April 8, 1996. Table revised by Brush on April 17, 1996, based on memo by Brown dated April 16, 1996.

Run #	Solid (core)	Brine	Flow rate (ml/min)	Run Time (days)	Ef-fluent Vol. (L)	$R_{min}$	Porosity (%)	$K_{d, min}$ (ml/g)	Range ( $\pm$ ml/g)
C-3	VPX-28-6C	AIS.	0.1	211	30.45	5,200	3.7 <sup>S</sup>	84	NA
D-3	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.1	118	17.02	1,140	9.1 <sup>S</sup>	45	NA
E-2	VPX-27-7A	AIS.	0.1	61	8.83	405	23 <sup>S</sup>	40	NA

AIS: AISinR.

NA: not available yet.

S: single porosity assumed.

## APPENDIX C: RANGES AND PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTIONS OF MATRIX $K_{ds}$ FOR Am(III) AND DOLOMITE-RICH CULEBRA ROCK

For Am, only one oxidation state, Am(III), is possible in the Culebra (see Predictions of Actinide Oxidation States in the Culebra above). Therefore, we attempted to establish experimentally obtained ranges of matrix  $K_{ds}$  for Am(III), and use them and the oxidation-state analogy to establish ranges for Pu(III).

To establish the *initial* ranges for Am(III) and the deep brines, we first considered *all* of the data from the 6-week empirical sorption experiments carried out with Brine A and ERDA-6 on the bench top (0.033% CO<sub>2</sub>) by Triay and her group at LANL (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_{ds}$  above for the reasons for considering these data). These runs were: #6012, #6032, #6052, #6072, and #6092 (see Table C-1), and #6013, #6033, #6053, #6073, and #6093 (see Table C-2 below). We discarded the data from #6012, #6032, #6013, #6033, #6073, and #6093, the runs in which the difference between the activity of the <sup>243</sup>Am in a standard and that in a control exceeded 3 $\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_{ds}$ .) Even after discarding the results of these six runs, however, the defensibility of the remaining data is questionable because the  $K_{ds}$  retained for Brine A increase significantly as the final dissolved concentration of <sup>243</sup>Am increases. (We will include these isotherms in the parameter and analysis records packages for these  $K_{ds}$ . However, this trend is also apparent in Table C-1.) Furthermore, the isotherm obtained by plotting the quantity of radionuclide sorbed by the solid phase or phases versus the final dissolved radionuclide concentration does not appear to pass through the origin. The  $K_{ds}$  are also proportional to the final dissolved <sup>243</sup>Am concentration in the runs carried out in the glove box with an atmosphere containing 1.4% CO<sub>2</sub>, and, based on the trends observed with *both* retained and discarded data, *appeared* to increase similarly in the runs conducted in the glove boxes with atmospheres containing 0.24 and 4.1% CO<sub>2</sub> (see Table C-1). Moreover, based on the trends observed with *both* retained and discarded data, these  $K_{ds}$  also *appear* proportional to the final dissolved <sup>243</sup>Am concentration at all four CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the runs with ERDA-6 (Table C-2). These trends suggest that sorption of Am by the container walls, precipitation of an Am-bearing solid phase, coprecipitation of Am by another phase, incomplete separation of the aqueous and the solid phases at the end of an experiment, or some combination of these processes occurred in the runs with Am(III). We thought we had eliminated reactions other than sorption by the rock in these experiments because: (1) LANL personnel had filtered the brines sequentially, with a minimum filter size of 0.2  $\mu$ m, after these runs; (2) we had discarded results from the runs in which the difference between the activity of the radionuclide in the standard and that in the control exceeded 3 $\sigma$  before examining these data; (3) the final Am(III) concentrations in these runs were less than the solubilities predicted by FMT for these brines. Triay carried out additional posttest analyses of the brines from her 6-week sorption experiments with Am(III) with 0.033% CO<sub>2</sub> to determine, if possible, what caused these trends, and to redetermine these  $K_{ds}$ . She did not attempt any additional posttest analyses of the brines from experiments conducted in the glove boxes because

she had removed them from these glove boxes after the original runs, thus exposing them to conditions different from those during the runs.—Triay first recounted the brines to determine if mistaken sample identification or improper data entry had caused the trends described above. She then refiltered, centrifuged, and/or ultracentrifuged the brines to remove any suspended particles, and recounted them. Finally, she recalculated the  $K_{ds}$  using the controls instead of the standards to specify the initial dissolved  $^{243}\text{Am}$  concentration. Despite these efforts, the data continued to display trends similar to those described above.

The 6-week LANL sorption experiments carried out with Am(III) and the Culebra brines (AISinR and H-17) yielded the same trends described above for the deep brines (compare Tables C-3 and C-4 with Tables C-1 and C-2). Therefore, we could not use them to establish a range for Am(III).

We did not use the data from the mechanistic sorption study by Brady and his colleagues at SNL and LANL, nor the column transport study by Lucero and his colleagues at SNL to establish a range for Am(III) for several reasons. First, Brady had used pure Norwegian dolomite and pure NaCl solutions, not actual Culebra dolomite and synthetic Culebra fluids. (Although we used his data to *extend* the initial ranges established with Triay's empirical data to the basic conditions expected to result from the use of an MgO backfill in WIPP disposal rooms, we *did not* believe this would be defensible in the absence of *any* empirical data for actual Culebra dolomite and synthetic Culebra fluids.) Furthermore, Brady's data for Am(III) with atmospheric and 0.5%  $\text{CO}_2$  (see Table C-6), the headspace concentrations corresponding to  $\text{CO}_2$  partial pressures within the range that Siegel now considers likely for groundwaters in the Culebra off-site transport pathway (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_{ds}$ ), were all "high" above a pH of about 5 or 6. ("High" means that insufficient  $^{243}\text{Am}$  remained in solution after these runs to determine a  $K_d$ ). Moreover, although Brady *did* obtain data for Nd(III) with atmospheric and 0.5%  $\text{CO}_2$  at neutral or nearly neutral values of pH (Table C-5), he also obtained them with pure Norwegian dolomite and pure NaCl solutions, not actual Culebra dolomite and synthetic Culebra fluids. Finally, because Lucero *did not* observe breakthrough of Am(III), it is only possible to determine minimum  $K_{ds}$  for this element (Tables C-7 through C-9). These minimum values are significantly lower than actual Am(III)  $K_{ds}$  typically obtained for applications other than the WIPP Project (see below).

Therefore, we recommend using the *experimentally obtained* ranges for Pu(V) (see Table 1 and Appendix B above) for Am(III) by assuming that the  $K_{ds}$  for Am(III) are greater than or equal to those for Pu(V). This assumption is reasonable in view of results such as those in Figure 5 of Canepa (1992). In this case, the Am(III)  $K_d$  obtained for the Yucca Mountain Project is about one order of magnitude higher than that obtained for Pu(V) under the same conditions. (We will cite additional examples of differences between the  $K_{ds}$  for Am(III) and Pu(V) in future reports and presentations.) We *have not* used the oxidation-state analogy to justify the use of Pu(V) data for Am(III); instead, this approach is based on *differences* in the behavior of these oxidation states. Furthermore, we recommend using the range for Am(III) for Pu(III); for this recommendation, we *have* used the oxidation-state analogy.

## REFERENCE

Canepa, J.A. 1992. *Proceedings of the DOE/Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project Radionuclide Adsorption Workshop at Los Alamos National Laboratory, September 11-12, 1990*. LA-12325-C. Los Alamos, NM: Los Alamos National Laboratory.

Table C-1. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Am(III), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and Brine A (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 23 and 30, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 19 and 26, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996. Table revised by Brush on June 7, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Range of Initial $^{243}\text{Am}$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $\text{CO}_2$
Brine A	1.72 x 10 <sup>-9</sup> to 2.95 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>	965, #6012	1,040, #24012	1,320, #12012	1,260, #18012
Brine A	1.13 x 10 <sup>-9</sup> to 1.47 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>	927, #6032	1,120, #24032	1,140, #12032	1,070, #18032
Brine A	4.64 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 5.61 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	509, #6052	521, #24052	553, #12052	597, #18052
Brine A	3.31 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 4.14 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	330, #6072	356, #24072	392, #12072	346, #18072
Brine A	2.65 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 3.24 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	67.9, #6092	76.6, #24092	86.8, #12092	77.8, #18092

Table C-2: Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Am(III), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and ERDA-6 (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 23 and 30, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 19 and 26, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996. Table revised by Brush on June 7, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Range of Initial $^{243}\text{Am}$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $\text{CO}_2$
ERDA-6	2.18 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 4.66 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	<b>5,620,</b> <b>#6013</b>	<b>9,590,</b> <b>#24013</b>	10,800, #12013	<b>5,230,</b> <b>#18013</b>
ERDA-6	1.57 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 7.10 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	<b>5,100,</b> <b>#6033</b>	<b>4,760,</b> <b>#24033</b>	<b>8,110,</b> <b>#12033</b>	<b>2,080,</b> <b>#18033</b>
ERDA-6	1.06 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 2.02 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	1,650, #6053	1,610, #24053	<b>2,010,</b> <b>#12053</b>	<b>1,210,</b> <b>#18053</b>
ERDA-6	1.22 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 1.51 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	<b>978,</b> <b>#6073</b>	<b>877,</b> <b>#24073</b>	832, #12073	<b>754,</b> <b>#18073</b>
ERDA-6	9.23 x 10 <sup>-11</sup> to 1.16 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	<b>211,</b> <b>#6093</b>	272, #24093	<b>249,</b> <b>#12093</b>	<b>258,</b> <b>#18093</b>

Table C-3. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Am(III), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and ASInR (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font! excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 23 and 30, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 19 and 26, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996. Table revised by Brush on June 7, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Range of Initial $^{243}\text{Am}$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $\text{CO}_2$
ASInR	1.14 x 10 <sup>-9</sup> to 4.02 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>	<b>1,790,</b> <b>#6014</b>	730, #24014	<b>637,</b> <b>#12014</b>	<b>507,</b> <b>#18014</b>
ASInR	6.95 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 2.29 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>	<b>1,660,</b> <b>#6034</b>	<b>594,</b> <b>#24034</b>	<b>676,</b> <b>#12034</b>	<b>504,</b> <b>#18034</b>
ASInR	1.92 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 4.47 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	934, #6054	760, #24054	<b>437,</b> <b>#12054</b>	<b>436,</b> <b>#18054</b>
ASInR	1.27 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 2.89 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	<b>653,</b> <b>#6074</b>	640, #24074	344, #12074	<b>334,</b> <b>#18074</b>
ASInR	1.26 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 1.64 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	190, #6094	145, #24094	133, #12094	156, #18094

Table C-4. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Am(III), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and H-17 (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 23 and 30, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 19 and 26, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996. Table revised by Brush on June 7, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Range of Initial $^{243}\text{Am}$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $\text{CO}_2$
H-17	6.35 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 1.69 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>	<b>1,590,</b> <b>#6015</b>	<b>1,310,</b> <b>#24015</b>	<b>688,</b> <b>#12015</b>	<b>547,</b> <b>#18015</b>
H-17	4.42 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 1.77 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>	<b>1,140,</b> <b>#6035</b>	<b>1,120,</b> <b>#24035</b>	<b>622,</b> <b>#12035</b>	<b>484,</b> <b>#18035</b>
H-17	2.27 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 3.60 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	<b>870,</b> <b>#6055</b>	<b>836,</b> <b>#24055</b>	<b>499,</b> <b>#12055</b>	<b>633,</b> <b>#18055</b>
H-17	1.57 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 3.16 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	<b>826,</b> <b>#6075</b>	<b>626,</b> <b>#24075</b>	<b>418,</b> <b>#12075</b>	<b>383,</b> <b>#18075</b>
H-17	1.43 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> to 3.36 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	<b>76.1,</b> <b>#6095</b>	<b>187,</b> <b>#24095</b>	<b>189,</b> <b>#12095</b>	<b>155,</b> <b>#18095</b>

Table C-5. Effects of pH and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Nd(III) and Pure Dolomite (SNL/LANL Mechanistic Sorption Study). Sorption runs with Norwegian dolomite and 0.05 M NaCl. Data current as of March 31, 1996. Table retyped by L. H. Brush on April 24, 1996. Table checked by Y. Behl on April 28, 1996.

pH (standard units)	$K_d$ (ml/g), atmospheric $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.5% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 5% $CO_2$
9.08	2864.3	NA	NA
8.41	1619.2	NA	NA
7.52	1225.2	NA	NA
6.72	1141.4	NA	NA
6.49	571.49	NA	NA
6.19	497.91	NA	NA
5.74	546.24	NA	NA
5.25	272.78	NA	NA
4.63	241.5	NA	NA
4.2	279.24	NA	NA
3.75	202.2	NA	NA
3.35	141.3	NA	NA

NA: not applicable.

Table C-5. Effects of pH and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Nd(III) and Pure Dolomite (SNL/LANL Mechanistic Sorption Study) (continued).

pH (standard units)	$K_d$ (ml/g), atmospheric $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.5% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 5% $CO_2$
7.08	NA	538.93	NA
6.81	NA	403.05	NA
6.45	NA	261.86	NA
6.26	NA	386.81	NA
5.92	NA	149.45	NA
5.18	NA	84.721	NA
4.35	NA	55.485	NA
3.64	NA	51.358	NA

NA: not applicable.

Table C-5. Effects of pH and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Nd(III) and Pure Dolomite (SNL/LANL Mechanistic Sorption Study) (continued).

pH (standard units)	$K_d$ (ml/g), atmospheric $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.5% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 5% $CO_2$
6.33	NA	NA	226.71
6.24	NA	NA	179.19
6.15	NA	NA	182.28
6.01	NA	NA	169.89
5.88	NA	NA	168.9
5.76	NA	NA	111.58
5.54	NA	NA	72.6
5.21	NA	NA	206.36
3.42	NA	NA	34.636

NA: not applicable.

Table C-6: Effects of pH and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Am(III) and Pure Dolomite (SNL/LANL Mechanistic Sorption Study). Sorption runs with Norwegian dolomite and 0.5 M NaCl. Data current as of March 31, 1996. Table retyped by L. H. Brush on April 24, 1996. Table checked by Y. Behl on April 28, 1996.

pH (standard units)	$K_d$ (ml/g), atmospheric $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.5% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 5% $CO_2$
9.88	High	NA	NA
9.87	High	NA	NA
8.42	High	NA	NA
6.7	High	NA	NA
6.2	High	NA	NA
5.81	High	NA	NA
5.21	High	NA	NA
4.62	791.15	NA	NA
4.05	630.75	NA	NA
3.51	285.41	NA	NA
3.11	92.603	NA	NA

NA: not applicable.

Table C-6. Effects of pH and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Am(III) and Pure Dolomite (SNL/LANL Mechanistic Sorption Study) (continued).

pH (standard units)	$K_d$ (ml/g), atmospheric $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.5% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 5% $CO_2$
6.9	NA	High	NA
8.49	NA	High	NA
7.32	NA	High	NA
7.19	NA	High	NA
6.99	NA	High	NA
6.88	NA	High	NA
6.55	NA	High	NA
6.17	NA	High	NA
5.28	NA	1130.9	NA
3.97	NA	65.441	NA
3.13	NA	158.09	NA

NA: not applicable.

Table C-6. Effects of pH and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Am(III) and Pure Dolomite  
(SNL/LANL Mechanistic Sorption Study) (continued).

pH (standard units)	$K_d$ (ml/g), atmospheric $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.5% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 5% $CO_2$
6.39	NA	NA	High
6.91	NA	NA	High
6.89	NA	NA	High
6.8	NA	NA	High
6.75	NA	NA	High
6.84	NA	NA	High
6.49	NA	NA	High
6.07	NA	NA	High
5.76	NA	NA	1934.3
4.91	NA	NA	333.78
3.62	NA	NA	-10.908

NA: not applicable.

Table C-7 Minimum Values of R and  $K_d$  for Am(HI) in Intact Culebra Cores (SNL Column Transport Study). Data current as of March 26, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 30, 1996, based on memo by D. A. Lucero, G. O. Brown, and K. G. Budge dated March 28, 1996. Table checked by Brush on March 31, 1996.

Run #	Solid (core)	Brine	Flow rate (ml/min)	Run Time (days)	Ef-fluent Vol. (L)	$R_{min}$	Porosity (%)	$K_{d, min}$ (ml/g)	Range ( $\pm$ ml/g)
C-3	VPX-28-6C	AIS.	0.1	211	30.45	1,420	3.7 <sup>S</sup>	11	NA
D-3	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.1	118	17.02	350	9.1, <sup>S</sup> 5.3 <sup>D</sup>	14	NA
E-2	VPX-27-7A	AIS.	0.1	61	8.83	200	23, <sup>S</sup> 15.7 <sup>D</sup>	20	NA

AIS: AISinR.

D: dual porosity assumed.

NA: not available yet.

S: single porosity assumed.

Table C-8: Minimum Values of R and  $K_d$  for Am(III) in Intact Culebra Cores (SNL Column Transport Study). Data current as of March 26, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 30, 1996, based on memo by D. A. Lucero, G. O. Brown, and K. G. Budge dated March 28, 1996. Table checked by Brush on March 31, 1996. Table revised by Brush on April 6, 1996, based on memo by Lucero and Brown dated April 5, 1996. Table checked by Y. Behl on April 8, 1996.

Run #	Solid (core)	Brine	Flow rate (ml/min)	Run Time (days)	Ef-fluent Vol. (L)	$R_{min}$	Porosity (%)	$K_{d, min}$ (ml/g)	Range ( $\pm$ ml/g)
C-3	VPX-28-6C	AIS.	0.1	211	30.45	1,420	3.7 <sup>S</sup>	11	NA
D-3	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.1	118	17.02	350	9.1 <sup>S</sup>	14	NA
E-2	VPX-27-7A	AIS.	0.1	61	8.83	200	23 <sup>S</sup>	20	NA

AIS: AISinR.

NA: not available yet.

S: single porosity assumed.

Table C-9. Minimum Values of R and  $K_d$  for Am(III) in Intact Culebra Cores (SNL Column Transport Study). Data current as of March 26, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 30, 1996, based on memo by D. A. Lucero, G. O. Brown, and K. G. Budge dated March 28, 1996. Table checked by Brush on March 31, 1996. Table revised by Brush on April 6, 1996, based on memo by Lucero and Brown dated April 5, 1996. Table checked by Y. Behl on April 8, 1996. Table revised by Brush on April 17, 1996, based on memo by Brown dated April 16, 1996.

Run #	Solid (core)	Brine	Flow rate (ml/min)	Run Time (days)	Ef-fluent Vol. (L)	$R_{min}$	Porosity (%)	$K_{d, min}$ (ml/g)	Range ( $\pm$ ml/g)
C-3	VPX-28-6C	AIS.	0.1	211	30.45	1,420	3.7 <sup>S</sup>	23	NA
D-3	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.1	118	17.02	350	9.1 <sup>S</sup>	14	NA
E-2	VPX-27-7A	AIS.	0.1	61	8.83	200	23 <sup>S</sup>	20	NA

AIS: AISinR.

NA: not available yet.

S: single porosity assumed.

APPENDIX D: RANGES AND PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTIONS OF  
MATRIX  $K_d$ s FOR U(VI) AND DOLOMITE-RICH  
CULEBRA ROCK

Based on experimental results, ASTP personnel have predicted that U will speciate as U(IV) or U(VI) in deep (Castile and Salado) brines in WIPP disposal rooms. Furthermore, a modeling study of the effects of mixing deep and Culebra brines on the oxidation states of Pu, U, and Np in the Culebra showed that Culebra fluids are poorly poised (see Predictions of Actinide Oxidation States in the Culebra above). Therefore, we established experimentally obtained ranges of matrix  $K_d$ s for U(VI) and used the experimentally obtained ranges for Th(IV) (see Appendix E below) and the oxidation-state analogy to establish ranges for U(IV) (and Np(IV)).

To establish the *initial* ranges for U(VI) and the deep brines, we first considered *all* of the data from the 6-week empirical sorption experiments carried out with Brine A and ERDA-6 on the bench top (0.033% CO<sub>2</sub>) by Triay and her group at LANL (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_d$ s above for the reasons for considering these data). These runs were: #6008, #6028, #6048, #6068, and #6088 (see Table D-1 below), and #6009, #6029, #6049, #6069, and #6089 (Table D-2). Next, we discarded the data from #6008, #6028, #6048, #6068, and #6009, the runs in which the difference between the activity of the <sup>233</sup>U in a standard and that in a control exceeded 3 $\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_d$ s). Discarding the data from these five runs yielded an *initial* range of 3.76 ml/g (from #6029) to 21.8 ml/g (#6088) for U(VI) and the deep brines.

To establish the *initial* ranges for U(VI) and the Culebra brines, we first considered *all* of Triay's 6-week sorption data obtained with AISinR and H-17 on the bench top (0.033% CO<sub>2</sub>) and in glove boxes with atmospheres containing 0.24 and 1.4% CO<sub>2</sub> (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_d$ s). These were: #6010, #6030, #6050, #6070, #6090, #24010, #24030, #24050, #24070, #24090, #12010, #12030, #12050, #12070, and #12090 (Table D-3), and #6011, #6031, #6051, #6071, #6091, #24011, #24031, #24051, #24071, #24091, #12011, #12031, #12051, #12071, and #12091 (Table D-4). We then discarded the data from #6010, #6050, #6070, #24010, #24030, #12010, #6011, #6031, #6051, #6071, #12011, and #12051, the runs in which the difference between the activity of the <sup>233</sup>U in a standard and that in a control exceeded 3 $\sigma$ , to obtain the *initial* range of -1.66 ml/g (from #12091) to 68.7 ml/g (#24091) for U(VI) and the Culebra brines. However, we set the lower limit of this range equal to 0 ml/g because there is no reason why a  $K_d$  could have a value less than 0.

Next, we compared these initial ranges with the data from the mechanistic sorption study by Brady and his colleagues at SNL and LANL. We used Brady's data to extend Triay's empirical sorption data for the deep brines to the basic conditions expected to result from the use of an MgO backfill in WIPP disposal rooms, *but not* to extend Triay's data for the Culebra brines to basic conditions (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_d$ s). Brady's data for 0.5 M NaCl, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, and the highest

pH values under these conditions are (to three significant figures) 10.6 and 34.7 ml/g at a pH of 9.87 and 9.88, respectively (Table D-5). Because these values are *greater than the upper limit* of the initial range for U(VI) and the deep brines (see above), we extended this range to obtain a *revised* range for U(VI) and deep brines of 3.76 ml/g to 34.7 ml/g. Because we did not use this comparison to extend the initial range for U(VI) and the Culebra brines to basic conditions, this *revised* range remains 0 to 68.7 ml/g.

We then compared both of these revised ranges with the data from the transport study with intact Culebra cores by Lucero and his colleagues at SNL. Lucero carried out his experiments under ambient atmospheric conditions; therefore, the CO<sub>2</sub> content of these experiments was probably similar to that in the LANL bench-top (0.033% CO<sub>2</sub>) runs. Lucero *did* observe breakthrough of U(VI) in his experiments. Therefore, it was possible to determine actual K<sub>d</sub>s for this element. Lucero and his colleagues submitted their results on March 28, 1996, (see Table D-6), then revised them on April 5 and 16, 1996 (Tables D-7 and D-8, respectively). The K<sub>d</sub> reported for Experiment C-7 with the deep brine ERDA-6 (Table D-8) is *less than the lower limit of the revised range of K<sub>d</sub>s for U(VI) and the deep brines obtained from the empirical and mechanistic sorption studies* (see above). Therefore, we extended this range to obtain a *final* range for U(VI) and deep brines of 0.029 ml/g to 34.7 ml/g, and rounded it to 0.03 to 30 ml/g prior to inclusion in Table 1 above. Because Lucero's K<sub>d</sub>s for U(VI) and the Culebra brine AISinR (B-3, B-6, C-2, D-5, and D-6 in Table D-8) are *within* the revised range for U(VI) and the Culebra brines (see above), our *final* range remains 0 to 68.7 ml/g, rounded to 0 to 70 ml/g for inclusion in Table 1.

We recommend that PA personnel use a uniform probability distribution for both of these ranges (see Methods Used to Establish Probability Distributions of Matrix K<sub>d</sub>s above).

Finally, we recommend that PA use the range of 0.03 to 30 ml/g (the range for deep brines) for U(VI) because this range results in less retardation of this element than the range for Culebra brines (see Methods Used for Final Selection of the Range of Matrix K<sub>d</sub>s for Use in PA Calculations above).

Table D-1. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for U(VI), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and Brine A (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 23 and 30, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 19 and 26, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996. Table revised by Brush on June 7, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Range of Initial $^{233}U$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $CO_2$
Brine A	$1.65 \times 10^{-6}$ to $2.81 \times 10^{-6}$	<b>12.5,</b> <b>#6008</b>	<b>4.77,</b> <b>#24008</b>	<b>1.16,</b> <b>#12008</b>	0.709, #18008
Brine A	$7.89 \times 10^{-7}$ to $1.05 \times 10^{-6}$	<b>16.8,</b> <b>#6028</b>	2.86, #24028	1.21, #12028	2.67, #18028
Brine A	$1.85 \times 10^{-7}$ to $2.72 \times 10^{-7}$	<b>19.9,</b> <b>#6048</b>	5.23, #24048	1.47, #12048	2.46, #18048
Brine A	$7.60 \times 10^{-8}$ to $1.09 \times 10^{-7}$	<b>16.6,</b> <b>#6068</b>	6.81, #24068	0.933, #12068	2.30, #18068
Brine A	$2.14 \times 10^{-8}$ to $4.16 \times 10^{-8}$	21.8, #6088	4.70, #24088	-5.41, #12088	1.44, #18088

Table D-2. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for U(VI), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and ERDA-6 (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 23 and 30, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 19 and 26, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996. Table revised by Brush on June 7, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Range of Initial $^{233}U$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $CO_2$
ERDA-6	2.62 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> to 2.99 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	<b>0.542,</b> <b>#6009</b>	1.29, #24009	<b>4.13,</b> <b>#12009</b>	3.75, #18009
ERDA-6	8.61 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> to 9.87 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.76, #6029	1.52, #24029	5.92, #12029	<b>3.17,</b> <b>#18029</b>
ERDA-6	2.14 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> to 2.56 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.86, #6049	2.38, #24049	7.25, #12049	3.22, #18049
ERDA-6	8.40 x 10 <sup>-8</sup> to 9.28 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	3.88, #6069	4.35, #24069	6.24, #12069	4.76, #18069
ERDA-6	2.31 x 10 <sup>-8</sup> to 2.87 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	6.55, #6089	2.11, #24089	7.04, #12089	1.04, #18089

Table D-3. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for U(VI), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and ASlinR (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 23 and 30, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 19 and 26, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996. Table revised by Brush on June 7, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Range of Initial $^{233}U$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $CO_2$
ASlinR	2.86 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> to 3.04 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	<b>2.56,</b> <b>#6010</b>	<b>0.351,</b> <b>#24010</b>	<b>0.550,</b> <b>#12010</b>	<b>1.67,</b> <b>#18010</b>
ASlinR	9.57 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> to 1.06 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.62, #6030	<b>2.69,</b> <b>#24030</b>	2.05, #12030	<b>0.756,</b> <b>#18030</b>
ASlinR	2.63 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> to 2.87 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	<b>3.74,</b> <b>#6050</b>	0.389, #24050	1.65, #12050	<b>3.73,</b> <b>#18050</b>
ASlinR	8.22 x 10 <sup>-8</sup> to 9.50 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	<b>4.02,</b> <b>#6070</b>	3.16, #24070	1.03, #12070	<b>0.341,</b> <b>#18070</b>
ASlinR	2.60 x 10 <sup>-8</sup> to 2.79 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	7.51, #6090	0.117, #24090	1.73, #12090	1.88, #18090

Table D-4. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for U(VI), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and H-17 (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 23 and 30, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 19 and 26, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996. Table revised by Brush on June 7, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Range of Initial $^{233}U$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $CO_2$
H-17	2.76 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> to 2.97 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	<b>3.63,</b> <b>#6011</b>	0.313, #24011	<b>-0.745,</b> <b>#12011</b>	-0.104, #18011
H-17	1.11 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> to 1.16 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	<b>5.55,</b> <b>#6031</b>	1.30, #24031	0.157, #12031	0.530, #18031
H-17	2.72 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> to 2.92 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	<b>6.97,</b> <b>#6051</b>	3.03, #24051	<b>-1.83,</b> <b>#12051</b>	2.26, #18051
H-17	8.73 x 10 <sup>-8</sup> to 9.86 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	<b>5.12,</b> <b>#6071</b>	1.90, #24071	1.50, #12071	-1.22, #18071
H-17	6.89 x 10 <sup>-9</sup> to 2.83 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	10.2, #6091	68.7, #24091	-1.66, #12091	2.96, #18091

Table D-5. Effects of pH and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for U(VI) and Pure Dolomite (SNL/LANL Mechanistic Sorption Study). Sorption runs with Norwegian dolomite and 0.5 M NaCl. Data current as of March 31, 1996. Table retyped by L. H. Brush on April 24, 1996. Table checked by Y. Behl on April 28, 1996.

pH (standard units)	$K_d$ (ml/g), atmospheric $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.5% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 5% $CO_2$
9.88	34.662	NA	NA
9.87	10.6	NA	NA
8.42	7691.2	NA	NA
6.7	4794.1	NA	NA
6.2	3345.6	NA	NA
5.81	5685.5	NA	NA
5.21	2476.5	NA	NA
4.62	2476.5	NA	NA
4.05	2621.3	NA	NA
3.51	2342.8	NA	NA
3.11	1897.1	NA	NA

NA: not applicable.

Table D-5. Effects of pH and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_{ds}$  for U(VI) and Pure Dolomite (SNL/LANL Mechanistic Sorption Study) (continued).

pH (standard units)	$K_d$ (ml/g), atmospheric $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.5% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 5% $CO_2$
6.9	NA	775.74	NA
8.49	NA	-112.13	NA
7.32	NA	44.55	NA
7.19	NA	123.88	NA
6.99	NA	286.76	NA
6.88	NA	849.72	NA
6.55	NA	1114	NA
6.17	NA	455.52	NA
5.28	NA	1774.6	NA
3.97	NA	1611.4	NA
3.13	NA	4222.7	NA

NA: not applicable.

Table D-5: Effects of pH and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for U(VI) and Pure Dolomite  
(SNL/LANL Mechanistic Sorption Study) (continued).

pH (standard units)	$K_d$ (ml/g), atmospheric $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.5% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 5% $CO_2$
6.39	NA	NA	475.59
6.91	NA	NA	69.799
6.89	NA	NA	0
6.8	NA	NA	0
6.75	NA	NA	69.799
6.84	NA	NA	69.799
6.49	NA	NA	296.73
6.07	NA	NA	1517.2
5.76	NA	NA	2056.6
4.91	NA	NA	3075.4
3.62	NA	NA	1674.5

NA: not applicable.

Table D-6. Measured Values of R and Calculated Values of  $K_d$  for U(VI) in Intact Culebra Cores (SNL Column Transport Study). Values in bold font to be excluded from ranges and distributions because fits to elution data were inferior to those assuming single porosity and dual porosity with fracture retardation. Data current as of March 30, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 30, 1996, based on memo by D. A. Lucero, G. O. Brown, and K. G. Budge dated March 28, 1996. Table checked by Brush on March 31, 1996.

Run #	Solid (core)	Brine	Flow rate (ml/min)	Run Time (days)	Ef-fluent Vol. (L)	R	Porosity (%)	$K_d$ (ml/g)	Range ( $\pm$ ml/g)
B-3	VPX-26-11A	AIS.	0.1	NA	NA	3.4; <sup>S</sup>	9.8 <sup>S</sup>	.084 <sup>S</sup>	.022 <sup>S</sup>
						1, 18.3; <sup>N</sup>	5.2 <sup>DN</sup>	<b>0.68<sup>DN</sup></b>	<b>.67<sup>DN</sup></b>
						3.1, 5.2 <sup>DF</sup>	5.2 <sup>DF</sup>	.039 <sup>DF</sup>	0.03 <sup>DF</sup>
B-6	VPX-26-11A	AIS.	0.05	NA	NA	13.1; <sup>S</sup>	4.8 <sup>S</sup>	0.25 <sup>S</sup>	0.07 <sup>S</sup>
						1, NA; <sup>DN</sup>	NA <sup>DN</sup>	NA <sup>DN</sup>	NA <sup>DN</sup>
						NA, NA <sup>DF</sup>	NA <sup>DF</sup>	NA <sup>DF</sup>	NA <sup>DF</sup>
C-2	VPX-28-6C	AIS.	0.1	21	2	10.4; <sup>S</sup>	5.7 <sup>S</sup>	0.23 <sup>S</sup>	0.08 <sup>S</sup>
						1, 260; <sup>DN</sup>	3.5 <sup>DN</sup>	<b>3.9<sup>DN</sup></b>	<b>7<sup>DN</sup></b>
						12.0, 0.6 <sup>DF</sup>	3.5 <sup>DF</sup>	0.17 <sup>DF</sup>	0.06 <sup>DF</sup>
C-7	VPX-28-6C	ER.-6	0.1	13	2	2.7; <sup>S</sup>	3.9 <sup>S</sup>	.029 <sup>S</sup>	.022 <sup>S</sup>
						1, 0.6; <sup>DN</sup>	2.1 <sup>DN</sup>	<b>.069<sup>DN</sup></b>	<b>0.13<sup>DN</sup></b>
						2.7, 0.76 <sup>DF</sup>	2.1 <sup>DF</sup>	.016 <sup>DF</sup>	.005 <sup>DF</sup>

Table D-6. Measured Values of R and Calculated Values of  $K_d$  for U(VI) in Intact Culebra Cores (SNL Column Transport Study) (continued)

Run #	Solid (core)	Brine	Flow rate (ml/min)	Run Time (days)	Ef-fluent Vol. (L)	R	Porosity (%)	$K_d$ (ml/g)	Range ( $\pm$ ml/g)
D-5	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.1	13	2	21, <sup>S</sup>	17.3 <sup>S</sup>	1.50 <sup>S</sup>	0.38 <sup>S</sup>
						1, 184; <sup>DN</sup>	7.1 <sup>DN</sup>	5.6 <sup>DN</sup>	5.5 <sup>DN</sup>
						NA, NA <sup>DF</sup>	7.1 <sup>DF</sup>	NA <sup>DF</sup>	NA <sup>DF</sup>
D-6	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.05	60	2	10.1, <sup>S</sup>	15.5 <sup>S</sup>	0.61 <sup>S</sup>	0.15
						1, NA; <sup>DN</sup>	7.1 <sup>DN</sup>	NA <sup>DN</sup>	NA <sup>DN</sup>
						12.3, 71 <sup>DF</sup>	7.1 <sup>DF</sup>	0.35 <sup>DF</sup>	0.19 <sup>DF</sup>

AIS: AISinR.

DF: dual porosity and fracture retardation assumed.

DN: dual porosity and no fracture retardation assumed.

ER.-6: ERDA-6.

NA: not available yet.

S: single porosity assumed.

Table D-7. Measured Values of R and Calculated Values of  $K_d$  for U(VI) in Intact Culebra Cores (SNL Column Transport Study). Data current as of March 30, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 30, 1996, based on memo by D. A. Lucero, G. O. Brown, and K. G. Budge dated March 28, 1996. Table checked by Brush on March 31, 1996. Table revised by Brush on April 6, 1996, based on memo by Lucero and Brown dated April 5, 1996. Table checked by Y. Behl on April 8, 1996.

Run #	Solid (core)	Brine	Flow rate (ml/min)	Run Time (days)	Ef-fluent Vol. (L)	R	Porosity (%)	$K_d$ (ml/g)	Range ( $\pm$ ml/g)
B-3	VPX-26-11A	AIS.	0.1	NA	NA	3.4 <sup>S</sup>	9.8 <sup>S</sup>	0.084 <sup>S</sup>	NA <sup>S</sup>
B-6	VPX-26-11A	AIS.	0.05	NA	NA	13 <sup>S</sup>	4.8 <sup>S</sup>	0.25 <sup>S</sup>	NA <sup>S</sup>
C-2	VPX-28-6C	AIS.	0.1	21	2	10.4 <sup>S</sup>	5.7 <sup>S</sup>	0.23 <sup>S</sup>	NA <sup>S</sup>
C-7	VPX-28-6C	ER.-6	0.1	13	2	2.7 <sup>S</sup>	3.9 <sup>S</sup>	0.029 <sup>S</sup>	NA <sup>S</sup>
D-5	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.1	13	2	21 <sup>S</sup>	17.3 <sup>S</sup>	1.5 <sup>S</sup>	NA <sup>S</sup>
D-6	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.05	60	2	10 <sup>S</sup>	15.5 <sup>S</sup>	0.61 <sup>S</sup>	NA <sup>S</sup>

AIS: AISinR.

ER.-6: ERDA-6.

NA: not available yet.

S: single porosity assumed.

Table D-8. Measured Values of R and Calculated Values of  $K_d$  for U(VI) in Intact Culebra Cores (SNL Column Transport Study). Data current as of March 30, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 30, 1996, based on memo by D. A. Lucero, G. O. Brown, and K. G. Budge dated March 28, 1996. Table checked by Brush on March 31, 1996. Table revised by Brush on April 6, 1996 based on memo by Lucero and Brown dated April 5, 1996. Table checked by Y. Behl on April 8, 1996. Table revised by Brush on April 17, 1996, based on memo by Brown dated April 16, 1996.

Run #	Solid (core)	Brine	Flow rate (ml/min)	Run Time (days)	Ef-fluent Vol. (L)	R	Porosity (%)	$K_d$ (ml/g)	Range ( $\pm$ ml/g)
B-3	VPX-26-11A	AIS.	0.1	NA	NA	3.4 <sup>S</sup>	9.8 <sup>S</sup>	0.10 <sup>S</sup>	NA <sup>S</sup>
B-6	VPX-26-11A	AIS.	0.05	NA	NA	13 <sup>S</sup>	4.8 <sup>S</sup>	0.25 <sup>S</sup>	NA <sup>S</sup>
C-2	VPX-28-6C	AIS.	0.1	21	2	10.4 <sup>S</sup>	5.7 <sup>S</sup>	0.23 <sup>S</sup>	NA <sup>S</sup>
C-7	VPX-28-6C	ER.-6	0.1	13	2	2.7 <sup>S</sup>	3.9 <sup>S</sup>	0.029 <sup>S</sup>	NA <sup>S</sup>
D-5	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.1	13	2	21 <sup>S</sup>	17.3 <sup>S</sup>	1.5 <sup>S</sup>	NA <sup>S</sup>
D-6	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.05	60	2	10 <sup>S</sup>	15.5 <sup>S</sup>	0.61 <sup>S</sup>	NA <sup>S</sup>

AIS: AISinR.

ER.-6: ERDA-6.

NA: not available yet.

S: single porosity assumed.

APPENDIX E: RANGES AND PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTIONS OF  
MATRIX  $K_d$ s FOR Th(IV) AND DOLOMITE-RICH  
CULEBRA ROCK

For Th, only one oxidation state, Th(IV), is possible in the Culebra (see Predictions of Actinide Oxidation States in the Culebra above). Therefore, we established an experimentally obtained range of matrix  $K_d$ s for Th(VI), and used it and the oxidation-state analogy to establish ranges for Pu(IV), U(IV), and Np(IV).

To establish the *initial* ranges for Th(IV) and the deep brines, we first considered *all* of the data from the 6-week empirical sorption experiments carried out with Brine A and ERDA-6 on the bench top (0.033% CO<sub>2</sub>) by Triay and her group at LANL (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_d$ s above for the reasons for considering these data). These runs were: #7011A and #7011B (see Table E-1 below), and #7007A, #7007B, #7008A, #7008B, #7009A, #7009B, #7010A, and #7010B (Table E-2). Next, we discarded the data from #7011A and #7011B, the runs in which the difference between the activity of the <sup>230</sup>Th in a standard and that in a control exceeded 3 $\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_d$ s). Discarding the data from these two runs yielded an *initial* range of 864 ml/g (from #7010A) to 15,000 ml/g (#7008B) for Th(IV) and the deep brines.

For the *initial* ranges for Th(IV) and the Culebra brines, we first considered *all* of Triay's 6-week sorption data obtained with AISinR and H-17 on the bench top (0.033% CO<sub>2</sub>) and in glove boxes with atmospheres containing 0.24 and 1.4% CO<sub>2</sub> (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_d$ s). These were: #7012A, #7012B, #7054A, #7054B, #7026A, #7026B, #7040A, and #7040B (Table E-3), and #7013A, #7013B, #7055A, #7055B, #7027A, #7027B, #7041A, and #7041B (Table E-4). Unfortunately, we had to discard the data from *all* of these the runs because the difference between the activity of the <sup>230</sup>Th in a standard and that in a control exceeded 3 $\sigma$ . Therefore, we assumed that the *initial* range of 864 to 15,000 ml/g for Th(IV) and the deep brines does not differ significantly from that for Th(IV) and the Culebra brines.

Next, we attempted to compare these initial ranges with the data from the mechanistic sorption study by Brady and his colleagues at SNL and LANL. However, Brady *did not* obtain any usable results for Th because of one or more of the following reasons: (1) the walls of the containers used to prepare Th-bearing solutions sorbed essentially all of it prior to his experiments; (2) essentially all of the Th precipitated on the walls of these containers prior to his runs; (3) the walls of his apparatus sorbed essentially all of the Th during his runs; (4) essentially all of the Th precipitated on the dolomite and/or the apparatus during his runs. Therefore, our *revised* ranges for Th(IV) remained 864 to 15,000 ml/g for *both* the deep and Culebra brines.

We then compared the revised range with the data from the transport study with intact Culebra cores by Lucero and his colleagues at SNL. Lucero carried out his experiments under ambient atmospheric conditions; therefore, the CO<sub>2</sub> content of these

experiments was probably similar to that in the LANL bench-top (0.033% CO<sub>2</sub>) runs. Because Lucero *did not* observe breakthrough of Th(IV), it was only possible to determine a minimum K<sub>d</sub> for this element. Furthermore, the minimum K<sub>d</sub>s calculated for Th are significantly lower than those calculated for Pu and Am, the other elements for which Lucero did not observe breakthrough (compare Tables B-8, C-9, and E-6), because: (1) the detection limit of  $\gamma$ -spectrometric analysis (one of the two methods used in this study) for <sup>228</sup>Th in the effluent is much higher than those for <sup>241</sup>Pu and <sup>241</sup>Am because of the low yield of the <sup>228</sup>Th  $\gamma$  emission; (2) although <sup>228</sup>Th emits  $\alpha$  particles detectable by LSC (the other analytical method used in this study), its daughter products have interfering  $\alpha$  emissions; (3) lowering the detection limit for <sup>228</sup>Th by observing the decay of its daughters, such as <sup>224</sup>Ra, was not possible because of interference by identical daughters produced by the decay of <sup>232</sup>U, which was used in all of the cores. Lucero and his colleagues submitted their results on March 28, 1996, (Table E-5), then revised them on April 5, 1996 (Table E-6). The minimum K<sub>d</sub>s reported for experiments C-2, C-5, and D-2 (Table E-6) *are consistent with the revised range obtained from the empirical and mechanistic sorption studies* (see above). Therefore, our *final* range remains 864 to 15,000 ml/g, rounded to 900 to 20,000 ml/g prior to inclusion in Table 1 above.

We recommend that PA personnel use a uniform probability distribution for this range (see Methods Used to Establish Probability Distributions of Matrix K<sub>d</sub>s above).

Finally, based on the oxidation-state analogy, we recommend that PA use a range of 900 to 20,000 ml/g for Pu(IV), U(IV), and Np(IV) (see Table 1).

Table E-1. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Th(IV), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and Brine A (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six -week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 30 and April 3, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 28 and April 1, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996.

Brine	Initial $^{230}Th$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $CO_2$
Brine A	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Brine A	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Brine A	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Brine A	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Brine A	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Brine A	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Brine A	NA	<b>313,</b> <b>#7011A</b>	<b>205,</b> <b>#7053A</b>	<b>338,</b> <b>#7025A</b>	<b>694,</b> <b>#7039A</b>
Brine A	NA	<b>250,</b> <b>#7011B</b>	<b>227,</b> <b>#7053B</b>	<b>352,</b> <b>#7025B</b>	<b>706,</b> <b>#7039B</b>
Brine A	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Brine A	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

NA: not available yet.

ND: not determined.

Table E-2. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Th(IV), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and ERDA-6 (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 30 and April 3, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 28 and April 1, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996.

Brine	Initial $^{230}\text{Th}$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $\text{CO}_2$
ERDA-6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ERDA-6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ERDA-6	NA	5,340, #7007A	<b>6,600,</b> <b>#7049A</b>	12,900, #7021A	4,600, #7035A
ERDA-6	NA	5,270, #7007B	<b>8,950,</b> <b>#7049B</b>	15,900, #7021B	7,060, #7035B
ERDA-6	NA	6,650, #7008A	<b>8,720,</b> <b>#7050A</b>	8,670, #7022A	<b>7,340,</b> <b>#7036A</b>
ERDA-6	NA	15,000, #7008B	<b>6,450,</b> <b>#7050B</b>	7,780, #7022B	<b>9,620,</b> <b>#7036B</b>
ERDA-6	NA	2,180, #7009A	1,640, #7051A	14,100, #7023A	6,810, #7037A
ERDA-6	NA	2,820, #7009B	3,740, #7051B	7,270, #7023B	45,600, #7037B
ERDA-6	NA	864, #7010A	1,930, #7052A	High, #7024A	364, #7038A
ERDA-6	NA	1,300, #7010B	1,780, #7052B	1,130, #7024B	2,850, #7038B

NA: not available yet.

ND: not determined.

Table E-3. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Th(IV), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and AISinR (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 30 and April 3, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 28 and April 1, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996.

Brine	Initial $^{230}\text{Th}$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $\text{CO}_2$
AISinR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
AISinR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
AISinR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
AISinR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
AISinR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
AISinR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
AISinR	NA	<b>High, #7012A</b>	<b>10,800, #7054A</b>	<b>4,580, #7026A</b>	<b>57,100, #7040A</b>
AISinR	NA	<b>High, #7012B</b>	<b>16,900, #7054B</b>	<b>7,080, #7026B</b>	<b>High, #7040B</b>
AISinR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
AISinR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

NA: not available yet.

ND: not determined.

Table E-4. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Th(IV), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and H-17 (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 30 and April 3, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 28 and April 1, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996.

Brine	Initial $^{230}\text{Th}$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $\text{CO}_2$
H-17	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
H-17	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
H-17	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
H-17	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
H-17	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
H-17	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
H-17	NA	<b>12,100, #7013A</b>	<b>7,580, #7055A</b>	<b>High, #7027A</b>	<b>14,500, #7041A</b>
H-17	NA	<b>High, #7013B</b>	<b>High, #7055B</b>	<b>23,700, #7027B</b>	<b>14,300, #7041B</b>
H-17	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
H-17	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

NA: not available yet.

ND: not determined.

Table E-5. Minimum Values of R and  $K_d$  for Th(IV) in Intact Culebra Cores (SNL Column Transport Study). Data current as of March 26, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 30, 1996, based on memo by D. A. Lucero, G. O. Brown, and K. G. Budge dated March 28, 1996. Table checked by Brush on March 31, 1996.

Run #	Solid (core)	Brine	Flow rate (ml/min)	Run Time (days)	Ef-fluent Vol. (L)	$R_{min}$	Porosity (%)	$K_{d, min}$ (ml/g)	Range ( $\pm$ ml/g)
C-2	VPX-28-6C	AIS.	0.1	237	34.15	35	5.7, <sup>S</sup> 3.5 <sup>D</sup>	0.87	NA
C-5	VPX-28-6C	ER.-6	0.1	146	20.98	22	3.9, <sup>S</sup> 2.3 <sup>D</sup>	0.36	NA
D-2	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.1	133	19.12	8.5	8.2, <sup>S</sup> 5.5 <sup>D</sup>	0.25	NA

AIS: AISinR.

D: dual porosity assumed.

ER.-6: ERDA-6.

NA: not available yet.

S: single porosity assumed.

Table E-6. Minimum Values of  $R$  and  $K_d$  for Th(IV) in Intact Culebra Cores (SNL Column Transport Study). Data current as of March 26, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 30, 1996, based on memo by D. A. Lucero, G. O. Brown, and K. G. Budge dated March 28, 1996. Table checked by Brush on March 31, 1996. Table revised by Brush on April 6, 1996, based on memo by Lucero and Brown dated April 5, 1996. Table checked by Y. Behl on April 8, 1996.

Run #	Solid (core)	Brine	Flow rate (ml/min)	Run Time (days)	Ef-fluent Vol. (L)	$R_{min}$	Porosity (%)	$K_{d, min}$ (ml/g)	Range ( $\pm$ ml/g)
C-2	VPX-28-6C	AIS.	0.1	237	34.15	35	5.7 <sup>S</sup>	0.87	NA
C-5	VPX-28-6C	ER.-6	0.1	146	20.98	22	3.9 <sup>S</sup>	0.36	NA
D-2	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.1	133	19.12	8.5	8.2 <sup>S</sup>	0.25	NA

AIS: AISinR.

ER.-6: ERDA-6.

NA: not available yet.

S: single porosity assumed.

APPENDIX F: RANGES AND PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTIONS OF  
MATRIX  $K_{ds}$  FOR  $Np(V)$  AND DOLOMITE-RICH  
CULEBRA ROCK

Based on experimental results, ASTP personnel have predicted that Np will speciate as  $Np(IV)$  or  $Np(V)$ , but not as  $Np(VI)$ , in deep (Castile and Salado) brines in WIPP disposal rooms. Furthermore, a modeling study of the effects of mixing deep and Culebra brines on the oxidation states of Pu, U, and Np in the Culebra showed that Culebra fluids are poorly poised (see Predictions of Actinide Oxidation States in the Culebra above). Therefore, we established experimentally obtained ranges of matrix  $K_{ds}$  for  $Np(V)$  and used the experimentally obtained ranges for  $Th(IV)$  (see Appendix E above) and the oxidation-state analogy to establish ranges for  $Np(IV)$  (and  $U(IV)$ ).

For the *initial* ranges for  $Np(V)$  and the deep brines, we first considered *all* of the data from the 6-week empirical sorption experiments carried out with Brine A and ERDA-6 on the bench top (0.033%  $CO_2$ ) by Triay and her group at LANL (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_{ds}$  above for the reasons for considering these data). These runs were: #6020, #6040, #6060, and #6080 (see Table F-1 below), and #6021, #6041, #6061, #6081 (Table F-2). Next, we discarded the data from #6040, #6021, and #6041, the runs in which the difference between the activity of the  $^{237}Np$  in a standard and that in a control exceeded  $3\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_{ds}$ ). Discarding the data from these three runs yielded an *initial* range of 8.64 ml/g (from #6020) to 47.7 ml/g (#6061) for  $Np(V)$  and the deep brines.

For the *initial* ranges for  $Np(V)$  and the Culebra brines, we first considered *all* of Triay's 6-week sorption data obtained with AISinR and H-17 on the bench top (0.033%  $CO_2$ ) and in glove boxes with atmospheres containing 0.24 and 1.4%  $CO_2$  (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_{ds}$ ). These were: #6022, #6042, #6062, #6082 #24022, #24042 #24062, #24082, #12022, #12042, #12062, and #12082 (Table F-3), and #6023, #6043, #6063, #6083, #24023, #24043, #24063, #24083, #12023, #12043, #12063, and #12083 (Table F-4). We then discarded the data from #24042 and #24082, the runs in which the difference between the activity of the  $^{237}Np$  in a standard and that in a control exceeded  $3\sigma$ , to obtain the *initial* range of 2.09 ml/g (from #12022) to 163 ml/g (#6042) for  $Np(V)$  and the Culebra brines.

Next, we compared these initial ranges with the data from the mechanistic sorption study by Brady and his colleagues at SNL and LANL. We used Brady's data to extend Triay's empirical sorption data for the deep brines to the basic conditions expected to result from the use of an MgO backfill in WIPP disposal rooms, *but not* to extend Triay's data for the Culebra brines to basic conditions (see Methods Used to Establish Ranges of Matrix  $K_{ds}$ ). Brady's data for 0.5 M NaCl, atmospheric  $CO_2$ , and the highest pH values under these conditions are (to three significant figures) 892 and 938 ml/g at a pH of 9.87 and 9.88, respectively (Table F-5). Because these values are *greater than the upper limit* of the initial range for  $Np(V)$  and the deep brines (see above), we extended

this range to obtain a *revised* range for Np(V) and deep brines of 8.64 to 938 ml/g. Because we did not use this comparison to extend the initial range for Np(V) and the Culebra brines to basic conditions, this *revised* range remains 2.09 to 163 ml/g.

We then compared both of these revised ranges with the data from the transport study with intact Culebra cores by Lucero and his colleagues at SNL. Lucero carried out his experiments under ambient atmospheric conditions; therefore, the CO<sub>2</sub> content of these experiments was probably similar to that in the LANL bench-top (0.033% CO<sub>2</sub>) runs. Lucero *did* observe breakthrough of Np(V) in his experiments. Therefore, it was possible to determine actual K<sub>d</sub>s for this element. Lucero and his colleagues submitted their results on March 28, 1996, (see Table F-6), then revised them on April 5, 1996 (Table F-7). The K<sub>d</sub> reported for Experiment C-7 with the deep brine ERDA-6 (Table F7) *is less than the lower limit of the revised range of K<sub>d</sub>s for Np(V) and the deep brines obtained from the empirical and mechanistic sorption experiments* (see above). Therefore, we extended this range to obtain a *final* range for Np(V) and deep brines of 2.0 to 938 ml/g, and rounded it to 2 to 900 ml/g prior to inclusion in Table 1 above. Because Lucero's K<sub>d</sub>s for Np(V) and the Culebra brine AISinR (C-6, D-2, and D4 in Table F-7), *are also less than the lower limit of the revised range for Np(V) and the Culebra brines obtained from the sorption studies* (see above), we extended this range to obtain a *final* range of 1.0 to 163 ml/g, rounded to 1 to 200 ml/g (Table 1).

We recommend that PA personnel use a uniform probability distribution for both of these ranges (see Methods Used to Establish Probability Distributions of Matrix K<sub>d</sub>s above).

Furthermore, we recommend that PA use the range of 1 to 200 ml/g (the range for Culebra brines) for Np(V) because this range results in less retardation of this element than the range for deep brines (see Methods Used for Final Selection of the Range of Matrix K<sub>d</sub>s for Use in PA Calculations above).

Table F-1. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Np(V), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and Brine A (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 23 and 30, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 19 and 26, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996. Table revised by Brush on June 7, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Range of Initial $^{237}\text{Np}$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $\text{CO}_2$
Brine A	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Brine A	$6.80 \times 10^{-7}$ to $9.26 \times 10^{-6}$	8.64, #6020	5.05, #24020	224, #12020	380, #18020
Brine A	$3.86 \times 10^{-6}$ to $4.52 \times 10^{-6}$	<b>16.1,</b> <b>#6040</b>	<b>31.9,</b> <b>#24040</b>	11.8, #12040	8.91, #18040
Brine A	$6.09 \times 10^{-7}$ to $6.56 \times 10^{-7}$	20.3, #6060	<b>150,</b> <b>#24060</b>	21.3, #12060	21.9, #18060
Brine A	$2.16 \times 10^{-7}$ to $2.58 \times 10^{-7}$	37.5, #6080	32.5, #24080	36.7, #12080	27.0, 18080

ND: not determined.

Table F-2. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Np(V), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and ERDA-6 (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 23 and 30, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 19 and 26, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996. Table revised by Brush on June 7, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Range of Initial $^{237}\text{Np}$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $\text{CO}_2$
ERDA-6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ERDA-6	$4.43 \times 10^{-7}$ to $9.85 \times 10^{-7}$	<b>218,</b> <b>#6021</b>	<b>241,</b> <b>#24021</b>	562, #12021	<b>508,</b> <b>#18021</b>
ERDA-6	$4.81 \times 10^{-7}$ to $1.46 \times 10^{-6}$	<b>55.2,</b> <b>#6041</b>	<b>181,</b> <b>#24041</b>	153, #12041	<b>117,</b> <b>#18041</b>
ERDA-6	$1.70 \times 10^{-7}$ to $5.58 \times 10^{-7}$	47.7, #6061	<b>280,</b> <b>#24061</b>	163, #12061	29.9, #18061
ERDA-6	$8.59 \times 10^{-9}$ to $2.78 \times 10^{-7}$	31.7, #6081	<b>14,000,</b> <b>#24081</b>	<b>High!</b> <b>#12081</b>	<b>High!</b> <b>#18081</b>

ND: not determined.

Table F-3. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Np(V), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and AISinR (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 23 and 30, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 19 and 26, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996. Table revised by Brush on June 7, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Range of Initial $^{237}\text{Np}$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $\text{CO}_2$
AISinR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
AISinR	$7.62 \times 10^{-6}$ to $8.72 \times 10^{-6}$	5.12, #6022	3.70, #24022	2.09, #12022	2.19, #18022
AISinR	$6.06 \times 10^{-7}$ to $4.53 \times 10^{-6}$	163, #6042	<b>11.9,</b> <b>#24042</b>	2.35, #12042	2.23, #18042
AISinR	$5.26 \times 10^{-7}$ to $8.08 \times 10^{-7}$	20.4, #6062	9.64, #24062	4.06, #12062	4.83, #18062
AISinR	$2.39 \times 10^{-7}$ to $3.81 \times 10^{-7}$	32.9, #6082	<b>46.7,</b> <b>#24082</b>	9.89, #12082	10.4, #18082

ND: not determined.

Table F-4. Effects of Initial Radionuclide Concentration and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Np(V), Dolomite-Rich Culebra-Rock, and H-17 (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). Six-week sorption runs with VPX-25-8. No  $K_d$ s in this table excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of April 3, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 23 and 30, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on March 19 and 26, 1996. Table checked by Brush and S. Boone on April 3, 1996. Table revised by Brush on June 7, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Range of Initial $^{237}\text{Np}$ Conc. (M)	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.24% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 1.4% $\text{CO}_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $\text{CO}_2$
H-17	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
H-17	$2.88 \times 10^{-7}$ to $9.88 \times 10^{-6}$	52.4, #6023	19.0, #24023	2.96, #12023	1.40, #18023
H-17	$3.30 \times 10^{-6}$ to $4.93 \times 10^{-6}$	15.8, #6043	13.3, #24043	3.23, #12043	2.37, #18043
H-17	$3.44 \times 10^{-7}$ to $8.87 \times 10^{-7}$	40.1, #6063	25.8, #24063	47.1, #12063	4.61, #18063
H-17	$2.16 \times 10^{-7}$ to $8.19 \times 10^{-6}$	44.2, #6083	8.02, #24083	3.79, #12083	6.60, #18083

ND: not determined.

Table F-5. Effects of pH and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_{ds}$  for Np(V) and Pure Dolomite (SNL/LANL Mechanistic Sorption Study). Sorption runs with Norwegian dolomite and 0.5 M NaCl. Data current as of March 31, 1996. Table retyped by L. H. Brush on April 24, 1996. Table checked by Y. Behl on April 28, 1996.

pH (standard units)	$K_d$ (ml/g), atmospheric $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.5% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 5% $CO_2$
9.88	938.1	NA	NA
9.87	891.95	NA	NA
8.42	324.37	NA	NA
6.7	59.493	NA	NA
6.2	59.493	NA	NA
5.81	-6.7249	NA	NA
5.21	59.493	NA	NA
4.62	59.493	NA	NA
4.05	59.493	NA	NA
3.51	59.493	NA	NA
3.11	-6.7249	NA	NA

NA: not applicable.

Table F-5. Effects of pH and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Np(V) and Pure Dolomite (SNL/LANL Mechanistic Sorption Study) (continued).

pH (standard units)	$K_d$ (ml/g), atmospheric $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.5% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 5% $CO_2$
6.9	NA	82.353	NA
8.49	NA	623.53	NA
7.32	NA	352.94	NA
7.19	NA	248.87	NA
6.99	NA	248.87	NA
6.88	NA	159.66	NA
6.55	NA	82.353	NA
6.17	NA	159.66	NA
5.28	NA	82.353	NA
3.97	NA	82.353	NA
3.13	NA	159.66	NA

NA: not applicable.

Table F-5. Effects of pH and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Np(V) and Pure Dolomite (SNL/LANL Mechanistic Sorption Study) (continued).

pH (standard units)	$K_d$ (ml/g), atmospheric $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.5% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 5% $CO_2$
6.39	NA	NA	-56.83
6.91	NA	NA	100.36
6.89	NA	NA	0
6.8	NA	NA	100.36
6.75	NA	NA	100.36
6.84	NA	NA	100.36
6.49	NA	NA	100.36
6.07	NA	NA	15.721
5.76	NA	NA	15.721
4.91	NA	NA	100.36
3.62	NA	NA	15.721

NA: not applicable.

Table F-6. Measured Values of R and Calculated Values of  $K_d$  for Np(V) in Intact Culebra Cores (SNL Column Transport Study). Values in bold font to be excluded from ranges and distributions because fits to elution data were inferior to those assuming single porosity and dual porosity with fracture retardation. Data current as of March 30, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 30, 1996, based on memo by D. A. Lucero, G. O. Brown, and K. G. Budge dated March 28, 1996. Table checked by Brush on March 31, 1996.

Run #	Solid (core)	Brine	Flow rate (ml/min)	Run Time (days)	Ef-fluent Vol. (L)	R	Porosity	$K_d$ (ml/g)	Range ( $\pm$ ml/g)
C-5	VPX-28-6C	ER.-6	0.1	13	2	30.8; <sup>S</sup>	3.9 <sup>S</sup>	0.51 <sup>S</sup>	0.29 <sup>S</sup>
						<b>1,</b> <b>166;</b> <sup>DN</sup>	2.3 <sup>DN</sup>	<b>1.65</b> <sup>DN</sup>	<b>1.0</b> <sup>DN</sup>
						7.0, 103 <sup>DF</sup>	2.3 <sup>DF</sup>	0.06 <sup>DF</sup>	0.03 <sup>DF</sup>
C-6	VPX-28-6C	AIS.	0.1	13	2	58.5; <sup>S</sup>	4.5 <sup>S</sup>	1.12 <sup>S</sup>	0.26 <sup>S</sup>
						<b>1,</b> <b>301;</b> <sup>DN</sup>	1.85 <sup>DN</sup>	<b>2.4</b> <sup>DN</sup>	<b>1.4</b> <sup>DN</sup>
						22, 158 <sup>DF</sup>	1.85 <sup>DF</sup>	.169 <sup>DF</sup>	.048 <sup>DF</sup>
C-7	VPX-28-6C	ER.-6	0.1	13	2	119; <sup>S</sup>	3.9 <sup>S</sup>	2.0 <sup>S</sup>	0.8 <sup>S</sup>
						<b>1,</b> <b>910;</b> <sup>DN</sup>	2.1 <sup>DN</sup>	<b>8.3</b> <sup>DN</sup>	<b>11.9</b> <sup>DN</sup>
						169, 0 <sup>DF</sup>	2.1 <sup>DF</sup>	1.53 <sup>DF</sup>	38 <sup>DF</sup>
D-2	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.1	12	2	47; <sup>S</sup>	8.2 <sup>S</sup>	1.64 <sup>S</sup>	0.58 <sup>S</sup>
						<b>1,</b> <b>1670;</b> <sup>N</sup>	5.5 <sup>DN</sup>	<b>40</b> <sup>DN</sup>	<b>6</b> <sup>DN</sup>
						6.6, NA <sup>DF</sup>	5.5 <sup>DF</sup>	NA <sup>DF</sup>	NA <sup>DF</sup>

Table F-6. Measured Values of R and Calculated Values of  $K_d$  for Np(V) in Intact Culebra Cores (SNL Column Transport Study) (continued).

Run #	Solid (core)	Brine	Flow rate (ml/min)	Run Time (days)	Ef-fluent Vol. (L)	R	Porosity	$K_d$ (ml/g)	Range ( $\pm$ ml/g)
D-4	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.1	13	2	39; <sup>S</sup>	10.0 <sup>S</sup>	1.65 <sup>S</sup>	0.22 <sup>S</sup>
						1, 340; <sup>DN</sup>	4.8 <sup>DN</sup>	7.1 <sup>DN</sup>	4.2 <sup>DN</sup>
						17.5, 154 <sup>DF</sup>	4.8 <sup>DF</sup>	0.34 <sup>DF</sup>	0.07 <sup>DF</sup>

AIS: AISinR.

DF: dual porosity and fracture retardation assumed.

DN: dual porosity and no fracture retardation assumed.

ER.-6: ERDA-6.

NA: not available yet.

S: single porosity assumed.

Table F-7. Measured Values of R and Calculated Values of  $K_d$  for Np(V) in Intact Culebra Cores (SNL Column Transport Study). Data current as of March 30, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on March 30, 1996, based on memo by D. A. Lucero, G. O. Brown, and K. G. Budge dated March 28, 1996. Table checked by Brush on March 31, 1996. Table revised by Brush on April 6, 1996, based on memo by Lucero and Brown dated April 5, 1996. Table checked by Y. Behl on April 8, 1996.

Run #	Solid (core)	Brine	Flow rate (ml/min)	Run Time (days)	Ef-fluent Vol. (L)	R	Porosity	$K_d$ (ml/g)	Range ( $\pm$ ml/g)
C-6	VPX-28-6C	AIS.	0.1	13	2	59 <sup>S</sup>	4.5 <sup>S</sup>	1.1 <sup>S</sup>	NA
C-7	VPX-28-6C	ER.-6	0.1	13	2	120 <sup>S</sup>	3.9 <sup>S</sup>	2.0 <sup>S</sup>	NA
D-2	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.1	12	2	47 <sup>S</sup>	8.2 <sup>S</sup>	1.6 <sup>S</sup>	NA
D-4	VPX-25-8A	AIS.	0.1	13	2	39 <sup>S</sup>	10.0 <sup>S</sup>	1.6 <sup>S</sup>	NA

AIS: AISinR.

ER.-6: ERDA-6.

NA: not available yet.

S: single porosity assumed.

APPENDIX G: EFFECTS OF ORGANIC LIGANDS ON RANGES AND PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTIONS OF MATRIX  $K_d$ s FOR Pu(V), Am(III), U(VI), Th(IV), Np(V), AND DOLOMITE-RICH CULEBRA ROCK

Triay and her group at LANL carried out two sets of experiments on the effects of four organic ligands (acetate, citrate, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid or EDTA, and lactate) on the  $K_d$ s for dissolved Pu(V), Am(III), U(VI), Th(IV), and Np(V) under conditions expected in the Culebra. Brush (1990) concluded that these and six other organic and two inorganic ligands could affect the solubilities of the actinide elements in TRU waste. Therefore, he estimated the concentrations of these 12 ligands in three quantities of brine that could resaturate WIPP disposal rooms after filling and sealing. Since 1990, the ASTP has reduced the number of organic ligands of concern from twelve to four (acetate, citrate, EDTA, and oxalate). Triay carried out experiments with acetate, citrate, EDTA, and lactate because the ASTP had not eliminated lactate from consideration yet, and because Triay concluded that oxalate would be extremely insoluble given the dissolved Ca concentrations expected in WIPP brines. Triay conducted the first set of runs with ERDA-6 and H17, and acetate, citrate, EDTA, and lactate all present at concentrations as close as possible to the "minimum" and "maximum" concentrations estimated by Brush (1990) (see Table G-1 below). Soon after Triay started their preliminary experiments, Weiner (1996) used the quantities of acetate, citrate, EDTA, and oxalate in TRU waste estimated by Drez (1996) to predict the concentrations of these organic ligands in brines in the repository (Table G-1).

Tables G-2, G-3, G-4, G-5, and G-6 give the results of the preliminary experiments with ERDA-6 and H-17, and Pu(V), Am(III), U(VI), Th(IV), and Np(V), respectively. At low concentrations, acetate, citrate, EDTA, and lactate have little or no effect on the  $K_d$ s for these elements (compare the results for "low-concentration organics" to those for "none," the runs without any organic ligands present.) In fact, for Pu(V) and ERDA-6 in contact with ambient atmospheric or (about 0.033%) CO<sub>2</sub>; Am(III), ERDA-6, and 0.033% CO<sub>2</sub>; and U(VI), ERDA-6, and 0.033% CO<sub>2</sub>, low concentrations of these organic ligands actually *increase* the  $K_d$ s relative to those obtained without any organic ligands. However, at high concentrations, these organic ligands significantly *decrease* the  $K_d$ s for all of these elements. Note that the low and the high concentrations of acetate, citrate, and EDTA used in these preliminary runs bracket the predicted concentrations of these organic ligands (Table G-1).

Triay used ERDA-6 and H-17, which contain 19 and 74.1 mM Mg, respectively, for this set of experiments. However, ASTP personnel have predicted the effects of acetate, citrate, EDTA, and oxalate on the solubilities of the actinide elements by assuming that all of these organic ligands will dissolve in intergranular Salado brine as it accumulates in WIPP disposal rooms. Novak (1996) used FMT to predict that the Mg concentration in SPC brine (Brine A modified by omitting minor and trace constituents, and used by the ASTP to simulate Salado brine in several experimental and modeling studies) will be 454 mM after equilibration with halite, anhydrite, the actinide elements and the organic ligands in TRU waste, and an MgO backfill. This Mg concentration is significantly higher than that of ERDA-6 before or after equilibration with this backfill (19 and 48 mM, respectively) and significantly higher than that of H-17 (74.1 mM). Because we hoped that competition among Mg and Pu, Am, U, Th, and Np for the binding sites on these organic ligands

would mitigate the effects of these organics on the  $K_{ds}$  for these elements, Triay carried out an additional set of experiments with acetate, citrate, EDTA, and lactate at low, intermediate, and high concentrations (see Table G-1) and with Brine A modified to simulate the composition of Salado brine after equilibration with an MgO backfill.

Table G-7 shows the results of these experiments. Low concentrations of organics significantly decreased the  $K_{ds}$  for Th relative to those obtained without any organics, but had much less effect on the  $K_{ds}$  for the other elements. Intermediate concentrations of organics significantly decreased the  $K_{ds}$  for all of the elements except U(VI). High concentrations significantly decreased the  $K_{ds}$  for all five of these elements.

However, we *have not* revised the ranges and probability distributions of  $K_{ds}$  for Pu, Am, U, Th, and Np shown in Table 1 (see above) because large quantities of other metals (see below) will be present to form complexes with these organic ligands, thus preventing them from forming complexes with the actinide elements and decreasing the  $K_{ds}$  for the actinides in the Culebra. (These other metals will also prevent these organic ligands from increasing the solubilities of the actinide elements in WIPP disposal rooms.) These other metals include Fe, large quantities which will be present in WIPP disposal rooms in steel waste containers (drums and boxes) and as steels, stainless steels, and other Fe-base materials in the waste; Mg, large quantities of which will be emplaced in the repository as an MgO backfill to remove microbially produced  $\text{CO}_2$  (but will be present as  $\text{MgCO}_3$  and/or  $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$ , and magnesium oxychloride after reaction with  $\text{CO}_2$  and/or brine); and Cr, Mn, Ni, Pb, and V, which will be present in lesser but still sufficient quantities in steel drums and boxes, and in steels, stainless steels, and other Fe-base alloys in the waste, and in other waste constituents. Preliminary modeling of the equilibria among dissolved and solid-phase Pu and Am, Fe, Mg, and Ni, and EDTA imply that, under the conditions expected in WIPP disposal rooms, Ni would form complexes with 99.8% of the EDTA expected to be present in the repository, thus preventing it from complexing significant quantities of Pu and Am. A detailed description of these calculations, and any additional modeling or laboratory studies of these competitive equilibria, will appear elsewhere.

## REFERENCES

- Brush, L.H. 1990. *Test Plan for Laboratory and Modeling Studies of Repository and Radionuclide Chemistry for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant*. SAND90-0266. Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.
- Drez, P.E. 1996. "Final Preliminary Estimates of Complexing Agents in TRU Solidified Waste Forms Scheduled for Disposal in WIPP." Unpublished memorandum to J. Harvill, March 20, 1996. Albuquerque, NM: Drez Environmental Associates.
- Novak, C.F., and R.C. Moore. 1996. "Estimates of Dissolved Concentrations for +III, +IV, +V, and +VI Actinides in a Salado and a Castile Brine under Anticipated Repository Conditions." Unpublished memorandum to M. D. Siegel, March 28, 1996. Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Weiner, R. 1996. "Dissolved Ligand Concentrations." Unpublished memorandum to C. F. Novak, March 27, 1996. Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Table G-1. Concentrations of Organic Ligands in Experiments with ERDA-6, H-17, and Modified Brine A, and Predicted Concentrations of Organic Ligands in Brines in WIPP Disposal Rooms. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on May 10, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on April 25, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on May 17, 1996.

Organic Ligand	Low Conc.	High Conc.	Low Conc.	Intermediate Conc.	High Conc.	Predicted Conc. in WIPP (mM)
	(ERDA-6 and H-17) (mM)	(ERDA-6 and H-17) (mM)	(Modified Brine A) (mM)	(Modified Brine A) (mM)	(Modified Brine A) (mM)	
Acetate	0.195 (ERDA-6)	19.5172 (ERDA-6)	0.489	4.89	48.9	1.062
	0.195 (H-17)	19.5172 (H-17)				
Citrate	0.0340 (ERDA-6)	3.4002 (ERDA-6)	0.03417	0.3417	3.417	0.465
	0.0340 (H-17)	3.4002 (H-17)				
EDTA	0.000146 (ERDA-6)	0.0146 (ERDA-6)	0.00011	0.0011	0.011	0.00416
	0.000146 (H-17)	0.0146 (H-17)				
Lactate	0.00761 (ERDA-6)	0.7610 (ERDA-6)	0.02088	0.2088	2.088	Not predicted
	0.00796 (H-17)	0.7956 (H-17)				
Oxalate	NU	NU	NU	NU	NU	7.404

NU: Not used because LANL expected Ca-oxalate to precipitate from these brines at very low oxalate concentrations.

Table G-2: Effects of Brine, Organic Ligands, and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Pu(V) and Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). One-week sorption runs with H-19, B-4, Box 7.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Still counting new controls for underlined samples. Data current as of May 30, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on May 16, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on May 15, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on May 16 and 17, 1996. Table revised by Brush on May 31 and June 1, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on May 30 and 31, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Additive	$K_d$ , (ml/g), 0.033% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $CO_2$
ERDA-6	None	157, #JRF O-131	<u>217</u> , #JRF O-181
ERDA-6	None	158, #JRF O-132	<u>223</u> , #JRF O-182
ERDA-6	Avg. without organics	158	<u>220</u>
ERDA-6	Low-conc. organics	787, #JRF O-139	171, #JRF O-189
ERDA-6	Low-conc. organics	142, #JRF O-140	184, #JRF O-190
ERDA-6	Avg. w. low-conc. org.	464	178
ERDA-6	High-conc. organics	6.44, #JRF O-137	10.3, #JRF O-187
ERDA-6	High-conc. organics	7.48, #JRF O-138	9.10, #JRF O-188
ERDA-6	Avg. w. high-conc. org.	6.96	9.70

Table G-2. Effects of Brine, Organic Ligands, and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Pu(V) and Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock (LANL Empirical Sorption Study) (continued).

Brine	Additive	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $CO_2$
H-17	None	18.4, #JRF O-31	<u>8.51,</u> <u>#JRF O-81</u>
H-17	None	19.8, #JRF O-32	<u>9.19,</u> <u>#JRF O-82</u>
H-17	Avg. without organics	19.1	<u>8.85</u>
H-17	Low-conc. organics	9.50, #JRF O-39	4.45, #JRF O-89
H-17	Low-conc. organics	17.0, #JRF O-40	7.73, #JRF O-90
H-17	Avg. w. low-conc. org.	13.2	6.14
H-17	High-conc. organics	1.39, #JRF O-37	0.315, #JRF O-87
H-17	High-conc. organics	0.934, #JRF O-38	0.515, #JRF O-88
H-17	Avg. w. high-conc. org.	1.16	0.415

Table G-3. Effects of Brine, Organic Ligands, and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Am(III) and Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). One-week sorption runs with H-19, B-4, Box 7.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Still counting new controls for underlined samples. Data current as of May 30, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on May 16, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on May 15, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on May 16 and 17, 1996. Table revised by Brush on May 31 and June 1, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on May 30 and 31, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Additive	$K_d$ , (ml/g), 0.033% $CO_2$	$K_d$ , (ml/g), 4.1% $CO_2$
ERDA-6	None	199, #JRF O-141	<u>2.890</u> , #JRF O-191
ERDA-6	None	1,220, #JRF O-142	<u>2.160</u> , #JRF O-192
ERDA-6	Avg. without organics	710	<u>2.520</u>
ERDA-6	Low-conc. organics	1,390 #JRF O-161	1,680, #JRF O-199
ERDA-6	Low-conc. organics	1,470, #JRF O-171	1,880, #JRF O-200
ERDA-6	Avg. w. low-conc. org.	1,430	1,780
ERDA-6	High-conc. organics	<b>10.6</b> , #JRF O-147	7.40, #JRF O-197
ERDA-6	High-conc. organics	<b>7.84</b> , #JRF O-151	5.05, #JRF O-198
ERDA-6	Avg. w. high-conc. org.	<b>9.22</b>	6.22

Table G-3. Effects of Brine, Organic Ligands, and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Am(III) and Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock (LANL Empirical Sorption Study) (continued).

Brine	Additive	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $CO_2$
H-17	None	533, #JRF O-41	<u>379</u> , <u>#JRF O-91</u>
H-17	None	549, #JRF O-42	<u>391</u> , <u>#JRF O-92</u>
H-17	Avg. without organics	541	<u>385</u>
H-17	Low-conc. organics	503, #JRF O-49	311, #JRF O-99
H-17	Low-conc. organics	497, #JRF O-50	299, #JRF O-100
H-17	Avg. w. low-conc. org.	500	305
H-17	High-conc. organics	7.79, #JRF O-47	3.58, #JRF O-97
H-17	High-conc. organics	7.37, #JRF O-48	3.75, #JRF O-98
H-17	Avg. w. high-conc. org.	7.58	3.66

Table G-4. Effects of Brine, Organic Ligands, and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for U(VI) and Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). One-week sorption runs with H19, B-4, Box 7.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Still counting new controls for underlined samples. Negative  $K_d$ s set to 0.00 before determining the mean. Data current as of May 30, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on May 16, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on May 15, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on May 16 and 17, 1996. Table revised by Brush on May 31 and June 1, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on May 30 and 31, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Additive	$K_d$ , (ml/g), 0.033% $CO_2$	$K_d$ , (ml/g), 4.1% $CO_2$
ERDA-6	None	-0.148, #JRF O-111	<u>-0.296</u> , #JRF O-159
ERDA-6	None	0.220, #JRF O-112	<u>0.275</u> , #JRF O-160
ERDA-6	Avg. without organics	0.110	<u>0.138</u>
ERDA-6	Low-conc. organics	<u>1.83</u> , #JRF O-119	<u>-0.0367</u> , #JRF O-168
ERDA-6	Low-conc. organics	<u>-0.371</u> , #JRF O-120	<u>-0.933</u> , #JRF O-169
ERDA-6	Avg. w. low-conc. org.	<u>0.915</u>	<u>0.00</u>
ERDA-6	High-conc. organics	<u>-0.631</u> , #JRF O-117	<u>-0.475</u> , #JRF O-166
ERDA-6	High-conc. organics	<u>-0.638</u> , #JRF O-118	<u>-0.948</u> , #JRF O-167
ERDA-6	Avg. w. high-conc. org.	<u>0.000</u>	<u>0.00</u>

Table G-4. Effects of Brine, Organic Ligands, and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for U(VI) and Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock (LANL Empirical Sorption Study) (continued).

Brine	Additive	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $CO_2$
H-17	None	-2.11, #JRF O-11	<u>-1.69,</u> <u>#JRF O-61</u>
H-17	None	-1.79, #JRF O-12	<u>-0.323,</u> <u>#JRF O-62</u>
H-17	Avg. without organics	0.00	<u>0.00</u>
H-17	Low-conc. organics	-1.27, #JRF O-19	-0.601, #JRF O-69
H-17	Low-conc. organics	-1.67, #JRF O-20	-1.44, #JRF O-70
H-17	Avg. w. low-conc. org.	0.00	0.00
H-17	High-conc. organics	-1.77, #JRF O-17	-1.81, #JRF O-67
H-17	High-conc. organics	-1.51, #JRF O-18	-1.67, #JRF O-68
H-17	Avg. w. high-conc. org.	0.00	0.00

Table G-5. Effects of Brine, Organic Ligands, and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Th(IV) and Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). One-week sorption runs with H-19; B-4, Box 7.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Still counting new controls for underlined samples. Data current as of May 30, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on May 16, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on May 16, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on May 16 and 17, 1996. Table revised by Brush on May 31 and June 1, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on May 30 and 31, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Additive	$K_d$ , (ml/g), 0.033% $CO_2$	$K_d$ , (ml/g), 4.1% $CO_2$
ERDA-6	None	<b>120,</b> #JRF O-101	<u>153,</u> #JRF O-148
ERDA-6	None	<b>98.6,</b> #JRF O-102	<u>169,</u> #JRF O-149
ERDA-6	Avg. without organics	<b>109</b>	<u>161</u>
ERDA-6	Low-conc. organics	<b>101,</b> #JRF O-109	<b>157,</b> #JRF O-157
ERDA-6	Low-conc. organics	<b>99.9,</b> #JRF O-110	<b>166,</b> #JRF O-158
ERDA-6	Avg. w. low-conc. org.	<b>100</b>	<b>162</b>
ERDA-6	High-conc. organics	<b>30.0,</b> #JRF O-107	<b>55.0,</b> #JRF O-155
ERDA-6	High-conc. organics	<b>32.8,</b> #JRF O-108	<b>63.2,</b> #JRF O-156
ERDA-6	Avg. w. high-conc. org.	<b>31.4</b>	<b>59.1</b>

Table G-5. Effects of Brine, Organic Ligands, and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Th(IV) and Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock (LANL Empirical Sorption Study) (continued).

Brine	Additive	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $CO_2$
H-17	None	15.0, #JRF O-1	<u>12.0,</u> <u>#JRF O-51</u>
H-17	None	16.6, #JRF O-2	<u>11.3,</u> <u>#JRF O-52</u>
H-17	Avg. without organics	15.8	<u>11.6</u>
H-17	Low-conc. organics	13.8, #JRF O-9	9.72, #JRF O-59
H-17	Low-conc. organics	14.4, #JRF O-10	9.20, #JRF O-60
H-17	Avg. w. low-conc. org.	14.1	9.46
H-17	High-conc. organics	5.72, #JRF O-7	0.874, #JRF O-57
H-17	High-conc. organics	8.04, #JRF O-8	1.06, #JRF O-58
H-17	Avg. w. high-conc. org.	6.88	0.967

Table G-6. Effects of Brine, Organic Ligands, and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Np(V) and Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). One-week sorption runs with H-19, B-4, Box 7.  $K_d$ s in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Still counting new controls for underlined samples. Data current as of May 30, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on May 16, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on May 15, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on May 16 and 17, 1996. Table revised by Brush on May 31 and June 1, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on May 30 and 31, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Brine	Additive	$K_d$ , (ml/g), 0.033% $CO_2$	$K_d$ , (ml/g), 4.1% $CO_2$
ERDA-6	None	<b>96.5,</b> #JRF O-121	<b><u>136,</u></b> #JRF O-170
ERDA-6	None	<b>87.6,</b> #JRF O-122	<b><u>148,</u></b> #JRF O-172
ERDA-6	Avg. without organics	<b>92.0</b>	<b><u>142</u></b>
ERDA-6	Low-conc. organics	<b><u>83.6,</u></b> #JRF O-129	<b><u>175,</u></b> #JRF O-179
ERDA-6	Low-conc. organics	<b><u>79.1,</u></b> #JRF O-130	<b><u>147,</u></b> #JRF O-180
ERDA-6	Avg. w. low-conc. org.	<b><u>81.4</u></b>	<b><u>161</u></b>
ERDA-6	High-conc. organics	<b><u>63.9,</u></b> #JRF O-127	<b><u>131,</u></b> #JRF O-177
ERDA-6	High-conc. organics	<b><u>63.3,</u></b> #JRF O-128	<b><u>113,</u></b> #JRF O-178
ERDA-6	Avg. w. high-conc. org.	<b><u>63.6</u></b>	<b><u>122</u></b>

Table G-6. Effects of Brine, Organic Ligands, and  $P_{CO_2}$  on Matrix  $K_d$ s for Np(V) and Dolomite-Culebra Rock (LANL Empirical Sorption Study) (continued).

Brine	Additive	$K_d$ (ml/g), 0.033% $CO_2$	$K_d$ (ml/g), 4.1% $CO_2$
H-17	None	5.17, #JRF O-21	<u>0.905,</u> <u>#JRF O-71</u>
H-17	None	4.37, #JRF O-22	<u>1.06,</u> <u>#JRF O-72</u>
H-17	Avg. without organics	4.77	<u>0.983</u>
H-17	Low-conc. organics	4.86, #JRF O-29	1.33, #JRF O-79
H-17	Low-conc. organics	4.44, #JRF O-30	0.694, #JRF O-80
H-17	Avg. w. low-conc. org.	4.65	1.01
H-17	High-conc. organics	1.45, #JRF O-27	-0.236, #JRF O-77
H-17	High-conc. organics	1.67, #JRF O-28	-0.433, #JRF O-78
H-17	Avg. w. high-conc. org.	1.56	0.00

Table G-7. Effects of Organic Ligands on Matrix  $K_{ds}$  (ml/g) for Pu(V), Am(III), U(VI), Th(IV), and Np(V), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and Modified Brine A (LANL Empirical Sorption Study). One-week sorption runs with H-19, B-4, Box 7.  $K_{ds}$  in bold font excluded from ranges and distributions because of unacceptable differences between standards and controls. Data current as of May 30, 1996. Table compiled by L. H. Brush on May 16, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on May 15, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on May 16, 1996. Table revised by Brush on May 31, 1996, based on information provided by LANL on May 30, 1996. Table checked by L. J. Storz on June 10, 1996.

Additive	Element and Oxidation State				
	Pu(V)	Am(III)	U(VI)	Th(IV)	Np(V)
None	8.73, #JRF-233	<b>528,</b> #JRF-243	<b>19.3,</b> #JRF-213	<b>11,300,</b> #JRF-203	22.7, #JRF-223
None	10.1, #JRF-234	<b>501,</b> #JRF-244	<b>19.1,</b> #JRF-214	<b>10,700,</b> #JRF-204	17.1, #JRF-224
Avg. without organics	9.42	<b>514</b>	<b>19.2</b>	<b>11,000</b>	19.9
Low-conc. organics	<b>7.69,</b> #JRF-239	355, #JRF-249	12.4, #JRF-219	1.70, #JRF-209	11.9, #JRF-229
Low-conc. organics	<b>8.84,</b> #JRF-240	325, #JRF-250	17.2, #JRF-220	6.47, #JRF-210	10.5, #JRF-230
Avg. w. low- conc. organics	<b>8.26</b>	340	14.8	4.08	11.2
Intermed.- conc. organics	<b>5.03,</b> #JRF-237	67.6, #JRF-247	16.6, #JRF-217	<b>1.05,</b> #JRF-207	6.25, #JRF-227
Intermed.- conc. organics	<b>5.06,</b> #JRF-238	36.7, #JRF-248	16.8, #JRF-218	<b>6.29,</b> #JRF-208	5.89, #JRF-228
Avg. w. inter.- conc. org.	<b>5.04</b>	52.2	16.7	<b>3.67</b>	6.07

Table G-7. Effects of Organic Ligands on Matrix  $K_{ds}$  (ml/g) for Pu(V), Am(III), U(VI), Th(IV), and Np(V), Dolomite-Rich Culebra Rock, and Modified Brine A (LANL Empirical Sorption Study) (continued).

Element and Oxidation State					
Additive	Pu(V)	Am(III)	U(VI)	Th(IV)	Np(V)
High-conc. organics	2.55, #JRF-235	2.34, #JRF-245	10.1, #JRF-215	0.469, #JRF-205	1.93, #JRF-225
High-conc. organics	2.22, #JRF-236	2.05, #JRF-246	8.01, #JRF-216	0.467, #JRF-206	2.49, #JRF-226
Avg. w. high-conc. org.	2.38	2.20	9.06	0.468	2.21